## HANKEL TRANSFORMS AND VARIATION DIMINISHING KERNELS

BY I. I. HIRSCHMAN, JR.

Communicated by Walter Rudin, October 8, 1959

If  $\phi(x)$  is a continuous function on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  then we denote by  $V[\phi]$  the number of variations of sign of  $\phi(x)$  on  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . A measurable function G(x) on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  such that

$$G(x) \ge 0, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x) dx = 1,$$

and such that

$$V[G * \phi] \leq V[\phi]$$

for every bounded continuous  $\phi$  will be called a variation diminishing \*-kernel. Here

(1) 
$$G * \phi \cdot (x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x - y) \phi(y) dy.$$

I. J. Schoenberg has proved that if G is a variation diminishing \*-kernel then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x)e^{-ixt}dx$$

is of the form

(2) 
$$\left[e^{ct^2+ibt}\prod_{k}\left(1-\frac{it}{a_k}\right)e^{it/a_k}\right]^{-1}$$

where the  $a_k$ 's are real and  $\sum_k a_k^{-2}$  is finite, b is real, and c is real and non-negative. Conversely every function of the form (2) is the Fourier transform of a variation diminishing \*-kernel. See [8] and [9].

In the present note we will sketch an analogous theory in which certain convolutions of functions on  $(0, \infty)$ , associated with Hankel transforms replace the convolution (1).

Let  $\gamma$  be fixed,  $0 \leq \gamma$ . We define

$$T(x) = 2^{\gamma - 1/2} \Gamma(\gamma + 1/2) x^{1/2 - \gamma} J_{\gamma - 1/2}(x),$$
  

$$\mu(x) = x^{2\gamma + 1/2} \gamma^{\gamma + 1/2} \Gamma(\gamma + 3/2).$$

Let L be the set of measurable functions f(x) on  $(0, \infty)$  for which  $\int_0^\infty |f(x)| d\mu(x)$  is finite. For  $f \in L$  we set

(3) 
$$f^{\hat{}}(t) = \int_0^\infty T(xt)f(x)d\mu(x).$$

 $f^{\hat{}}(t)$  is the Hankel transform (of index  $\gamma$ ) of f(x). Let

$$D(x, y, z) = \frac{2^{3\gamma-5/2} \Gamma(\gamma + 1/2)^2}{\Gamma(\gamma)\pi^{1/2}} (xyz)^{-2\gamma+1} A(x, y, z)^{2\gamma-2}$$

where A(x, y, z) is the area of a triangle whose sides are x, y, z if there is such a triangle and otherwise is zero. If f(x) and g(x) are defined on  $(0, \infty)$  then we formally set

$$f \# g \cdot (x) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(y)g(z)D(x, y, z)d\mu(y)d\mu(z).$$

It can be verified that if  $f, g \in L$  then  $f \# g \in L$  and  $(f \# g)^{\hat{}} = f^{\hat{}} \cdot g^{\hat{}}$ ; that is, the Hankel transform (3) behaves in regard to the convolution # exactly as does the Fourier transform with regard to ordinary convolution \* on the real line. This convolution associated with the Hankel transform was discovered by Delsarte [3] and [4]. See also the papers of Bochner [1] and [2] and the author [6].

If  $\psi(x)$  is a continuous function on  $(0, \infty)$  let  $V[\psi]$  denote the number of changes of sign of  $\psi(x)$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . A measurable function H(x) on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$H(x) \geq 0, \qquad \int_0^\infty H(x)d\mu(x) = 1,$$

and such that

$$V[H \# \psi] \leq V[\psi]$$

for every continuous bounded function  $\psi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  will be called a variation diminishing #-kernel. Our principal result is the following.

THEOREM. If H(x) is a variation diminishing #-kernel then  $H^{\hat{}}(t)$  is of the form

$$\left[e^{ct^2}\prod_k\left(1+\frac{t^2}{a_k^2}\right)\right]^{-1}$$

where the  $a_k$ 's are real and  $\sum_k a_k^{-2}$  is finite, and where c is non-negative. Conversely every function of the form (4) is the Hankel transform of a variation diminishing #-kernel.

The demonstration of this result follows very closely the pattern established by Schoenberg. It is to be noted that for  $\gamma = 0$ , this theorem is contained in Schoenberg's theorem as a special case.

Many important integral transforms can be reduced to the form  $f = G * \phi$  where G is a variation diminishing \*-kernel. Such transforms have a very extensive theory which is the subject of a book by D. V. Widder and the author [7]. It is evident that a parallel development can be carried through for the transforms  $g = H \# \psi$ . See also in this connection the paper by Fox [5].

## References

- 1. S. Bochner, *Positive zonal functions on spheres*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 40 (1954) pp. 1141-1147.
- 2. ——, Sturm-Liouville and heat equations whose eigenfunctions are ultraspherical polynomials or associated Bessel functions, Proceedings of the Conference on Differential Equations, held at the University of Maryland, 1955, pp. 23-48.
- 3. J. Delsarte, Sur une extension de la formule de Taylor, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) vol. 17 (1936) pp. 213-231.
- 4. ——, Une extension nouvelle de la théorie de fonctions presque periodiques de Bohr, Acta. Math. vol. 69 (1938) pp. 259-317.
- 5. C. Fox, The inversion of convolution transforms by differential operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 4 (1953) pp. 880-887.
- 6. I. I. Hirschman, Jr., Harmonic analysis and ultraspherical polynomials, Symposium on Harmonic Analysis and Related Integral Transforms held at Cornell University, 1956, vol. 1.
- 7. I. I. Hirschman, Jr. and D. V. Widder, The convolution transform, Princeton, 1955.
- 8. I. J. Schoenberg, On Polya frequency functions I, J. Analyse Math. vol. 1 (1951)
- 9. ——, On Pòlya frequency functions II, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged vol. 12 (1950) pp. 97-106.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY