## ON A CONJECTURE OF PAPAKYRIAKOPOULOS

## BY SIEGFRIED MORAN

ABSTRACT. We disprove a conjecture of Swarup which in turn disproves a well-known conjecture of Papakyriakopoulos that a certain cover is planar.

Let

$$K_n = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n; \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i) \rangle$$

and

$$J_n = \langle a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n; \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i), (a_1, b_1\tau) \rangle,$$

where n is a fixed integer  $\geq 2$  and  $\tau$  is an element of the commutator subgroup of the free group  $F(\{a_1, b_1, \ldots, a_n, b_n\})$ . Further let  $S_n$  be the orientable closed surface of genus n. The fundamental group of  $S_n$  is  $K_n$ . Papakyriakopoulos [3] put forward the following

- P.1. Conjecture. (a)  $J_n$  is torsion free and
- (b) the cover of  $S_n$  corresponding to the kernel of the natural group homomorphism  $K_n \longrightarrow J_n$  is planar.

Papakyriakopoulos [3] showed that if P.1. is true, then so is the Poincaré Conjecture.

- G. A. Swarup [5] has posed the following
- P.2. Conjecture. The group  $J_n$  is a nontrivial free product.
- G. A. Swarup [5] showed that

THEOREM. The conjecture P.2. is not in general true. Hence the conjecture P.1. is not in general true.

PROOF. Let  $G_1 = \langle a_1, b_1; (a_1, b_1c) \rangle$ , where c is any fixed element of the commutator subgroup  $F(\{a_1, b_1\})'$  of the free group  $F(\{a_1, b_1\})$  so that  $(a_1, b_1c)$  is not conjugate to  $(a_1, b_1)^{\pm 1}$  in  $F(\{a_1, b_1\})$ . For example one could take

$$c = (a_1, b_1).$$

Received by the editors July 9, 1980.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M40; Secondary 20E06.

Take

$$G_2 = \langle a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_n, b_n; - \rangle$$

and  $G = G_1 *_H G_2$ , where  $H = \langle h; - \rangle$  and the amalgamating isomorphisms are given by

$$\varphi_1(h) = (a_1, b_1)$$
 and  $\varphi_2(h) = (a_n, b_n)^{-1} \cdot \cdot \cdot (a_2, b_2)^{-1}$ .

Now G is an example of a group of the type denoted by  $J_n$  above. This is so since  $G_1$  is torsion free by Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [2, §4.4, Theorem 4.12]. Also  $(a_1, b_1) \neq e$  in  $G_1$ , because of the assumption on c and Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [2, §4.4, Theorem 4.11]. We show below that G cannot be decomposed into a proper free product.

Suppose that contrary to the above assertion we have that G = X \* Y, where X and Y are nontrivial groups. The rank of G is 2n, since G/G' is a free abelian group of rank 2n. The rank of  $G_1$  is 2 and the group  $G_1$  is torsion free by Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [2, §4.4, Theorem 4.12]. Hence if  $G_1$  is a proper free product, then  $G_1$  is a free group of rank 2, by Theorem of B. H. Neumann on the rank of a free product (see for instance Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [2, §4.1, p. 192]. However the group  $G_1$  is (by definition) clearly not a free group. So  $G_1$  cannot be decomposed into a proper free product. Hence, by the Kuroš Subgroup Theorem for a free product, it follows that

(\*) 
$$G_1 \subset g^{-1}Xg$$
 for some element  $g$  of  $G$ .

Hence

$$G/\overline{G}_1^G \cong (X/g\overline{G_1g^{-1}}^X) * Y.$$

Also

$$G/\overline{G}_1^G\cong G_2/\overline{\langle \varphi_2(h) \rangle}^{G_2}$$
 is a surface group,

since G has generators  $a_1$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $b_2$ , ...,  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  and defining relations

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, b_i) = e \text{ and } (a_1, b_1 c) = e.$$

Now a result of A. Shenitzer (see Proposition 5.14 of Lyndon and Schupp [1, Chapter II]) tells us that  $G/\overline{G}_1^G$  cannot be both a surface group and a proper free product. Hence

$$X = \overline{gG_1g^{-1}}^X$$
 and  $Y \cong G_2/\overline{\langle \varphi_2(h) \rangle}^{G_2}$ .

Thus the rank of Y is  $2n-2 \ge 2$ .

All conjugates of Y intersect the subgroup H (of G) trivially. For

$$X \cap \overline{Y}^G = e$$
 and  $X = \overline{gG_1g^{-1}}^X \supseteq gHg^{-1}$ .

Hence, by the Subgroup Theorem of H. Neumann for free products with amalgamation (see for instance Lyndon and Schupp [1, Chapter IV, Theorem 6.6]), the group Y is either a proper free product or is contained in some conjugate of one of the groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . None of these possibilities can in fact occur.

- (i) Y cannot be a proper free product, by the above-mentioned result of A. Shenitzer, since it is a surface group.
- (ii) Y cannot be contained in a conjugate of  $G_1$ , since this would imply by (\*) that Y is conjugate to a subgroup of X.
- (iii) Y cannot be contained in a conjugate of  $G_2$ , since if it were Y would be a free group (as  $G_2$  is a free group) which is false (a surface group is not a free group).

REMARK. As is well-known E. S. Rapaport [4] established Conjecture P.1. (a). Hence we have shown that Conjecture P.1. (b) does not in general hold.

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, Combinatorial group theory, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- 2. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, Combinatorial group theory, Interscience, New York, 1966.
- 3. C. D. Papakyriakopoulos, A reduction of Poincaré conjecture to group-theoretical conjectures, Ann. of Math. (2) 77 (1963), 250-305.
- 4. E. S. Rapaport, *Proof of a conjecture of Papakyriakopoulos*, Ann. of Math. (2) 79 (1964), 506-513.
- 5. G. A. Swarup, Two reductions of the Poincaré conjecture, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 1 (1979), 774-777.

THE UNIVERSITY, CANTERBURY, KENT, ENGLAND