ON THE EXISTENCE OF SPECIFIED CYCLES IN COMPLEMENTARY GRAPHS¹

BY GARY CHARTRAND² AND SEYMOUR SCHUSTER³

Communicated by Victor Klee, June 1, 1971

It is well known that in any gathering of six people, there are three people who are mutual acquaintances or three people who are mutual strangers. This statement has the graph-theoretic formulation that for any graph G of order 6, either G or its complement \overline{G} has a triangle. Furthermore, this statement is not true in general if "six" is replaced by a smaller integer.

The Ramsey number r(m, n) may be considered a generalization of the above statement. For integers m, $n \ge 2$, the number r(m, n) is defined as the least integer p such that for any graph G of order p, either G contains the complete subgraph K_m of order m or \overline{G} contains K_n . Hence, r(3, 3) = 6. It is a trivial observation that r(m, n) = r(n, m), and r(2, n) = n for all $n \ge 2$. Despite the fact that a great deal of research has been done on Ramsey numbers, only six values r(m, n) have been determined for m, $n \ge 3$ (see [1]); namely, r(m, n) is known (for m, $n \ge 3$) only when (m, n) = (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6), (3, 7), (4, 4). Thus, no general formula for r(m, n) has been determined for a fixed $m \ge 3$ and arbitrary n; indeed, no such formula has even been conjectured.

There is a generalization of the problem of the three acquaintances and three strangers which is different from that which leads to the Ramsey numbers but which is just as natural. If we denote an n-cycle by C_n , then the above problem may be stated as: Given a graph G of order 6, either G or \overline{G} contains C_3 . This suggests the following generalization. For $m,n\geq 3$, the number c(m,n) is defined as the least integer p such that for any graph G of order p, either G contains C_m or \overline{G} contains C_n . Of course, c(3, 3) = 6. We wish now to announce formulas for c(3, n), c(4, n), and c(5, n) for all $n\geq 3$.

THEOREM 1. If $n \ge 3$, then

$$c(3, n) = 6$$
 if $n = 3$,
= $2n - 1$ if $n \ge 4$.

Key words and phrases. Graph, cycle, Ramsey number, complement.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 0540.

¹ Partially supported by NSF Science Faculty Fellowship.

² Research supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

³ Research supported by the National Science Foundation.

Outline of proof. We have already noted that c(3, 3) = 6. That c(3, n) = 2n - 1 for $n \ge 4$ is verified by employing induction on n. The number c(3, 4) = 7 is established individually. Assume c(3, n) = 2n - 1 for some fixed $n \ge 4$ and consider the number c(3, n+1). (For $m, n \ge 1$, denote by K(m, n) the complete bipartite graph of order m+n whose vertex set may be partitioned as $V_1 \cup V_2$, where $|V_1| = m$ and $|V_2| = n$ and where e = uv is an edge if and only if $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$, $i \ne j$. If G_1 and G_2 are connected graphs, then $G_1 \cup G_2$ represents the disconnected graph with components G_1 and G_2 .) If H = K(n, n) so that $\overline{H} = K_n \cup K_n$, then H has no 3-cycle and \overline{H} has no (n+1)-cycle; thus, $c(3, n+1) \ge 2n+1$.

Let G be a graph of order 2n+1, and assume G has no 3-cycle. Since c(3, n) = 2n-1, \overline{G} contains an n-cycle $C: u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_1$. Denote the remaining vertices of \overline{G} (and hence G) by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n+1} . If any v_i is adjacent in \overline{G} to two consecutive vertices of C, then \overline{G} contains an (n+1)-cycle, completing the proof. Suppose, then, that no such v_i exists. We consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume there exist two alternate vertices of C, say u_j and u_{j+2} , which are respectively joined in \overline{G} to two distinct v_i .

Case 2. Assume no two alternate vertices of C are respectively joined in \overline{G} to distinct vertices v_i .

In each case, it can be shown that \overline{G} contains an (n+1)-cycle, thereby proving that c(3, n+1) = 2n+1.

In the case of the numbers c(4, n), there are two special cases to be considered, namely c(4, 4) and c(4, 5).

THEOREM 2. If $n \ge 4$, then

$$c(4, n) = 6$$
 if $n = 4$,
= 7 if $n = 5$,
= $n + 1$ if $n \ge 6$.

