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#### Abstract

In this paper we construct a family of irreducible representations of a Chevalley group over a finite ring $R$ of truncated power series over a field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. This is done by a cohomological method extending that of Deligne and the author in the case $R=\mathbf{F}_{q}$.


## Introduction

0.1. In [L, Sec.4] a cohomological construction was given (without proof) for certain representations of a Chevalley group over a finite ring $R$ (arising from the ring of integers in a non-archimedean local field by reduction modulo a power of the maximal ideal); that construction was an extension of the construction of the virtual representations $R_{T}^{\theta}$ in DL for groups over a finite field. One of the aims of this paper is to provide the missing proof. For simplicity we will assume that $R=$ $\mathbf{F}_{q, r}=\mathbf{F}_{q}[[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{r}\right)\left(\epsilon\right.$ is an indeterminate, $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ is a finite field with $q$ elements and $r \geq 1$ ). A similar method applies in the case where $R$ is a finite quotient of a ring of Witt vectors. On the other hand, we treat possibly twisted groups.

Let $\mathbf{F}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over $\mathbf{F}$ with a given $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure with associated Frobenius $\operatorname{map} F: G \rightarrow G$.

Using a cohomological method, extending that of DL, we will construct a family of irreducible representations of the finite group $G\left(\mathbf{F}_{q, r}\right), r \geq 1$, attached to a "maximal torus" and a character of it in general position. In the case where $r \geq 2$ and $G$ is split over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, the representations that we construct are likely to be the same as those found by Gérardin [G by a non-cohomological method (induction from a subgroup if $r$ is even; induction from a subgroup in combination with a use of a Weil representation, if $r$ is odd, $\geq 3$ ). In any case, since for $r=1$, the cohomological construction is the only known construction of almost all representations, it seems natural to seek a cohomological construction which works uniformly for all $r \geq 1$; this is what we do in this paper.
0.2. Notation. Let $\epsilon$ be an indeterminate. If $X$ is an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbf{F}$ and $r \geq 1$, we set $\left.X_{r}=X(\mathbf{F}[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{r}\right)\right)$. Thus, if $X$ is the set of common

[^0]zeroes of the polynomials $f_{i}: \mathbf{F}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(i=1, \ldots, m)$, then $X_{r}$ is the set of all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right) \in\left(\mathbf{F}[[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{r}\right)\right)^{N}$ such that $f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ (a priori an element of $\left.\mathbf{F}[[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{r}\right)\right)$ is equal to 0 for $i=1, \ldots, m$. We have $X_{1}=X$. For $r=0$ we set $X_{r}=$ point. Then $X \mapsto X_{r}$ is a functor from the category of algebraic varieties over $\mathbf{F}$ into itself. If $X^{\prime}$ is a closed subvariety of $X$, then $X_{r}^{\prime}$ is a closed subvariety of $X_{r}$. If $X$ is irreducible of dimension $d$, then $X_{r}$ is irreducible of dimension $d r$. For any $r \geq r^{\prime} \geq 0$ we have a canonical morphism $\phi_{r, r^{\prime}}: X_{r} \rightarrow X_{r^{\prime}}$. If $r \geq 1$, we have naturally $X \subset X_{r}$ (using $\mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{F}[[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{r}\right)$ ). If $G$ is an algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$, then $G_{r}$ is naturally an algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$. For any $r \geq r^{\prime} \geq 0, \phi_{r, r^{\prime}}: G_{r} \rightarrow G_{r^{\prime}}$ is a homomorphism of algebraic groups hence its kernel, $G_{r}^{r^{\prime}}$, is a normal subgroup of $G_{r}$. For $r \geq 1$ we have naturally $G \subset G_{r}$. We have
$$
\{1\}=G_{r}^{r} \subset G_{r}^{r-1} \subset \ldots \subset G_{r}^{1} \subset G_{r}^{0}=G_{r}
$$

For $r>r^{\prime} \geq 0$, we set $G_{r}^{r^{\prime}, *}=G_{r}^{r^{\prime}}-G_{r}^{r^{\prime}+1}$. We have a partition

$$
G_{r}=G_{r}^{0, *} \sqcup G_{r}^{1, *} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup G_{r}^{r-1, *} \sqcup\{1\}
$$

We fix a prime number $l$ invertible in $\mathbf{F}$. If $X$ is an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{F}$ we write $H_{c}^{j}(X)$ instead of $H_{c}^{j}\left(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$.

For a finite group $\Gamma$ let $\hat{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}\right)$.
0.3. If $T$ is a commutative algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$ with a fixed $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-structure and with Frobenius map $F: T \rightarrow T$, we have a norm map

$$
N_{F}^{F^{n}}: T^{F^{n}} \rightarrow T^{F}, t \mapsto t F(t) F^{2}(t) \ldots F^{n-1}(t)
$$

## 1. Lemmas

Lemma 1.1. Let $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be two commutative, connected algebraic groups over $\mathbf{F}$ with fixed $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structures with Frobenius maps $F: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, F: \mathcal{T}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. Let $f: \mathcal{T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be an isomorphism of algebraic groups over $\mathbf{F}$. Let $n \geq 1$ be such that $F^{n} f=f F^{n}: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$; thus $f: \mathcal{T}^{F^{n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\prime F^{n}}$. Let

$$
H=\left\{\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime} ; f\left(F(t)^{-1} t\right)=F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t^{\prime}\right\}
$$

(A subgroup of $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ containing $\mathcal{T}^{F} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$.) Let $\theta \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{F}}, \theta^{\prime} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}}$ be such that $\theta^{-1} \boxtimes \theta^{\prime}$ is trivial on $\left(\mathcal{T}^{F} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}\right) \cap H^{0}$. Then $\theta N_{F}^{F^{n}}=\theta^{\prime} N_{F}^{F^{n}} f \in \widehat{T^{F^{n}}}$.

Setting $t_{1}=t F(t) \ldots F^{n-1}(t) \in \mathcal{T}, \quad t_{2}=f(t) F(f(t)) \ldots F^{n-1}(f(t)) \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ for $t \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$
f\left(F\left(t_{1}\right)^{-1} t_{1}\right)=f\left(t F^{n}(t)^{-1}\right)=f(t) f\left(F^{n}(t)\right)^{-1}=f(t) F^{n}(f(t))^{-1}=F\left(t_{2}\right)^{-1} t_{2}
$$

so that $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in H$. Now $t \mapsto\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is a morphism $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow H$ of algebraic varieties and $\mathcal{T}$ is connected; hence the image of this morphism is contained in $H^{0}$. In particular, if $t \in \mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}$, we have $\left(N_{F}^{F^{n}}(t), N_{F}^{F^{n}}(f(t))\right) \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{F} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}\right) \cap H^{0}$ hence, by assumption, $\theta^{-1}\left(N_{F}^{F^{n}}(t)\right) \theta^{\prime}\left(N_{F}^{F^{n}}(f(t))\right)=1$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}$. The lemma is proved.
1.2. Let $G$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbf{F}$ with a fixed $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure with Frobenius map $F: G \rightarrow G$. If $r \geq 1$, then $F: G \rightarrow G$ induces a homomorphism $F: G_{r} \rightarrow G_{r}$ which is the Frobenius map for a $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure on $G_{r}$.

Let $T, T^{\prime}$ be two $F$-stable maximal tori of $G$ and let $U$ (resp. $U^{\prime}$ ) be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of $G$ that contains $T$ (resp. $T^{\prime}$ ). Note that $U, U^{\prime}$ are not necessarily defined over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. Let $r \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{T}=T_{r}^{r-1}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}=T_{r}^{\prime r-1}$,

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times G_{r} ; x F(y)=y x^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Let $N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\nu \in G ; \nu^{-1} T \nu=T^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $T$ acts on $N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ by left multiplication and $T^{\prime}$ acts on $N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ by right multiplication. The orbits of $T$ are the same as the orbits of $T^{\prime}$; we set $W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=T \backslash N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) / T^{\prime}$ (a finite set). For each $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ we choose a representative $\dot{w}$ in $N\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. We have $G=\bigsqcup_{w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)} G_{w}$ where $G_{w}=U T \dot{w} U^{\prime}=U \dot{w} T^{\prime} U^{\prime}$.

Let $G_{w, r}$ be the inverse image of $G_{w}$ under $\phi_{r, 1}: G_{r} \rightarrow G$ and let $\Sigma_{w}=$ $\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \in \Sigma ; y \in G_{w, r}\right\}$.

