CONCENTRIC TORI IN THE 3-SPHERE

BY C. H. EDWARDS, JR. Communicated by R. H. Bing, December 18, 1960

It is proved in this paper that, if A, B, and C are tame solid tori in the 3-sphere S^3 with $A \subset Int B$ and $B \subset Int C$, then A and C are concentric if and only if B is concentric with both A and C. It follows from this result that S^3 does not contain an uncountable collection of mutually disjoint tori, no two of which are concentric.

A torus is the topological product of two circles, while a solid torus is the topological product of a circle and a disk. Two solid tori B and B^* , with $B \subset \text{Int } B^*$, are said to be concentric if $Cl(B^*-B)$ is the topological product of a torus and an interval, while two tori T and T^* in S^3 are concentric if they are the boundaries of two concentric solid tori B and B^* respectively in S^3 . By a meridianal disk of the polyhedral solid torus B is meant a polyhedral disk D, with Int $D \subset \text{Int } B$ and $Bd \subset Bd B$, such that Bd D is non-nullhomologous on Bd B. Now let D and E be disjoint meridianal disks of the polyhedral solid torus B, and let E1 and E2 be the closures of the two components of E4. Suppose that E5 are unknotted polygonal chords of the 3-cells E6 and E7 respectively, each with endpoints E8. Then the simple closed polygon E9 is called a center line of E9.

If k is a simple closed polygon interior to the polyhedral solid torus B in S^3 , then the order of B with respect to k, denoted by O(B, k), is defined to be the minimal number of points of $k \cap D$, for all meridianal disks D of B [5]. If B and B^* are two polyhedral solid tori in S^3 , with $B \subset I$ nt B^* , then the order of B^* with respect to B, denoted by $O(B^*, B)$, is defined to be the order of B^* with respect to an arbitrary center line of B [5]. The two polyhedral solid tori B and B^* in S^3 are said to be equivalently knotted if and only if any two center lines c of B and c^* of B^* can be so oriented as to represent the same knot (the same equivalence class of oriented closed polygons under orientation-preserving semilinear autohomeomorphisms of S^3) [5].

A characterization of the relation of concentricity is provided by

LEMMA 1. Suppose that B and B^* are two polyhedral solid tori in S^3 with $B \subset Int B^*$. Then B and B^* are concentric if and only if they are equivalently knotted with $O(B^*, B) = 1$.

Lemma 1 is proved by using some results of Schubert [5] on polyhedral solid tori to sharpen the concentric toral theorem of Harrold, Griffith, and Posey [4].

THEOREM 1. Suppose that A, B, and C are tame solid tori in S^3 with $A \subset Int B$ and $B \subset Int C$. Then A and C are concentric if and only if B is concentric with both A and C.

PROOF. Since there is a homeomorphism of S^3 onto itself carrying A, B, and C onto polyhedral solid tori, it may be assumed that A, B, and C are polyhedral.

Suppose first that A and C are concentric. It follows from Lemma 1 that A and C are equivalently knotted with O(C, A) = 1. A theorem of Schubert [5, p. 175] then implies that O(C, B)O(B, A) = O(C, A) = 1, so that O(C, B) = O(B, A) = 1, since the order of one solid torus with respect to another is a non-negative integer.

It remains to be shown that A, B, and C are equivalently knotted. Since A and C are concentric, they have a common center line a. Let b be a center line of B, with a and b so oriented that they are homologous in B. Denote by \bar{a} and \bar{b} the knots in S^3 represented by a and b respectively. Since $a \subset \text{Int } B$ with O(B, a) = 1, a second theorem of Schubert [5, p. 171] implies that $\bar{a} = \bar{b}\bar{x}$ for some knot \bar{x} . Since $b \subset \text{Int } C \text{ with } O(C, b) = 1$, the same theorem implies that $\bar{b} = \bar{a}\bar{y}$ for some knot \bar{y} . The knot product used here is that defined by Schubert [5] as follows: Suppose that \bar{k}_1 and \bar{k}_2 are any two knots in S^3 . Let S be a polyhedral 2-sphere in S^3 with complementary domains D_1 and D_2 , and let w be a polygonal arc on S with endpoints p and q. Let u_1 and u_2 be oriented chords of D_1 and D_2 respectively, both with endpoints p and q, u_1 directed from p to q and u_2 from q to p, such that $u_i \cup w$ (oriented coherently with u_i) represents the knot \bar{k}_i , i=1, 2. The knot represented by the oriented polygon $u_1 \cup u_2$ is then defined to be the product $\bar{k}_1\bar{k}_2$ of the knots \bar{k}_1 and \bar{k}_2 .