OUTLINE OF PROOF. The numbers c(4, 4) and c(4, 5) are treated separately. To prove c(4, n) = n+1 for $n \ge 6$, we use induction on n, with c(4, 6) = 7 verified first. We make the standard induction hypothesis, and consider c(4, n+1) for some $n \ge 6$. For H = K(1, n) and $\overline{H} = k_1 \cup K_n$, we observe that H has no cycles and \overline{H} no (n+1)-cycles so that $c(4, n+1) \ge n+2$.

Let G be a graph of order n+2 having no 4-cycles. Because c(4, n) = n+1, \overline{G} has an n-cycle C. Let v_1 and v_2 be the two vertices of \overline{G} not on C. We may assume that neither v_1 nor v_2 is joined in \overline{G} to two consecutive vertices of C so that each of v_1 and v_2 is joined in G to at

least $\{\frac{1}{2}n\}$ vertices of C. (For a real number x, $\{x\}$ is the least integer not less than x.)

If v_1 and v_2 are mutually adjacent in G to two or more vertices of C, then G contains a 4-cycle, which produces a contradiction. We then consider two cases depending on whether v_1 and v_2 are mutually adjacent to no vertices or one vertex of C. In either case, one can establish the existence of an (n+1)-cycle in \overline{G} , concluding the proof.

The formula for c(5, n), $n \ge 5$, presents no exceptional cases.

THEOREM 3. If $n \ge 5$, then

$$c(5, n) = 2n - 1$$
.

Outline of proof. Again we employ mathematical induction with c(5, 5) = 9 handled separately. Assume c(5, n) = 2n - 1 for some $n \ge 5$, and consider c(5, n+1). The graph H = K(n, n) has no 5-cycle and its complement $\overline{H} = K_n \cup K_n$ has no (n+1)-cycle, so that $c(5, n+1) \ge 2n+1$. Let G be a graph of order 2n+1 possessing no 5-cycle. Since c(5, n) = 2n - 1, we have the existence of an n-cycle $C: u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_1$ in \overline{G} . If any of the remaining vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , and v_{n+1} is adjacent in \overline{G} to two consecutive vertices of C, then \overline{G} has an (n+1)-cycle, so we assume this is not the case. If the vertices v_i induce K_{n+1} in \overline{G} , then \overline{G} has an (n+1)-cycle. Thus, we assume some two distinct v_i , say v_1 and v_2 , are adjacent in G. Three cases are then treated according to the manner in which v_1 and v_2 are joined to the vertices of C.

Case 1. Assume there is a vertex v_k $(k \neq 1, 2)$ such that v_1 and v_k are joined in G to a vertex u_i on C, and v_2 and v_k are joined in G to a vertex u_j on C. Here a 5-cycle in G is produced regardless of whether u_i and u_j are distinct. (If n is odd, Case 1 necessarily applies. Hence, n is even in the subsequent cases.)

Case 2. Assume Case 1 does not hold and there exists some vertex v_k $(k \neq 1, 2)$ which is adjacent in G to no vertex of C which is joined in G to v_1 or v_2 . In this case, the existence of an (n+1)-cycle in \overline{G} is established.

Case 3. Assume that Case 1 and Case 2 do not hold. This implies that each v_k , $k \neq 1$, 2, has the properties that whenever v_1u_i and v_ku_i are in G, then v_2u_i is in \overline{G} , and whenever v_2u_j and v_ku_j are in G, then v_1u_j is in \overline{G} . Here we show that either v_1v_k or v_2v_k is an edge of G, for each $k \geq 3$, and that we are under the conditions of Case 2, where the roles of v_1 and v_2 are played by either v_1 and v_k or by v_2 and v_k . Hence, an (n+1)-cycle of \overline{G} exists here also and the proof is complete.

Further, the number c(6, 6) can be shown to have the value 8. The argument we have constructed is quite lengthy and will be published elsewhere, together with the full details of the proofs of Theorems 1-3.

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Graver and J. Yackel, Some graph theoretic results associated with Ramsey's tneorem, J. Combinatorial Theory 4 (1968), 125-175. MR 37 #1278.

WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN 49001

University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106