Now $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ acts on $\Sigma$ by $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right):\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \mapsto\left(t x t^{-1}, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t y t^{\prime-1}\right)$. This restricts to an action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ on $\Sigma_{w}$ for any $w \in W$.

If $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}, \theta^{\prime} \in \widehat{T_{r}^{\prime F}}$ and $M$ is a $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$-module, we shall write $M_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ for the subspace of $M$ on which $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ acts according to $\theta^{-1} \boxtimes \theta^{\prime}$.
Lemma 1.3. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}, \theta^{\prime} \in \widehat{T_{r}^{\prime F}}$. Assume that $H_{c}^{j}\left(\Sigma_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $g=F(\dot{w})^{-1}$ and let $n \geq 1$ be such that $g \in G^{F^{n}}$. Then $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ carries $\mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}$ onto $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F^{n}}$ and $\left.\theta\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F}} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T} F^{n}}$ to $\left.\theta^{\prime}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{\prime F^{n}}}$.

By the definition of $G_{w, r}$, the map $U_{r} \times G_{r}^{1} \times\left(T_{r} \dot{w}\right) \times U_{r}^{\prime} \rightarrow G_{w, r}$ given by $\left(u, k, \nu, u^{\prime}\right) \mapsto u k \nu u^{\prime}$ is a locally trivial fibration with all fibres isomorphic to a fixed affine space. Hence the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}=\{ & \left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, k, \nu\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times G_{r}^{1} \times T_{r} \dot{w} \\
& \left.x F(u) F(k) F(\nu) F\left(u^{\prime}\right)=u k \nu u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow \Sigma_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

given by $\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, k, \nu\right) \mapsto\left(x, x^{\prime}, u k \nu u^{\prime}\right)$, is a locally trivial fibration with all fibres isomorphic to a fixed affine space. This map is compatible with the $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ actions where $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ acts on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}$ by
(a) $\quad\left(t, t^{\prime}\right):\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, k, \nu\right) \mapsto\left(t x t^{-1}, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t u t^{-1}, t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t k t^{-1}, t \nu t^{\prime-1}\right)$.

Hence there exists $j^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $H_{c}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0$. By the substitution $x F(u) \mapsto x, x^{\prime} F\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mapsto x^{\prime}$, the variety $\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}$ is rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, k, \nu\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times G_{r}^{1} \times T_{r} \dot{w}\right.  \tag{b}\\
&\left.x F(k) F(\nu)=u k \nu u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

in these coordinates, the action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ is still given by (a). Let

$$
H=\left\{\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^{\prime} ; t^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=F(\dot{w})^{-1} t F(t)^{-1} F(\dot{w})\right\}
$$

(A closed subgroup of $T_{r} \times T_{r}^{\prime}$.) It acts on the variety (b) by the same formula as in (a). (We use the fact that $h k=k h$ for any $h \in G_{r}^{r-1}, k \in G_{r}^{1}$.) By DL, 6.5], the induced action of $H$ on $H_{c}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}\right)$ is trivial when restricted to $H^{0}$. In particular, the intersection $\left(T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}\right) \cap H^{0}$ acts trivially on $H_{c}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}\right)$. Since $H_{c}^{j^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{\Sigma}_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0$, it follows that $\theta^{-1} \boxtimes \theta^{\prime}$ is trivial on $\left(T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{F}\right) \cap H^{0}$. Let $g=F(\dot{w})^{-1}$ and let $n \geq 1$ be such that $g \in G^{F^{n}}$. Then $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ carries $\mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}$ onto $\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F^{n}$ and (by Lemma 1.1 with $f=\operatorname{Ad}(g))$ it carries $\left.\theta\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F}} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}$ to $\left.\theta^{\prime}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}$. The lemma is proved.

Lemma 1.4. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}, \theta^{\prime} \in \widehat{T_{r}^{\prime F}}$ be such that
(a)

$$
H_{c}^{j}(\Sigma)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0
$$

for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. There exists $n \geq 1$ and $g \in N\left(T^{\prime}, T\right)^{F^{n}}$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ carries $\left.\theta\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F}} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}}$ to $\left.\theta^{\prime}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{\prime F^{n}}}$.