The properties of this product are such that the relations $\bar{a} = b\bar{x}$ and $\bar{b} = \bar{a}\bar{y}$ imply that $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$, so that the solid tori A, B, and C are equivalently knotted. It now follows from Lemma 1 that B is concentric with both A and C.

To prove the converse, suppose that B is concentric with both A and C. Then, by Lemma 1, A and C are equivalently knotted with O(C, A) = O(C, B)O(B, A) = 1, so that A and C are concentric.

COROLLARY 1. Suppose that $\{B_n\}_1^{\infty}$ is a sequence of tame solid tori in S^3 , with $B_{n+1} \subset \text{Int } B_n$ for $n \geq 1$, such that $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ is a tame solid torus. Then there exists an integer N such that A and B_n are concentric for $n \geq N$.

PROOF. Choose a tame solid torus C such that $A \subset Int C$ with A and C concentric. If N is a positive integer sufficiently large that $B_n \subset Int C$ for $n \ge N$, then Theorem 1 implies that A and B_n are concentric for $n \ge N$.

THEOREM 2. The 3-sphere S³ does not contain an uncountable collection of mutually disjoint tori, no two of which are concentric.

PROOF. Suppose that G is an uncountable collection of mutually disjoint tori in S^3 . Since Bing [3] has shown that S^3 does not contain uncountably many mutually disjoint wild closed surfaces, it may be assumed that each torus in G is tame. Therefore, by a theorem of Alexander [1], there may be assigned to each torus $T_{\alpha} \in G$ a solid torus B_{α} in S^3 such that $T_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Bd} B_{\alpha}$. It may also be assumed without loss that, given T_{α} and T_{β} in G, either $B_{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{Int} B_{\beta}$ or $B_{\beta} \subset \operatorname{Int} B_{\alpha}$, so that G can be linearly ordered by defining $T_{\alpha} < T_{\beta}$ if and only if $B_{\alpha} \subset \operatorname{Int} B_{\beta}$.

By a theorem of Whyburn [6], G contains an uncountable subcollection G^* such that, to each torus $T \\\subset G^*$ and each point $p \\\subset S^3 - T$, there corresponds a torus $T' \\\subset G^*$ which separates T and p in S^3 . Hence let T_0 be an element of this uncountable subcollection G^* , and denote by B_0 the corresponding solid torus bounded by T_0 (as assigned above). Now let C be a tame solid torus concentric with B_0 and containing B_0 in its interior. Then, given any point $p \\\subset Bd$ C, there is a torus T_p in G^* separating p and T in S^3 . It follows by an elementary compactness argument, using the linear order introduced above, that there is a torus T_γ in G^* such that $B_0 \subset Int$ B_γ and $B_\gamma \subset Int$ C, if B_γ is the assigned solid torus bounded by T_γ .

Theorem 1 now applies to show that B_0 and B_{γ} are concentric, so that G contains pairs of concentric tori. With G assumed to be linearly ordered as indicated above, Theorem 1 implies that the relation of concentricity is transitive in G, so that a countability argument may be used to show that G contains an uncountable subcollection G', such that any two tori in G' are concentric.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- J. W. Alexander, On the sub-division of space by a polyhedron, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. vol. 10 (1924) pp. 6-8.
- 2. R. H. Bing, Locally tame sets are tame, Ann. of Math. vol. 59 (1954) pp. 145-158.
- 3. ——, E³ does not contain uncountably many mutually exclusive wild surfaces, Abstract 63-801t, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 63 (1957) p. 404.
- 4. O. G. Harrold, H. C. Griffith, and E. E. Posey, A characterization of tame curves in 3-space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 79 (1955) pp. 12-35.
 - 5. H. Schubert, Knoten und Vollringe, Acta Math. vol. 90 (1953) pp. 132-286.
- 6. G. T. Whyburn, Non-separated cuttings of connected point sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 33 (1931) pp. 444-454.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE