## ON THE NON-SUMMABILITY ( $C, 1$ ) OF FOURIER SERIES

M. L. MISRA

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n} \cos n t, \quad \phi(0)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the Fourier series associated with an even function $\phi(t)$ which is integrable ( $L$ ) over the interval $(0, \pi)$ and defined outside this range by periodicity.

Lebesgue [2, pp. 561-562 ${ }^{1}$ proved that the series (1) is summable $(C, 1)$ to zero at the point $t=0$ if, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}|\phi(t)| d t=o(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, however, a condition weaker than (2) is satisfied, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \phi(t) d t=o(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, the series (1) is not necessarily summable ( $C, 1$ ). Hahn [1] gave an example to prove that the series (1) is not summable ( $C, 1$ ), though the condition (3) is satisfied but not (2). Prasad [3] has investigated whether, at the point $t=0$, the series (1) would be summable ( $C, 1$ ) if a condition stronger than (3) is satisfied, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{t}^{\delta} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

say, exists as a non-absolutely convergent integral, and he has constructed an example to show that even when (4) is satisfied the series (1) is not necessarily summable ( $C, 1$ ). As the condition (4) implies (3), Prasad's example includes that of Hahn.

The object of the present note is to construct an example to prove that the series (1) is not necessarily summable ( $C, 1$ ) even though the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(t) \equiv \int_{t}^{\pi} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t-s=o\left(1 / \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, is satisfied.
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In view of the fact that the condition (5) is more stringent than (4) and (3), this example would be more far-reaching than those of Prasad and Hahn.

I am much indebted to Dr. B. N. Prasad for his kind interest and advice in the preparation of this note.

Example. Let

$$
\lambda_{r}=3^{r^{2}}, \quad t_{r}=\pi / \lambda_{r}, \quad t_{0}=\pi, \quad c_{r}=1 /(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}
$$

for $r=1,2,3, \cdots$ We define an even function $\phi(t)$ in $(0, \pi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(0)=0, \quad \phi(t)=-c_{r} \lambda_{r} t \cos \lambda_{r} t \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t_{r}<t \leqq t_{r-1}$ and by periodicity outside. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{r}}^{t_{r-1}}|\phi(t)| d t & =c_{r} \lambda_{r} \int_{\pi / \lambda_{r}}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}} t\left|\cos \lambda_{r} t\right| d t \\
& =\frac{c_{r}}{\lambda_{r}} \int_{\pi}^{3^{2 r-1} \pi} u|\cos u| d u \\
& =O\left(\frac{3^{4 r-2}}{\lambda_{r}}\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{3^{r^{2}-4 r+2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{\pi}|\phi(t)| d t & =O(1)+\sum_{r=4}^{\infty} \int_{t_{r}}^{t_{r-1}}|\phi(t)| d t \\
& =O(1)+\sum_{r=4}^{\infty} O\left(\frac{1}{3^{r^{2}-4 r+2}}\right) \\
& =O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $\phi(t)$ is integrable $(L)$ in $(0, \pi)$. Again

$$
\int_{t_{r}}^{t_{r-1}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=-c_{r} \lambda_{r} \int_{\pi / \lambda_{r}}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}} \cos \lambda_{r} t d t=-c_{r}\left[\sin \lambda_{r} t\right]_{\pi / \lambda_{r}}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}}=0
$$

