## A GENERALIZATION OF THE HILTON-MILNOR THEOREM
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The Hilton-Milnor theorem states that $\Omega \mathrm{V}_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma X_{i}$ is homotopy equivalent to a weak infinite product, $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega \Sigma X_{i}$, where each $X_{i}, i>n$, is a smash product of the $X_{i}$ 's, $i \leqq n$. In this note we extend this theorem to the 'wedges' lying between $\mathrm{V}_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma X_{i}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \Sigma X_{i}$.

It will be assumed that all spaces are connected countable CWcomplexes with base points. $T_{i}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is the subset of $X_{1} \times \cdots \times X_{n}$ consisting of those points with at least $i$ coordinates at base points. $T_{0}$ is the cartesian product and $T_{n-1}$ is the space studied by Hilton and Milnor. $T_{n-1}$ will also be denoted by $\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}$. The smash product $\Lambda\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is the quotient space $T_{0}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) / T_{1}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$. Define $X^{(n)}$ inductively by $X^{(0)}=S^{0}$ and $X^{(n)}=\Lambda\left(X^{(n-1)}, X\right)$, for $n>0$.

The $n$-fold suspension, $\Sigma^{n} X$, is defined to be $\Lambda\left(S^{n}, X\right)$. The loop space of $X, \Omega X$, is the set of maps, $f: I \rightarrow X$, such that $f(0)=f(1)=*$. We shall abbreviate $\left(\Sigma X_{1}, \cdots, \Sigma X_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega X_{1}, \cdots, \Omega X_{n}\right)$ by $\Sigma\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$, respectively.

Theorem 1. $\Omega T_{i} \Sigma\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a weak infinite product, $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega \Sigma X_{j}$, where each $X_{j}$ is equal to $\Sigma^{r} \wedge\left(X_{1}^{(11)}, \cdots, X_{n}^{\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)$ for some $(n+1)$-tuple, $\left(r, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{n}\right)$, depending upon $j$. Moreover, the set of $(n+1)$-tuples over which the product is taken is computable.

If $i=n-1$, Theorem 1 is the Hilton-Milnor theorem. It was proven in [1] by Hilton when the $X_{i}$ are spheres and extended to the general case by Milnor [2].

We shall sketch the proof of Theorem 1 , when $n-i \geqq 2$. The details will appear in [3].

The inclusion map $j: T_{i}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \rightarrow T_{0}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ may be replaced by a homotopy equivalent fibre map, $p: E \rightarrow T_{0}$, with fibre $F_{i}$. It is easily seen that when $n-i \geqq 2$, the short exact sequence

$$
* \rightarrow \Omega F_{i} \rightarrow \Omega E \rightarrow \Omega T_{0} \rightarrow *
$$

splits yielding:

[^0]Lemma 1. $\Omega T_{i}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right) \sim \Omega X_{1} \times \cdots \times \Omega X_{n} \times \Omega F_{i}$.
Thus an analysis of $\Omega T_{i}$ depends upon a study of $F_{i}$. Standard homotopy methods are applied and it is shown that

Theorem 2. $F_{i}$ is homotopy equivalent to

$$
\underset{s}{\operatorname{V}} \dot{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\Sigma^{n-i} \Lambda \Omega\left(X_{j_{v}}, \cdots, X_{j_{k}}\right)\right)
$$

with $S=\left\{\left(j_{1}, \cdots, j_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leqq j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} \leqq n\right.$ with $\left.n-i+1 \leqq k \leqq n\right\}$ and $r$ equal to the binomial coefficient

$$
\binom{k-1}{n-i}
$$

where $V_{r} X$ is the one point union of $r$ copies of $X$.
If we rename the spaces of Theorem 2, we may write $\Omega F_{i} \sim \Omega \bigvee_{j=1}^{N} \Sigma Y_{j}$. This is the case studied by Hilton and Milnor. Their result shows that $\Omega F_{i}$ is homotopy equivalent to a weak infinite product, $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega \Sigma Y_{j}$, where each $Y_{j}=\Sigma^{r} \Lambda\left(Y_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \cdots, Y_{N}^{\left(i_{N}\right)}\right)$ for some ( $N+1$ )-tuple, $\left(r, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{N}\right)$. Since each $Y_{j}, j \leqq N$, is of the form $\Sigma^{n-i-1} \wedge\left(\left(\Omega X_{1}\right)^{(i 1)}, \cdots,\left(\Omega X_{n}\right)^{\left(i_{n}\right)}\right)$, it follows that each $Y_{j}, j>N$, is of the form $\Sigma^{r} \Lambda\left(\left(\Omega X_{1}\right)^{(j 1)}, \cdots,\left(\Omega X_{n}\right)^{\left(j_{n}\right)}\right)$. We thus have:

Theorem 3. $\Omega T_{i}\left(X_{1}, \cdots, X_{n}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a weak infinite product, $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega \Sigma X_{j}$, where each $X_{j}, j>n$, equals

$$
\Sigma^{r} \Lambda\left(\left(\Omega X_{1}\right)^{\left(j_{1}\right)}, \cdots,\left(\Omega X_{n}\right)^{\left(j_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

for some $(n+1)$-tuple, $\left(r, j_{1}, \cdots, j_{n}\right)$, depending upon $j$. In addition there exists an algorithm for computing the set of $(n+1)$-tuples over which the product is taken.

In particular the algorithm is given by combining the HiltonMilnor theorem with Theorem 2. Note that the $X_{i}, i \leqq n$, of Theorem 3 need not be suspensions. However, if each $X_{i}=\Sigma Y_{i}$, for some space $Y_{i}$, a further decomposition is possible as seen by the following theorem.

Theorem 4. If $r \geqq 1, \Omega \Sigma^{r} \wedge \Omega \Sigma\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{m}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a weak infinite product, $\prod_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \Omega \Sigma Y_{i}$, where each $Y_{i}$, $i \geqq m+1$, is equal to $\Sigma^{t} \wedge\left(Y_{1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}, \cdots, Y_{m}^{\left(i_{m}\right)}\right)$ for some $(m+1)$-tuple, ( $t, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{m}$ ). Moreover, an explicit algorithm can be given for computing the set of $(m+1)$-tuples over which the product is taken.

Theorem 1 follows from Theorems 3 and 4.
The proof of Theorem 4 is modeled after [2]. The set of $Y_{j}, j>m$, of Theorem 4 is called a set of $\Lambda$-basic products and is defined inductively as follows. The basic product of weight one are $Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{m}$, and $\Sigma^{m-1} \Lambda\left(Y_{1}, \cdots, Y_{m}\right)=Y_{m+1}$. Those of weight two are $Y_{m+j+1}$ $=\Lambda\left(Y_{m+1}, Y_{j}\right), j=1, \cdots, m$. Define $e$ by setting $e(h)=0$ if $1 \leqq h$ $\leqq m+1$ and $e(h)=h-(m+1)$ if $m+1<h \leqq 2 m+1$. Let $n>2$. Assume inductively that the products of weight less than $n$ have been defined and are ordered and that $e(i)$ is defined for all such $i$. The basic products of weight $n$ are all elements $\Lambda\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$ such that weight $Y_{i}+$ weight $Y_{j}=n$ and $e(i) \leqq j<i$. These are ordered arbitrarily among themselves and are greater than all products of lesser weight. Let $e(h)=j$ if $Y_{h}=\Lambda\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$. This completes the inductive description of $\left\{Y_{j}\right\}$.
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