## **EXTENSION OF A THEOREM OF CARLESON**

## BY PETER L. DUREN

Communicated by John Wermer, July 29, 1968

One of the main ingredients in Carleson's solution to the corona problem [2] is the theorem characterizing the measures  $\mu$  on the open unit disk with the property that  $f \in H^p$  implies

$$\int_{|z|<1} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) < \infty, \qquad 0 < p < \infty.$$

Carleson's proof of this theorem involves a difficult covering argument and the consideration of a certain quadratic form (see also [1]). L. Hörmander later found a proof which appeals to the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem and avoids any discussion of quadratic forms. The main difficulty in this approach is to show that a certain sublinear operator is of weak type (1, 1). Here a covering argument reappears which is similar to Carleson's but apparently easier (see [4]).

We wish to point out that Hörmander's argument, with appropriate modifications, actually proves the theorem in the following extended form.

THEOREM. Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on |z| < 1, and suppose 0 . Then in order that there exist a constant C such that

(1) 
$$\left\{\int_{|z|<1} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z)\right\}^{1/q} \leq C ||f||_p$$

for all  $f \in H^p$ , it is necessary and sufficient that there be a constant A such that

(2) 
$$\mu(S) \leq A h^{q/p}$$

for every set S of the form

(3) 
$$S = \{ \operatorname{re}^{i\theta} \colon 1 - h \leq r < 1, \ \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0 + h \}.$$

OUTLINE OF PROOF. A standard argument (factoring out Blaschke products) shows it is enough to consider the case p = 2. The necessity of (2) is then proved by choosing  $f(z) = (1 - \alpha z)^{-1}$ , where  $|\alpha| < 1$ .

Conversely, let p = 2 and suppose (2) holds. Since each  $f \in H^2$  is the Poisson integral of its boundary function, it will be sufficient to prove that

(4) 
$$\left\{\int_{|z|<1} [u(z)]^q d\mu(z)\right\}^{1/q} \leq C ||\varphi||_2$$

if u(z) is the Poisson integral of a nonnegative function  $\varphi \in L^2$ .

With each point  $z = re^{i\theta}$  in 0 < |z| < 1 we associate the boundary arc

$$I_{z} = \left\{ e^{it} \colon \theta - \frac{1}{2}(1-r) \leq t \leq \theta + \frac{1}{2}(1-r) \right\}.$$

Taking  $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$ , we can identify  $I_z$  with a segment on the real line. Given an integrable function  $\varphi(t) \ge 0$ , periodic with period  $2\pi$ , define

$$\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \sup \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I} \varphi(t) dt$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals  $I \supset I_s$  of length |I| < 1. Then  $\tilde{\varphi}(z)$  is continuous in 0 < |z| < 1. It is not difficult to show that

$$u(z) \leq 16\pi^2 \big\{ \tilde{\varphi}(z) + \big\|\varphi\big\|_1 \big\}, \qquad \big|z\big| < 1,$$

where u is the Poisson integral of  $\varphi$ . Thus it will suffice to prove (4) with  $\tilde{\varphi}$  replacing u.

In other words, we must show that the sublinear operator  $T: \varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}$  is of type (2, q). Since T is trivially of type  $(\infty, \infty)$ , this will follow from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem if it can be shown that T is of weak type (1, q) for  $1 \leq q < \infty$ :

(5) 
$$\mu(E_s) \leq Cs^{-q} \|\varphi\|_1^q,$$

where

$$E_s = \{z: \tilde{\varphi}(z) > s\}, \quad s > 0.$$

It is only in proving (5) that any use is made of the assumption that

$$\mu(S) \leq Ah^q$$

for all S of the form (3). (For convenience, q/2 has been replaced by q.)

Essentially following Hörmander [4], we define for each  $\epsilon > 0$  the sets

$$A_{\bullet}^{\epsilon} = \left\{ z \colon \int_{I_{z}} |\varphi(t)| dt > s(\epsilon + |I_{z}|) \right\},$$

and

$$B_s^{\epsilon} = \{ z \colon I_z \subset I_w \text{ for some } w \in A_s^{\epsilon} \}.$$

[January

144

Note that

(7) 
$$\mu(E_{\bullet}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \mu(B_{\bullet}^{\epsilon}).$$

If  $z_n \in A_s^{\epsilon}$  and the arcs  $I_{z_n}$  are disjoint, then

(8) 
$$s \sum_{n} (\epsilon + |I_{z_n}|) < \sum_{n} \int_{I_{z_n}} |\varphi(t)| dt \leq 2\pi ||\varphi||_1.$$

In particular, there can be at most a finite number of points  $z_n$  in  $A_s^{\epsilon}$  whose associated arcs  $I_{z_n}$  are disjoint. The following lemma, whose proof we omit, is now needed (compare [4, Lemma 2.2]).

COVERING LEMMA. Let A be a nonempty set in |z| < 1 which contains no infinite sequence of points whose associated arcs  $I_{z_n}$  are disjoint. Then there exists a finite number of points  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  in A such that the arcs  $I_{z_n}$  are disjoint and

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} \{z: I_z \subset J_{z_n}\},\$$

where  $J_z$  is the arc of length  $5|I_z|$  whose center coincides with that of  $I_z$ .

If  $E_{\bullet}$  is nonempty, the lemma gives (for some  $\epsilon > 0$ )

$$A_s^{\bullet} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} \{z: I_z \subset J_{z_n}\},\$$

where  $z_n \in A_s^*$  and the arcs  $I_{z_n}$  are disjoint. It follows that

$$B_s^{\epsilon} \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^m \{z: I_z \subset J_{z_n}\}.$$

Thus the hypothesis (6) gives

(9) 
$$\mu(B_s^{\epsilon}) \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{m} |I_{\varepsilon_n}|^2$$

But by (8) we have (since  $q \ge 1$ )

$$\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{m} |I_{z_n}|^{q}\right\}^{1/q} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{m} |I_{z_n}| < 2\pi s^{-1} ||\varphi||_{1}.$$

This together with (7) and (9) proves (5), and (1) follows.

Two applications are worth noting:

1969]

1. If  $0 , then <math>f \in H^p$  implies

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-r)^{q/p-2} M_{q}^{q}(r,f) dr < \infty,$$

where

$$M_{q}^{q}(\mathbf{r},f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f(\mathrm{re}^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta.$$

This useful result is due to Hardy and Littlewood [3].

2. If  $0 , and <math>f \in H^p$ , then

$$\left\{\int_{-1}^{1} (1-r)^{q/p-1} \left| f(r) \right|^{q} dr\right\}^{1/q} \leq C ||f||_{p}.$$

This is a generalization of the Fejér-Riesz theorem, aside from the value of the constant C.

## References

1. L. Carleson, An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 921-930.

2. ——, Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, Ann. of Math. (2) 76 (1962), 547-559.

3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Some properties of fractional integrals. II, Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403-439.

4. L. Hörmander, L<sup>p</sup> estimates for (pluri-) subharmonic functions, Math. Scand. 20 (1967), 65-78.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48104 AND INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

146