For $t_{r}<t<t_{r-1}$, we have

$$
\int_{t}^{t_{r-1}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=-c_{r}\left[\sin \lambda_{r} t\right]_{t}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}}=c_{r} \sin \lambda_{r} t
$$

so that, taking $s=0$, we have, for $t_{r}<t<t_{r-1}$,

$$
\phi_{1}(t)=\int_{t}^{\pi} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=\int_{t}^{t_{r-1}} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t=c_{r} \sin \lambda_{r} t
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\log \log \frac{1}{t} \phi_{1}(t)\right| & \leqq c_{r} \log \log \frac{1}{t_{r}}=c_{r} \log \log \frac{\lambda_{r}}{\pi} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}} \log \log \frac{3 r^{2}}{\pi} \\
& \leqq \frac{1}{(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}}[2 \log r+\log \log 3]
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(t)=o\left(1 / \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the necessary and sufficient condition that the Fourier series (1) be summable $(C, 1)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi(t) \sin ^{2} \frac{n t}{2} \cdot \frac{d t}{t^{2}}=o(1), \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n}\left[-\sin ^{2} \frac{n t}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{\pi} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} d t\right]_{0}^{\pi} \\
&+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}(t)\left[\frac{\sin n t}{t}-\frac{2}{n} \frac{\sin ^{2}(n t / 2)}{t^{2}}\right] d t=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is $I_{n}+J_{n}=o(1)$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{n} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin n t}{t} d t \\
J_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}(t)\left(\frac{\sin (n t / 2)}{t}\right)^{2} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{n} & =\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi / n} o\left(1 / \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right) d t+\frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi / n}^{\pi} o\left(1 / \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{d t}{t^{2}} \\
& =o(1)+\frac{1}{n} \int_{\pi / n}^{\pi} o\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right) d t=o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

if (5) is satisfied.

Hence in order to show that the Fourier series associated with the function $\phi(t)$ defined by (6) is not summable ( $C, 1$ ) at $t=0$, although the condition (7) is satisfied, we have only to prove that the integral

$$
I_{n}=\int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin n t}{t} d t
$$

tends to $\infty$ as $n$ assumes successively the values of integers

$$
n=\lambda_{r}=3^{r^{2}}, \quad r=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

that is

$$
I_{\lambda_{r}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\lambda_{r}} & =\int_{0}^{\pi} \phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin \lambda_{r} t}{t} d t \\
& =\left[\int_{0}^{t_{r}}+\int_{t_{r}}^{t_{r-1}}+\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i-1}}\right] \phi_{1}(t) \frac{\sin \lambda_{r} t}{t} d t \\
& =I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

say, and

$$
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{t_{r}} o\left(1 / \log \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{\sin \lambda_{r} t}{t}=\lambda_{r} \int_{0}^{\pi / \lambda_{r}} o(1) d t=o(1)
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =c_{r} \int_{\pi / \lambda_{r}}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \lambda_{r} t}{t} d t=\frac{c_{r}}{2} \int_{\pi / \lambda_{r}}^{\pi / \lambda_{r-1}} \frac{1-\cos 2 \lambda_{r} t}{t} d t \\
& =\frac{c_{r}}{2} \log \frac{\lambda_{r}}{\lambda_{r-1}}-\frac{c_{r}}{2} \int_{2 \pi}^{2 \cdot 8^{2 r-1} \pi} \frac{\cos u}{u} d u \\
& =\frac{1}{2(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}} \log 3^{2 r-1}-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}} O(1) \\
& =\frac{(2 r-1)^{1 / 2}}{2} \log 3+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
I_{2} \rightarrow \infty,
$$

$$
\text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \text {. }
$$

Again

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3} & =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} c_{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}-1} \frac{\sin \lambda_{i} t \sin \lambda_{r} t}{t} d t \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{c_{i}}{2} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i-1}} \frac{\cos \left(\lambda_{r}-\lambda_{i}\right) t-\cos \left(\lambda_{r}+\lambda_{i}\right) t}{t} d t \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{c_{i}}{t_{i}} O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{r}-\lambda_{i}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(2 i-1)^{1 / 2}} O\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{r}-\lambda_{i}}\right) \\
& =O\left\{\frac{r 3^{(r-1)^{2}}}{3^{r 2}-3^{(r-1) 2}}\right\}=O\left(\frac{r}{3^{2 r-1}-1}\right)=o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
Combining our results, we have

$$
I_{\lambda_{r}} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$
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