

ON IDEALS OF SETS AND THE POWER SET OPERATION

BY THOMAS JECH¹ AND KAREL PRIKRY²

Communicated by S. Feferman, February 16, 1976

We present some inequalities involving cardinal powers. In most of the results we assume the existence of an ideal I satisfying a weak completeness condition.

For the remainder of this paper, I will always denote an ideal over ω_1 containing all enumerable sets. $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$ is I -disjoint if $X \cap Y \in I$ for all distinct $X, Y \in F$; F is almost disjoint if $|X \cap Y| \leq \aleph_0$ for all distinct $X, Y \in F$. I is λ -saturated if $|F| < \lambda$ for every I -disjoint $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - I$.

THEOREM 1. *Let I be σ -additive. If $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$ and $2^{\aleph_0} < \aleph_{\omega_1}$, then for every $\lambda < 2^{\aleph_1}$ there is an almost disjoint $F \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - I$ with $|F| = \lambda$. Moreover, if 2^{\aleph_1} is singular, we get such an F with $|F| = 2^{\aleph_1}$. Hence if $2^{\aleph_0} < 2^{\aleph_1}$ and $2^{\aleph_0} < \aleph_{\omega_1}$, then there exists no λ -saturated ideal for any $\lambda < 2^{\aleph_1}$.*

REMARK. In [1] the same assumption on 2^{\aleph_0} is used to obtain an almost disjoint F such that $|F| = 2^{\aleph_1}$. In [3] stronger assumptions on 2^{\aleph_0} are used to show that the ideal of nonstationary sets is not \aleph_2 -saturated.

For $S \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - I$, \mathcal{W} is an I -partition of S if \mathcal{W} is a maximal I -disjoint family $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(S) - I$. If \mathcal{W}_0 and \mathcal{W}_1 are I -partitions of S , then \mathcal{W}_1 is a refinement of \mathcal{W}_0 if every $X \in \mathcal{W}_1$ is included in some $Y \in \mathcal{W}_0$.

I is precipitous if for every $S \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - I$, and every sequence \mathcal{W}_n ($n \in \omega$) of I -partitions of S such that \mathcal{W}_{n+1} is a refinement of \mathcal{W}_n , there exists a sequence $X_n \in \mathcal{W}_n$ such that $X_{n+1} \subseteq X_n$ and $\bigcap \{X_n : n \in \omega\} \neq \emptyset$.

PROPOSITION. *If there is a precipitous I , then there is a σ -additive, normal, precipitous I . If I is normal and precipitous, then ω_1 is measurable in $L[I]$. If I is \aleph_2 -saturated, then I is precipitous. The ideal $\{X \subseteq \omega_1 : |X| \leq \aleph_0\}$ is not precipitous.*

We shall consider a class of cardinal functions called *nice functions*. The following functions are nice: $\Phi(\alpha) = \omega_\alpha$; $\Phi(\alpha) =$ the α th weakly inaccessible cardinal. If Φ and ψ are nice, then so are, for example, $\psi_1(\alpha) =$ the α th fixed point of Φ ; $\psi_2(\alpha) = \Phi(\alpha + \alpha)$; $\psi_3(\alpha) = \Phi(\psi(\alpha))$.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02K35.

¹Research supported by NSF Grant MPS75-07408.

²Research supported by NSF Grant GP-43841.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that there is a precipitous ideal I in $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$. Let Φ be a nice cardinal function and let κ be a strong limit cardinal such that $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \omega_1$.*

- (a) *If $\kappa = \Phi(\omega_1)$, then $2^\kappa < \Phi((2^{\aleph_1})^+)$.*
- (b) *If $\sup\{\Phi(\alpha) : \Phi(\alpha) < \kappa\} < \kappa$, then $2^\kappa \leq \min\{\Phi(\alpha) : \Phi(\alpha) > \kappa\}$.*

Suppose that I is also λ -saturated. Then

- (c) *If $\kappa = \Phi(\omega_1)$, then $2^\kappa < \Phi(\lambda)$.*
- (d) *If $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \Phi(\omega_1)$ and $\lambda < \Phi(\lambda)$, then $2^{\aleph_1} \leq \Phi(\lambda)$.*
- (e) *If $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \Phi(\omega_1)$ and $\lambda = \Phi(\lambda)$, then $2^{\aleph_1} \leq \Phi(\lambda + \lambda)$.*
- (f) *If $2^{\aleph_0} < \Phi(\alpha)$, where $\alpha < \omega_1$, then 2^{\aleph_1} is less than the α th value of Φ above λ .*

For instance, if Φ is the enumeration of fixed points of the aleph-function, (a) and (c) give estimates for a case left open in [2].

The assumption of niceness of Φ is necessary. Using the forcing constructions of [5], [6] and of Silver we can show: If κ is a super-compact cardinal and $\nu > \kappa$ arbitrary, then there exists a generic extension which preserves cardinals, and in which κ is a strong limit cardinal of cofinality ω_1 , and $2^\kappa > \nu$.

We have two methods to prove our results. The first method uses a generic ultrapower—a combination of forcing and ultrapowers. This method is related to Silver’s work on the singular cardinal problem [7] and Solovay’s and Kunen’s work on saturated ideals [8], [4].

We work in a given model M . Let I be a σ -additive ideal over ω_1 in M . We consider a generic extension corresponding to the partial ordering $\langle \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/I, \subseteq \rangle$. A generic set G is an ultrafilter (w.r.t. M). In $M[G]$ we consider the ultrapower $N = \text{Ult}(M, G)$ and obtain an elementary embedding $i: M \rightarrow N$. We use the lemma that N is well founded iff I is precipitous.

The second method is elementary in the spirit of [2] and uses almost disjoint transversals (a.d.t). Let I be σ -additive. An I -function is an ordinal function f such that $\text{dom}(f) \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) - I$. We set $f < g$ if $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \text{dom}(g)$ and $f(\alpha) < g(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \text{dom}(f)$.

An I -function f has κ almost disjoint transversals if there exists a family F of I -functions such that: $|F| \geq \kappa$; $g < f$ for all $g \in F$; and if $g, h \in F$ and $g \neq h$, then $\{\alpha : g(\alpha) = h(\alpha)\} \in I$.

We make repeated use of the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that f has κ a.d.t. and I is λ -saturated.*

- (a) *If $\kappa \geq \lambda$, then for every $\nu < \kappa$ there exists $g < f$ which has ν a.d.t.*
- (b) *If $\text{cf}(\kappa) \geq \lambda$, then there exists $g \subseteq f$ such that for all $h \subseteq g$, h has*

κ a.d.t.

LEMMA 2. *If I is precipitous and F is a nonempty family of I -functions*

closed under restrictions then there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that there is no $g \in \mathcal{F}$ with $g < f$.

REMARK. After we had announced the above results, W. Mitchell proved that if it is consistent that a measurable cardinal exists, then it is consistent that ω_1 carries a precipitous ideal. In view of this result and of the Proposition above, it appears that the existence of a precipitous ideal over ω_1 is the exact counterpart of measurability, suitable for ω_1 . This seems to give added interest to the results presented above.

REFERENCES

1. J. E. Baumgartner, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Calif., Berkeley, 1970.
2. F. Galvin and A. Hajnal, *Inequalities for cardinal powers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **101** (1975), 491–498.
3. J. Ketonen, *Some combinatorial principles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **188** (1974), 387–394. MR **48** #10808.
4. K. Kunen, *Some applications of iterated ultrapowers in set theory*, Ann. Math. Logic **1** (1970), 179–227. MR **43** #3080.
5. M. Magidor, *Changing cofinalities of cardinals* (to appear).
6. T. Menas, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley, 1973.
7. J. Silver, *On the singular cardinals problem*, Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians, (Vancouver, 1974), vol. 1, 265–268.
8. R. M. Solovay, *Real-valued measurable cardinals*, Axiomatic Set Theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 13, Part 1), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1971, pp. 397–428. MR **45** #55.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PENNSYLVANIA 16802

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455