let x be fixed, -1 < x < 1. We obtain for the roots of the polynomial (19) in z the condition

(20)
$$\frac{1+xz}{(1+2xz+z^2)^{1/2}}=x_{\nu}$$

where x_r denotes a root of P_n . Or

(21)
$$z = \frac{x(x_r^2 - 1) \pm x_r((1 - x_r^2)(1 - x^2))^{1/2}}{x^2 - x_r^2},$$

thus the roots in z are all real. Using the trivial inequality (16) the assertion follows.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

NOTE ON THE EIGENVALUES OF THE STURM-LIOUVILLE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

GERALD FREILICH

In discussing eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville differential equation

$$L(u) + \lambda \rho u = 0, \qquad L(u) = (\rho u')' - qu,$$

with

$$\begin{array}{c} p(x) \geq m > 0 \\ q(x) \geq 0 \\ \beta \geq \rho(x) \geq \alpha > 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b, \text{ and for some } \alpha, \beta, \text{ and } m,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$u(a) = c_1 u(b),$$
 $u'(a) = c_2 u'(b),$ $c_1 c_2 p(a) = p(b),$

we find that we can represent our eigenfunctions as unit normals in the directions of the principal axes of an ellipsoid in function space. We define our function space F as the set of all functions v(x), $a \le x \le b$, which satisfy the boundary conditions of the Sturm-Liouville equation. The origin of our space will be the function u(x) = 0. We can now metrize F by defining our inner product (u, v) for

Received by the editors June 26, 1947.

 $u \in F$, $v \in F$, as

$$(u, v) = \int_a^b \rho u v dx.$$

Also $|u| = ((u, u))^{1/2}$, and u is orthogonal to v if and only if (u, v) = 0. Let me now define

$$D(u, v) = \int_{a}^{b} (pu'v' + quv) dx.$$

Notice $D(u, u) = \int_a^b (p(u')^2 + qu^2) dx \ge 0$.

In terms of D, I shall define an infinite-dimensional ellipsoid in our function space. Take a unit vector in F, that is, (u, u) = 1. On this, lay off a length $r = 1/(D(u, u))^{1/2}$. The set of points of F thus determined for all unit vectors of F constitutes the ellipsoid. It can be shown that the unit normals in the directions of the principal axes constitute a complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions. Furthermore, if we arrange this set such that u_1 is the unit vector in the direction of the longest principal axis, u_2 the unit vector in the direction of the next longest principal axis, and so on, then $\lambda_1 = D(u_1, u_1)$, $\lambda_2 = D(u_2, u_2), \cdots$ constitute an increasing set of eigenvalues, λ_i being the eigenvalue of u_i .

To show that $\lambda_n \approx n^2$ as $n \to \infty$, we must define the *n*th principal axis $u_n(x)$ independently of the previous n-1 principal axes $u_i(x)$, $i=1, 2, \cdots, n-1$. This is customarily done in the following manner:

Take a set of n-1 linearly independent vectors of F, v_1, v_2, \cdots , v_{n-1} , through the origin and consider all unit vectors $u \perp v_i$, $i=1, 2, 3, \cdots, n-1$. Hence (u, u) = 1, $(u, v_i) = 0$. Let min $D(u, u) = f(v_i)$. Then max $f(v_i) = \lambda_n$.

The way I define λ_n runs as follows:

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be a set of *n* linearly independent vectors of *F*. Consider

$$u = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n.$$

Then we normalize u getting u/|u|. It is easy to see that D(u/|u|, u/|u|) = D(u, u)/(u, u). Now let $g(v_i) = \max D(u, u)/(u, u)$ for all u as defined above. Then

$$\min g(v_i) = \lambda_n.$$

To prove this, let $v_i = u_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$(u, u) = (\sum c_i u_i, \sum c_j u_j) = \sum c_i c_j (u_i, u_j) = \sum c_i^2$$

[April

406

$$\frac{D(u, u)}{(u, u)} = \frac{D(\sum c_i u_i, \sum c_i u_j)}{\sum c_i^2} = \frac{\sum c_i^2 \lambda_i}{\sum c_i^2} \leq \frac{\sum c_i^2 \lambda_n}{\sum c_i^2} = \lambda_n$$

since $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_n$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Hence $g(u_i) \leq \lambda_n$. However, if $c_i=0$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $c_n=1$, then $u=u_n$, and hence $D(u, u)/(u, u) = \lambda_n$, $g(u_i) = \lambda_n$. To complete the proof I must show that for all v_i , $g(v_i) \geq \lambda_n$.

