# ON REARRANGEMENTS OF WALSH-FOURIER SERIES AND HARDY-LITTLEWOOD TYPE MAXIMAL INEQUALITIES ${ }^{1}$ 

BY WO-SANG YOUNG

Communicated by Alberto Calderón, September 22, 1973


#### Abstract

In this note we study the a.e. convergence properties of certain rearrangements of the Walsh-Fourier series, and maximal functions of the Hardy-Littlewood type that arise from these rearrangements.


The rearrangements are defined as follows. Let $r_{n}$ be the $n$th Rademacher function. For $N=1,2, \cdots$, let $\sigma_{N}$ be a permutation of the nonnegative integers such that $\sigma_{N}(j)=j$ for all $j \geqq N$. If $2^{N} \leqq n<2^{N+1}, n=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \varepsilon_{j} 2^{j}$, where $\varepsilon_{j}=0$ or 1 if $0 \leqq j \leqq N-1$, and $\varepsilon_{N}=1$, we define

$$
\phi_{n}=\prod_{j=0}^{N} r_{\sigma_{N}(j)}^{e j} .
$$

We also define $\phi_{0}=1$ and $\phi_{1}=r_{0}$.
If $\sigma_{N}$ is the identity permutation, $N=1,2, \cdots$, we recover the Walsh system. If $\sigma_{N}(j)=N-j-1,0 \leqq j \leqq N-1,\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ is the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. (See [1], [8] and [12].) In general, the system $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ is a rearrangement of the Walsh system within dyadic blocks of indices $2^{N} \leqq n<2^{N+1}$, $N=1,2, \cdots$.

We have the following result on the a.e. convergence of Fourier series with respect to $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$. For $f \in L^{1}(0,1)$, let $S_{n} f=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi_{j} \int_{0}^{1} f \phi_{j} d t$ denote the $n$th partial sum of the Fourier series of $f$ with respect to $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$, and $M f=$ $\sup _{n}\left|S_{n} f\right|$.

Theorem 1. There are absolute constants $C$ and $C_{p}$ such that
(a) $\|M f\|_{p} \leqq C_{p}\|f\|_{p}, f \in L^{p}, 2 \leqq p<\infty$.
(b) $m\{M f>y\} \leqq C \exp \left(-C y /\|f\|_{\infty}\right), y>0, f \in L^{\infty}$.

This implies the a.e. convergence of $S_{n} f$ to $f$ for $f \in L^{p}, 2 \leqq p<\infty$.
If we restrict ourselves to a subclass of rearrangements, we obtain better a.e. convergence results. We say that the permutations $\left\{\sigma_{N}\right\}$ satisfy the

[^0]"block condition" if for each $N=1,2, \cdots, 0 \leqq m \leqq N-1$, there is an integer $k_{N, m}$, with $0 \leqq k_{N, m} \leqq N-m-1$, such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sigma_{N}(0), \cdots, \sigma_{N}(m)\right\}=\left\{k_{N, m}, k_{N, m}+1, \cdots, k_{N, m}+m\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Theorem 2. If $\left\{\sigma_{N}\right\}$ satisfies the block condition, then there are absolute constants $C$ and $C_{p}$ such that
(a) $\|m f\|_{p} \leqq C_{p}\|f\|_{p}, f \in L^{p}, 1<p<2$.
(b) $\|M f\|_{1} \leqq C \int_{0}^{1}|f|\left(\log ^{+}|f|\right)^{3} d x+C, f \in L\left(\log ^{+} L\right)^{3}$.
(c) If $\int_{0}^{1}|f|\left(\log ^{+}|f|\right)^{2} \log ^{+} \log ^{+}|f| d x<\infty$, then $S_{n} f$ converges to $f$ a.e.

The absolute constants $C$ and $C_{p}$ in the above theorems are independent of the permutations $\left\{\sigma_{N}\right\}$.

The proofs of these theorems involve a modification of the CarlesonHunt technique (see [3], [6] and [7]), and $L^{p}$ boundedness of certain maximal functions of the Hardy-Littlewood type. We will only give the proofs of the estimates of the maximal functions. Complete proofs of these theorems are contained in [11]. They will appear elsewhere in the Vilenkin group setting in a joint paper with J. Gosselin [5].

To prove Theorem 2, we will show that the maximal operator

$$
f \rightarrow f^{*}=\sup _{0 \leqq m<N ; N} E\left(|f| \mid r_{\sigma_{\sigma_{N}}(0)}, \cdots, r_{\sigma_{N}(m)}\right)
$$

is of weak type $(p, p)(p>1)$. Note that for the case where $\sigma_{N}$ is the identity permutation, $N=1,2, \cdots$, this operator is just the usual dyadic HardyLittlewood operator.

Lemma 1. If $\left\{\sigma_{N}\right\}$ satisfies the block condition, then for $1<p<\infty$,

$$
m\left\{f^{*}>y\right\} \leqq C_{p}^{p} y^{-p} \int_{0}^{1}|f|^{p} d x
$$

where $y>0, f \in L^{p}$, and $C_{p} \leqq p /(p-1)$.
In view of (1), this is a corollary of
Lemma 2. For $1<p<\infty$,

$$
m\left\{\sup _{m, n} E\left(|f| \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)>y\right\} \leqq C_{p}^{p} y^{-p} \int_{0}^{1}|f|^{p} d x
$$

where $y>0, f \in L^{p}$, and $C_{p} \leqq p /(p-1)$.
Proof. We observe that for any $L^{1}$ function $g$ and integers $n, m \geqq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(g \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right) & =E\left(E\left(g \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right) \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right) \\
& =E\left(E\left(g \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right) \mid r_{n}, r_{n+1}, \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality follows from the independence of the Borel fields $\mathscr{F}\left(r_{0}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)$ and $\mathscr{F}\left(r_{n+m+1}, r_{n+m+2}, \cdots\right)$. (See, for example, [4, p. 285].) Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\left\{\sup _{m, n} E\left(|f| \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)>y\right\} \\
& \quad \leqq m\left\{\sup _{n} E\left(\sup _{k} E\left(|f| \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{k}\right) \mid r_{n}, \dot{r}_{n+1}, \cdots\right)>y\right\} \\
& \quad \leqq y^{-p} \int_{0}^{1} \sup _{k}\left|E\left(|f| \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{k}\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \quad \leqq C_{p}^{p} y^{-p} \int_{0}^{1}|f|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{p} \leqq p /(p-1)$. Here we have used Doob's inequality [10, p. 91]. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Remarks. It is interesting to note that the mapping

$$
f \rightarrow \sup _{m, n} E\left(|f| \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)
$$

is not of weak type $(1,1)$. This accounts for the fact that the argument we use only enables us to establish the a.e. convergence result for the rearranged series for functions in the class $L\left(\log ^{+} L\right)^{2} \log ^{+} \log ^{+} L$, whereas, for the Walsh-Fourier series, a similar argument yields the same result for functions in the class $L\left(\log ^{+} L\right) \log ^{+} \log ^{+} L$. (See [9].)

The following is an example of K. H. Moon. We will construct a sequence of functions $\left\{g_{k}\right\}, 0 \leqq g_{k} \in L^{1}$, such that

$$
m\left\{\sup _{n, m} E\left(g_{k} \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)>\frac{1}{2}\right\} \geqq \frac{1}{2}, \quad k=1,2, \cdots
$$

but

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|g_{k}\right| d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

For each $k=1,2, \cdots, j=0,1, \cdots$, let

$$
A_{k, j}=\left\{r_{k j}=r_{k j+1}=\cdots=r_{k j+k-1}=1\right\}
$$

Since, for each $k,\left\{A_{k, j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is independent, and

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m\left(A_{k, j}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}=\infty
$$

the Borel-Cantelli Lemma implies that there exists $J_{k}$ such that

$$
m\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J_{k}-1} A_{k, j}\right) \geqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

For $k=1,2, \cdots$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{k}(x) & =2^{k J_{k}} & & \text { if } x \in\left(0,2^{-k-k J_{k}}\right) \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
m\left\{\sup _{m, n} E\left(g_{k} \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)>\frac{1}{2}\right\} \geqq m\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J_{k}-1} A_{k, j}\right) \geqq \frac{1}{2},
$$

but

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|g_{k}\right| d x=2^{-k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

This shows that $f \rightarrow \sup _{n, m} E\left(|f| \mid r_{n}, \cdots, r_{n+m}\right)$ is not of weak type $(1,1)$.
If we relaxed the block condition on the permutations $\left\{\sigma_{N}\right\}, f \rightarrow f^{*}$ would not be of weak type $(p, p)$ for any $p \geqq 1$. We consider the operator

$$
f \rightarrow \sup _{0 \leqq j<m ; m} E\left(|f| \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \cdots, r_{m}\right)
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{n}(x) & =1 & & \text { if } x \in\left(0,2^{-n-1}\right) \\
& =0 & & \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{0 \leqq j<n} E\left(g_{n} \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)(x) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2} \text { if } x \in\left(0,2^{-n-1}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n}\left(2^{-j}, 2^{-j}+2^{-n-1}\right) \\
& \quad=0 \quad \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
m\left\{\sup _{0 \leqq j<m} E\left(g_{n} \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \cdots, r_{m}\right)>\frac{1}{4}\right\} \geqq(n+1) 2^{-n-1}
$$

However, $\int_{0}^{1}\left|g_{n}\right|^{p} d x=2^{-n-1}$. This verifies our statement.
To prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to have the $L^{p}$ boundedness ( $p \geqq 2$ ) of a weaker operator

$$
f \rightarrow f^{* *}=\sup _{0 \leqq m<N ; N} E\left(\left|f_{N}\right| \mid r_{\sigma_{N}(0)}, \cdots, r_{\sigma_{N}(m)}\right)
$$

where $f_{N}=E\left(f \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{N}\right)-E\left(f \mid r_{0}, \cdots, r_{N-1}\right)$. Note that $f^{* *} \leqq f^{*}$.
Lemma 3. For $2 \leqq p \leqq \infty$,

$$
\left\|f^{* *}\right\|_{p} \leqq 2\|f\|_{p}, \quad f \in L^{p}
$$

Proof. For $p=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{* *}\right|^{2} d x & \leqq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} \sup _{0}\left|E\left(\left|f_{N}\right| \mid r_{\sigma_{N}(0)}, \cdots, r_{\sigma_{N}(m)}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
& \leqq 4 \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f_{N}\right|^{2} d x=4 \int_{0}^{1}|f|^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by Doob's inequality [10, p. 91]. For $p=\infty$,

$$
\left\|f^{* *}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq\left\|f^{*}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

These norm inequalities together with the Riesz convexity theorem [2] imply our lemma.
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