The subvarieties $G_{w}$ of $G$ have the following property: for some ordering $\leq$ of $W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$, the unions $\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \leq w} G_{w^{\prime}}$ are closed in $G$. It follows that the unions $\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \leq w} G_{w^{\prime}, r}$ are closed in $G_{r}^{-}$and the unions $\bigcup_{w^{\prime} \leq w} \Sigma_{w^{\prime}}$ are closed in $\Sigma$. The spectral sequence associated to the filtration of $\Sigma$ by these unions, together with (a), shows that there exists $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $H_{c}^{j}\left(\Sigma_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0$. We can therefore apply Lemma 1.3. The lemma follows.
1.5. Let $\Phi$ be the set of characters $\alpha: T \rightarrow \mathbf{F}^{*}$ such that $\alpha \neq 1$ and $T$ acts on some line $L_{\alpha} \subset$ Lie $G$ via $\alpha$ (in the adjoint action); for such $\alpha$, let $G^{\alpha}$ be the onedimensional unipotent subgroup of $G$ such that Lie $G^{\alpha}=L_{\alpha}$. For $\alpha \in \Phi$ there is a unique 1-dimensional torus $T^{\alpha}$ in $T$ such that $T^{\alpha}$ is contained in the subgroup of $G$ generated by $G^{\alpha}, G^{\alpha^{-1}}$. Let $\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}=\left(T^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-1}$ (a one-dimensional subgroup of $\left.\mathcal{T}=T_{r}^{r-1}\right)$.

Let $\chi \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{F}}$. We say that $\chi$ is regular if for any $\alpha \in \Phi$ and any $n \geq 1$ such that $F^{n}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}$, the restriction of $\chi \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}: \mathcal{T}^{F^{n}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}$ to $\left(\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}\right)^{F^{n}}$ is non-trivial. (It is enough to check that $\left.\chi \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}\right|_{\left(\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}\right)^{n}}$ is non-trivial for any $\alpha$ and for just one $n$ such that $F^{n}\left(\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}\right)=\mathcal{T}^{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha$.)

Let $\theta \in \widehat{T^{F}}$. We say that $\theta$ is regular if $\left.\theta\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F}}$ is regular.
1.6. Let $T$ be an $F$-stable maximal torus of $G$. Let $U, \tilde{U}, V, \tilde{V}$ be unipotent radicals of Borel subgroups containing $T$ such that $U \cap V=\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}=\{1\}$. Let $\Phi$ be as in 1.5. Let

$$
\Phi^{+}=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi ; G^{\alpha} \subset \tilde{V}\right\}, \Phi^{-}=\left\{\alpha \in \Phi ; G^{\alpha} \subset \tilde{U}\right\}
$$

Then $\Phi=\Phi^{+} \sqcup \Phi^{-}$and $\Phi^{-}=\left\{\alpha^{-1} ; \alpha \in \Phi^{+}\right\}$.
For $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$let $h t(\alpha)$ be the largest integer $n \geq 1$ such that $\alpha=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \ldots \alpha_{n}$ with $\alpha_{i} \in \Phi^{+}$.

Let $x \in\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{b}, x^{\prime} \in\left(G^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)_{r}^{c}$ where $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Phi$ and $b, c \in[0, r]$.
(a) If $b+c \geq r$, then $x x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} x$.
(b) If $b+c \leq r$ and $\alpha \alpha^{\prime} \neq 1$, then $x x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} x u$ where $u$ is of the form $\prod_{i, i^{\prime} \geq 1 ; \alpha^{i} \alpha^{\prime i^{\prime}} \in \Phi} u_{i, i^{\prime}}$ with $u_{i, i^{\prime}} \in\left(G^{\alpha^{i} \alpha^{\prime i^{\prime}}}\right)_{r}^{b+b^{\prime}}$.
(The factors in the last product are written in some fixed order. In the special case where $b+c=r-1$, these factors commute with each other by (a), since $r-1+r-1 \geq r$.)
(c) If $b+c \geq r-1, b+2 c \geq r$ and $\alpha \alpha^{\prime}=1$, then $x x^{\prime}=x^{\prime} x \tau_{x, x^{\prime}} u$ where $\tau_{x, x^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}$ and $u \in\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-1}$ are uniquely determined.

Lemma 1.7. We fix an order on $\Phi^{+}$. For any $z \in \tilde{V}_{r}, \beta \in \Phi^{+}$, define $x_{\beta}^{z} \in$ $G_{r}^{\beta}$ by $z=\prod_{\beta \in \Phi+} x_{\beta}^{z}$ (factors written using the given order on $\Phi^{+}$). Let $\alpha \in$ $\Phi^{-}, a \in[1, r-1]$. Let $z \in \tilde{V}_{r}^{a}$ be such that $x_{\beta}^{z} \in\left(G^{\beta}\right)_{r}^{a+1}$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{+}$with $h t(\beta)>h t\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$. Let $\xi \in\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1}$. Then $\xi z=z \xi \tau_{\xi, z} \omega_{\xi, z}$ where $\tau_{\xi, z} \in \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}$ and $\omega_{\xi, z} \in \tilde{U}_{r}^{r-1}$.

We argue by induction on $N_{z}=\sharp\left(\beta \in \Phi^{+} ; x_{\beta}^{z} \neq 1\right)$. If $N_{z}=0$, the result is clear. Assume now that $N_{z}=1$ so that $z \in G_{r}^{\beta}$ with $\beta \in \Phi^{+}$. If $\alpha \beta=1$, the result follows from 1.6(c). If $\alpha \beta \neq 1$ and $h t(\beta)>h t\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$, then $z \in\left(G^{\beta}\right)_{r}^{a+1}$ and $\xi z=z \xi$ by 1.6(b). If $\alpha \beta \neq 1$ and $h t(\beta) \leq h t\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$, then by $1.6(\mathrm{~b})$ we have $\xi z=z \xi u$ where $u=\prod_{i, i^{\prime} \geq 1 ; \alpha^{i} \beta^{i^{\prime}} \in \Phi} u_{i, i^{\prime}}$ with $u_{i, i^{\prime}} \in\left(G^{\alpha^{i} \beta^{i^{\prime}}}\right)_{r}^{r-1}$; it is enough to show that if $i, i^{\prime} \geq 1$, we cannot have $\alpha^{i} \beta^{i^{\prime}} \in \Phi^{+}$. (If $\alpha^{i} \beta^{i^{\prime}} \in \Phi^{+}$for some $i, i^{\prime} \geq 1$, then $\alpha \beta \in \Phi^{+}$hence $h t(\beta)>h t\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)$, contradiction.)

Assume now that $N_{z} \geq 2$. We can write $z=z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime}$ where $z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime} \in \tilde{V}_{r}^{a}, N_{z^{\prime}}<$ $N_{z}, N_{z^{\prime \prime}}<N_{z}$. Using the induction hypothesis we have

$$
\xi z=\xi z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime}=z^{\prime} \xi \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $\tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \in \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}, \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \in \tilde{U}_{r}^{r-1}$. We have $\omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime}=z^{\prime \prime} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}}$ and $\tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime}=z^{\prime \prime} \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}}$. Using again the induction hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z^{\prime} \xi \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime}=z^{\prime} \xi \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}}=z^{\prime} \xi z^{\prime \prime} \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \\
& =z^{\prime} z^{\prime \prime} \xi \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}} \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}}=z \xi \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\xi z=z \xi \tau_{\xi, z} \omega_{\xi, z}$ where

$$
\tau_{\xi, z}=\tau_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \tau_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}}, \omega_{\xi, z}=\omega_{\xi, z^{\prime}} \omega_{\xi, z^{\prime \prime}}
$$

The lemma is proved.
1.8. In the setup of 1.6 , let $Z=V \cap \tilde{V}$. Let $\Phi^{\prime}=\left\{\beta \in \Phi ; G^{\beta} \subset Z\right\}$. We have $\Phi^{\prime} \subset \Phi^{+}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of all subsets $I \subset \Phi^{\prime}$ such that $I \neq \emptyset$ and $h t: \Phi^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is constant on $I$.

To any $z \in Z_{r}^{1}-\{1\}$ we associate a pair $\left(a, I_{z}\right)$ where $a \in[1, r-1]$ and $I_{z} \in \mathcal{X}$ as follows. We define $a$ by the condition that $z \in Z_{r}^{a, *}$. If $x_{\beta}^{z} \in G^{\beta}$ are defined as in 1.8 in terms of a fixed order on $\Phi^{+}$, then $x_{\beta}^{z} \in\left(G^{\beta}\right)_{r}^{a}$ for all $\beta \in \tilde{\Phi}$ and $x_{\beta}^{z}=1$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{+}-\tilde{\Phi}$. Let $I_{z}$ be the set of all $\alpha^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Phi}$ such that $x_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{z} \in\left(G^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)_{r}^{a, *}$ and $x_{\beta}^{z} \in\left(G^{\beta}\right)_{r}^{a+1}$ for all $\beta \in \Phi^{+}$such that $h t(\beta)>h t\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)$. It is easy to see, using 1.6(a),(b), that the definition of $I_{z}$ does not depend on the choice of an order on $\Phi^{+}$. For $a \in[1, r-1]$ and $I \in \mathcal{X}$ let $Z_{r}^{a, *, I}$ be the set of all $z \in Z_{r}^{1}-\{1\}$ such that $z \in Z_{r}^{a, *}, I=I_{z}$. Thus we have a partition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{r}^{1}-\{1\}=\bigsqcup_{a \in[1, r-1], I \in \mathcal{X}} Z_{r}^{a, *, I} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.9. Let $T, T^{\prime}, U, U^{\prime}, r, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ be as in 1.2. Let $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}, \theta^{\prime} \in \widehat{T_{r}^{\prime F}}$. Assume that $\left.\theta^{\prime}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F}}=\chi$ is regular. Let $\Sigma$ be as in 1.2. Then $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}(\Sigma)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ is equal to the number of $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)^{F}$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(\dot{w}): T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$.

Using the partition $\Sigma=\bigsqcup_{w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)} \Sigma_{w}$ we see that it is enough to prove that $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\Sigma_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ is equal to 1 if $F(w)=w$ and $A d(\dot{w}): T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$ and equals 0 , otherwise. We now fix $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Sigma_{w}=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times G_{r} ; x F(y)\right. \\
\left.=y x^{\prime}, y \in U_{r} G_{r}^{1} \dot{w} T_{r}^{\prime} U_{r}^{\prime}=U_{r} Z_{r}^{1} \dot{w} T_{r}^{\prime} U_{r}^{\prime}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

where $Z=V \cap \dot{w} V^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}$. Here $V$ (resp. $V^{\prime}$ ) is the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup containing $T$ (resp. $T^{\prime}$ ) such that $U \cap V=\{1\}$ (resp. $U^{\prime} \cap V^{\prime}=\{1\}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\Sigma}_{w}=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times Z_{r}^{1} \times T_{r}^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.x F(u) F(z) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) F\left(u^{\prime}\right)=u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\hat{\Sigma}_{w} \rightarrow \Sigma_{w}$ given by $\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(x, x^{\prime}, u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)$ is a locally trivial fibration with all fibres isomorphic to a fixed affine space. This map is compatible with the $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$-actions where $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ acts on $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) & :\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right)  \tag{a}\\
& \mapsto\left(t x t^{-1}, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t u t^{-1}, t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t z t^{-1}, \dot{w}^{-1} t \dot{w} \tau t^{\prime-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it is enough to show that
$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ is equal to 1 if $F(w)=w$ and $A d(\dot{w}): T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$ and equals 0 , otherwise.

By the change of variable $x F(u) \mapsto x, x^{\prime} F\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mapsto x^{\prime}$ we may rewrite $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\Sigma}_{w}=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times Z_{r}^{1} \times T_{r}^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.x F(z) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$-action still given by (a). We have a partition $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}=\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime} \sqcup \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}=\{ \left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times\left(Z_{r}^{1}-\{1\}\right) \times T_{r}^{\prime} ; \\
&\left.x F(z) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\}, \\
& \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, 1, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in F\left(U_{r}\right) \times F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times U_{r} \times U_{r}^{\prime} \times\{1\} \times T_{r}^{\prime} ;\right. \\
&\left.x F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=u \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

are stable under the $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$-action. It is then enough to show that
(b) $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ is equal to 1 if $F(w)=w$ and $A d(\dot{w}): T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow$ $T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$ and equals 0 , otherwise.
(c) $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}=0$ for all $j$.

