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The differential equation for the Meijer $G$-function (generalized hypergeometric function) with respect to the argument $z$, [1], can be written in an elegant factored form using the differential operator $z(d / d z)$. Recently, [2], [3], it has been found that particular Meijer $G$-functions satisfy difference equations with respect to a parameter, and it is the purpose of this paper to deduce analogous factored forms for these difference equations.

Consider the function

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} z^{s} \Omega(s) K(s, x, y) d s,  \tag{1}\\
\Omega(s)=\frac{\Gamma(c-s) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(b_{j}-s\right) \Gamma(1-c+s) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(1-a_{j}+s\right)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \Gamma\left(1-b_{j}+s\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-s\right)}, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
0 \leqq m \leqq q, \quad 0 \leqq k \leqq p ; \quad a_{j} \neq b_{i}, \quad 1 \leqq j \leqq k, \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq m
$$

(3) $K(s, x, y)=\Gamma(x+\delta s) / \Gamma(x+y+\epsilon s), \quad \epsilon$ and $\delta$ integers, $\delta \geqq 0$,
where $L$ is an infinite loop contour which separates the poles of $\Gamma(x+\delta s)$ $\cdot \Gamma(1-c+s) \prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(1-a_{j}+s\right)$ from those of $\Gamma(c-s) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(b_{j}-s\right)$. Here and in what follows, we tacitly assume that the complex quan-

[^0]tities $a_{i}, b_{j}, c, x, y$ and $z$ are such that the contour $L$ actually exists. For more details about such integrals, see [1, p. 20].

We define two linear difference operators with respect to $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{H}(\mu, x, y) & =\alpha \mathfrak{Y}+\beta \mathfrak{E}, \quad \alpha=(x-\mu \delta) / \Delta, \quad \beta=(\epsilon \mu-x-y) / \Delta \\
\mathfrak{U}^{*}(x, y) & =\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathfrak{U}(\mu, x, y)}{\mu}=\alpha^{*} \mathfrak{Y}+\beta^{*} \mathfrak{G}, \\
\alpha^{*} & =-\delta / \Delta, \quad \beta^{*}=\epsilon / \Delta, \quad \Delta=x(\epsilon-\delta)-y \delta \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}$ is the shift operator $\mathscr{E} f(x)=f(x+1)$, and $\Im$ is the identity operator. Direct computation shows that
(5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{U}(\mu, x, y) K(s, x, y) & =K(s, x, y+1)(\mu+s) \\
\mathfrak{U}^{*}(x, y) K(s, x, y) & =K(s, x, y+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{B}= & z \mathfrak{C}^{\delta} \prod_{j=1}^{p} \mathfrak{A}\left(1-a_{j}, x, y+u+p-j\right) \prod_{j=1}^{u} \mathfrak{\mathfrak { I } ^ { * }}(x, y+u-j) \\
& +(-1)^{m+p+k} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \mathfrak{A}\left(-b_{j}, x, y+v+q-j\right) \prod_{j=1}^{v} \mathfrak{H}^{*}(x, y+v-j),  \tag{6}\\
u & =\max [0, q-p+\epsilon-\delta], \quad v=\max [0, p-q+\delta-\epsilon]
\end{align*}
$$

In the ordinary product notation used above, the order of the factors must be interpreted as follows:

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{r} P_{j}=P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{r}
$$

Our principal result is the following
Theorem. For the $a_{i}, b_{j}, c, x, y$ and $z$ as previously restricted,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{B} G(x)= & (-1)^{p+k} \frac{z^{c} \Gamma(x+\delta c)}{\Gamma(x+y+v+q+\epsilon c)} \\
& \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k} \Gamma\left(1+c-a_{j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(1+b_{j}-c\right)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q} \Gamma\left(c-b_{j}\right) \prod_{j=k+1}^{p} \Gamma\left(a_{j}-c\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By applying $\mathfrak{B}$ directly to the integrand of (1), and using (5), together with
(8) $\Omega(s+1)=\Omega(s)(-1)^{m+k+p+1} \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(1-a_{j}+s\right) / \prod_{j=1}^{q}\left(1-b_{j}+s\right)$,
one readily verifies that
(9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B} G(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L} z^{s+1} \Omega(s) \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(1-a_{j}+s\right) K(s, x+\delta, y+u+p) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{L-1} z^{s+1} \Omega(s) \prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(1-a_{j}+s\right) K(s+1, x, y+v+q) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

As $K(s, x+\delta, y+u+p)=K(s+1, x, y+u+p+\delta-\epsilon)$, and $u+p+\delta-\epsilon$ $=v+q, \mathfrak{B} G(x)$ is just equal to the sum of the residues of $z^{s+1} \Omega(s)$ - $\prod_{j=1}^{p}\left(1-a_{j}+s\right) K(s+1, x, y+v+q)$ contained in the region between $L$ and $L-1$. By inspection, we see the only possible residue is at $s=c-1$, and (9) reduces to (7).

Remark 1. It should be noted that there is a certain arbitrariness in the definition of $\mathfrak{B}$, which is attributable to the symmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{U}\left(\mu_{2}, x, y+1\right) \mathfrak{U}\left(\mu_{1}, x, y\right)=\mathfrak{U}\left(\mu_{1}, x, y+1\right) \mathfrak{A}\left(\mu_{2}, x, y\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{B}$ can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{B}=\sum_{j=0}^{\tau}\left[A_{j}+z B_{j}\right] \mathscr{E}^{j}, \quad B_{0}=0,  \tag{11}\\
& \quad \tau=\max \{q, q+\epsilon, p+\delta, p+\delta-\epsilon\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 2. In reference [3] it was shown that the extended Jacobi functions

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{r+3} F_{t}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
-n, n+\lambda, \sigma_{r}, 1 \\
\rho_{t}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)  \tag{12}\\
&=\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+\lambda)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{t} \Gamma\left(\rho_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(\sigma_{j}\right)} G_{r+3, t+1}^{1, r+2}\left(z \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1-n-\lambda, 1-\sigma_{r}, 0, n+1 \\
0,1-\rho_{t}
\end{array}\right.\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and the extended Laguerre functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{r+2} F_{t}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
-n, \sigma_{r}, 1 \\
\rho_{t}
\end{array} \right\rvert\, z\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \quad=\frac{\Gamma(n+1) \prod_{j=1}^{t} \Gamma\left(\rho_{j}\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{r} \Gamma\left(\sigma_{j}\right)} G_{r+2, t+1}^{1, r+1}\left(z \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
1-\sigma_{r}, 0, n+1 \\
0,1-\rho_{t}
\end{array}\right.\right)
\end{align*}
$$

satisfy normalized difference equations involving a difference operator of the form (11) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\max [r+2, t] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\max [r+1, t] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Furthermore, it was shown that these functions satisfied no other difference equation so normalized of orders $\leqq$ those given by (14) and (15), respectively, provided certain conditions on $\rho_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \lambda$ were satisfied.

But the $G$-function on the right in (12) is the integral (1) with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m=0, & k=p=r, \quad q=t, \quad c=0, \quad x=n+\lambda \\
& y=1-\lambda, \quad \delta=1, \quad \epsilon=-1 \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

while the right-hand side of (13) is, apart from a constant multiple, (1) with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
m=0, & k=p=r, \quad q=t, & c=0, \quad x=n+1  \tag{17}\\
& y=0, \quad \delta=0, & \epsilon=-1
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, the formula for $\tau$ in (11) gives (14) for the values (16), and (15) for the values (17). In view of the aforementioned uniqueness of the difference equations, it follows that (6) will yield a factorization of those difference equations given in [3].
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