Let $u = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ be such that $u \perp u_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n-1$, that is, $(u, u_i) = 0 = c_1(u_i, v_1) + \cdots + c_n(u_iv_n)$. This is always possible since I have n-1 equations in n unknowns. Now by the definition of u_n , for all vectors $u \perp u_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$,

$$\lambda_n = D(u_n, u_n) \leq \frac{D(u, u)}{(u, u)}$$

Hence $g(v_i) = \max D(u, u)/(u, u) \ge \lambda_n$.

With this definition, we can now develop inequalities for the eigenvalues. Consider

(1)
$$(p_1 u')' - q_1 u + \lambda \rho_1 u = 0,$$

(2)
$$(p_2 u')' - q_2 u + \mu \rho_2 u = 0$$

with

$$p_1(x) \leq p_2(x), \quad q_1(x) \leq q_2(x), \quad \rho_1(x) \geq \rho_2(x) \quad \text{for } a \leq x \leq b,$$

and with both equations satisfying similar boundary conditions. Denoting the eigenvalues of (1) by

 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \cdots$

and those of (2) by

$$\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \cdots,$$

we shall prove that $\lambda_n \leq \mu_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be a fixed set of *n* independent vectors. Let $u = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n$. For (1) the normalized *u* is $u/|u|_1$ and $D_1(u/|u|_1, u/|u|_1) = D_1(u, u)/(u, u)_1$, $g_1(v_i) = \max D_1(u, u)/(u, u)_1$. For (2) the normalized *u* is $u/|u|_2$, and $g_2(v_i) = \max D_2(u, u)/(u, u)_2$. Since $D_1(u, u) = \int (p_1(u')^2 + q_1u^2) dx$, $D_2(u, u) = \int (p_2(u')^2 + q_2u^2) dx$, it follows that $D_2(u, u) \ge D_1(u, u)$. Since $(u, u)_1 = \int \rho_1 u^2 dx$, $(u, u)_2 = \int \rho_2 u^2 dx$, it follows that $(u, u)_1 \ge du$. Since $\min g_2(v_i) = \mu_n$, and $\min g_1(v_i) \lambda_n$, then $\mu_n \ge \lambda_n$.

With these preliminaries, the proof about the asymptotic behavior of λ_n is standard.

1948]

GERALD FREILICH

An example of the use of this new definition of λ_n is that it affords a method of obtaining upper bounds and approximations to λ_n .

Take $v_i = v_i(x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$, with r parameters, such that v_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ satisfies the boundary conditions, that is, it is a vector in function space. Then form

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \cdots + c_n v_n,$$

and normalize u, getting

$$(u, u) = \sum c_i c_j (v_i, v_j) = 1.$$

We can now calculate max D(u, u), for

$$D(u, u) = \sum c_i c_j D(v_i, v_j),$$

and hence we get

$$\frac{\partial \left[\sum c_i c_j D(v_i, v_j)\right]}{\partial c_i} = \sigma \frac{\partial \left[\sum c_i c_j (v_i, v_j)\right]}{\partial c_i},$$

which together with the equation

$$\sum c_i c_j(v_i, v_j) = 1$$

determine the c_i .

Hence max $D(u, u) = h(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \ge \lambda_n$. Hence to approximate λ_n , we minimize the function $h(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r)$. Good approximations depend of course on the choice of the original v_i . It is to be noticed that this is just an extension of the ordinary method of computing λ_0 .

BROWN UNIVERSITY

408