We first prove (c). If $M$ is a $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$-module we shall write $M_{(\chi)}$ for the subspace of $M$ on which $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$ acts according to $\chi$. Now $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$ acts on $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}$ by

$$
t^{\prime}:\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(x, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, u, t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, z, \tau^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}\right)
$$

Hence $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}\right)$ becomes a $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$-module. It is enough to show that $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}\right)_{(\chi)}=0$.
We shall use the definitions and results in $1.6-1.8$ relative to $U, \tilde{U}, V, \tilde{V}$ where $\tilde{U}=\dot{w} U^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}, \tilde{V}=\dot{w} V^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}$. The partition 1.8(a) gives rise to a partition $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}=$ $\bigsqcup_{a, I} \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$ indexed by $a \in[0, r-1], I \in \mathcal{X}$ where

$$
\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}=\left\{\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime} ; z \in Z_{r}^{a, *, I}\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that there is a total order on the set of indices $(a, I)$ such that the union of the $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$ for $(a, I)$ less than or equal to some given $\left(a^{0}, I^{0}\right)$ is closed in $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime}$. Since the subsets $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$ are stable under the action of $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$, we see that, in order to prove (c), it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right)_{(\chi)}=0 \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $a, I$ as above. We choose $\alpha^{\prime} \in I$. Let $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime-1}$. Then $G_{r}^{\alpha} \subset$ $U_{r} \cap \dot{w} U_{r}^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}$.

For any $z \in Z_{r}^{a, *}, \xi \in\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1}$ we have

$$
\xi z=z \xi \tau_{\xi, z} \omega_{\xi, z}
$$

where $\tau_{\xi, z} \in \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}, \omega(\xi, z) \in \dot{w} U_{r}^{\prime r-1} \dot{w}^{-1}$ are uniquely determined. (See 1.7.) Moreover, the map $\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\alpha}, \xi \mapsto \tau(\xi, z)$ factors through an isomorphism

$$
\lambda_{z}:\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} /\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} .
$$

Let $\pi:\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} \rightarrow\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} /\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a}$ be the canonical homomorphism. We can find a morphism of algebraic varieties

$$
\psi:\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} /\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a} \rightarrow\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1}
$$

such that $\pi \psi=1$ and $\psi(1)=1$. Let

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=\left\{t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime} ; t^{\prime-1} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}\right\}
$$

This is a closed subgroup of $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. For any $t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ we define $f_{t^{\prime}}: \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$ by

$$
f_{t^{\prime}}\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, z, \tau^{\prime}\right)=\left(x F(\xi), \hat{x}^{\prime}, u, F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} u^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right), z, \tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

where

$$
\xi=\psi \lambda_{z}^{-1}\left(\dot{w} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}\right) \in\left(G^{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r-a-1} \subset U_{r} \cap \dot{w} U_{r}^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}
$$

and $\hat{x}^{\prime} \in G_{r}$ is defined by the condition that

$$
x F(\xi) F(z) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)=u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} u^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) \hat{x}^{\prime}
$$

In order for this to be well defined we must check that $\hat{x}^{\prime} \in F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we must show that

$$
x F(\xi) F(z) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \in u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

or that

$$
x F(z) F(\xi) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F\left(\omega_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \in u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $x F(z)=u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime} F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right)$, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime} F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F(\xi) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F\left(\omega_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \in u z \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or that

$$
x^{\prime} F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F(\xi) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F\left(\omega_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \in F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $x^{\prime} \in F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right), F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F\left(\omega_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) \in F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, it is enough to check that

$$
F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F(\xi) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \in F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F(\xi) F(\dot{w}) \in F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ it is enough to check that

$$
F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \in F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F\left(U_{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

or that

$$
F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F\left(\tau_{\xi, z}\right) F(\dot{w}) F\left(F\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)=F\left(t^{\prime}\right)
$$

or that $\dot{w}^{-1} \tau_{\xi, z} \dot{w}=F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t^{\prime}$ or that $\lambda_{z}\left(\pi_{z}(\xi)\right)=\dot{w} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} t^{\prime} \dot{w}^{-1}$. But this is clear.
Thus, $f_{t^{\prime}}: \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I} \rightarrow \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$ is well defined for $t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. It is clearly an isomorphism for any $t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. In particular, it is a well-defined isomorphism for any $t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$. By general principles, the induced map $f_{t^{\prime}}^{*}: H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right)$ is constant when $t^{\prime}$ varies in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$. In particular, it is constant when $t^{\prime}$ varies in $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$. Now
$\mathcal{T}^{\prime F} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ and for $t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$, the map $f_{t^{\prime}}$ coincides with the action of $t^{\prime}$ in the $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$-action on $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}$. (We use that $\psi(1)=1$.) We see that the induced action of $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$ on $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right)$ is trivial when restricted to $\mathcal{T}^{\prime F} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$.

We can find $n \geq 1$ such that $F^{n}\left(\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}\right)=\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}$. Then

$$
t^{\prime} \mapsto t^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right) F^{2}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \ldots F^{n-1}\left(t^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a well-defined morphism $\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Its image is a connected subgroup of $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ hence is contained in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$. If $t^{\prime} \in\left(\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}\right)^{F^{n}}$, then $N_{F}^{F^{n}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$; thus, $N_{F}^{F^{n}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime F} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\prime 0}$. We see that the action of $N_{F}^{F^{n}}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime F}$ on $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right)$ is trivial for any $t^{\prime} \in\left(\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}\right)^{F^{n}}$.

If we assume that $H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{a, I}\right)_{(\chi)} \neq 0$, it follows that $t^{\prime} \mapsto \chi\left(N_{F}^{F^{n}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is the trivial character of $\left(\dot{w}^{-1} \mathcal{T}^{\alpha} \dot{w}\right)^{F^{n}}$. This contradicts our assumption that $\chi$ is regular. Thus, (d) holds. Hence (c) holds.

We now prove (b). Let

$$
\tilde{H}=\left\{\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in T_{r} \times T_{r}^{\prime} ; t F(t)^{-1}=F(\dot{w}) t^{\prime} F\left(t^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right)\right\} .
$$

This is a closed subgroup of $T_{r} \times T_{r}^{\prime}$ containing $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$. Now the action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ on $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}$ extends to an action of $\tilde{H}$ given by the same formula. To see this consider $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{H}$ and $\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, 1, \tau^{\prime}\right) \in \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}$. We must show that

$$
\left(t x t^{-1}, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t u t^{-1}, t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, 1, \dot{w}^{-1} t \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}\right) \in \hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}
$$

that is,

$$
t x t^{-1} F(\dot{w}) F\left(\dot{w}^{-1}\right) F(t) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) F\left(t^{\prime-1}\right)=t u t^{-1} \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} t \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} t^{\prime-1} t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1} t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}
$$

or that

$$
x t^{-1} F(t) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) F\left(t^{\prime-1}\right)=u \dot{w} \tau^{\prime} u^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}
$$

or that

$$
x t^{-1} F(t) F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) F\left(t^{\prime-1}\right)=x F(\dot{w}) F\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime-1}
$$

or that $t^{-1} F(t) F(\dot{w}) F\left(t^{\prime-1}\right)=F(\dot{w}) t^{\prime-1}$; this is clear. Let $T_{*}, T_{*}^{\prime}$ be the reductive part of $T_{r}, T_{r}^{\prime}$ (thus $T_{*}$ is a torus isomorphic to $T$ ). Let $\tilde{H}_{*}=\tilde{H} \cap\left(T_{*} \times T_{*}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$ is a torus acting on $\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}$ by restriction of the $\tilde{H}$-action. The fixed point set $\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$ of the $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$-action is stable under the action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ and by general principles we have

$$
\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}=\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}
$$

It is then enough to show that
(e) $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}}\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}$ is equal to 1 if $F(w)=w$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(\dot{w})$ : $T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$ and equals 0 , otherwise.
Let $\left(x, x^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, 1, \tau\right) \in\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}}$. By Lang's theorem the first projection $\tilde{H}_{*} \rightarrow T_{*}$ is surjective. It follows that the first projection $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0} \rightarrow T_{*}$ is surjective. Similarly the second projection $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0} \rightarrow T_{*}^{\prime}$ is surjective. Hence for any $t \in T_{*}, t^{\prime} \in T_{*}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
t x t^{-1}=x, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}=x^{\prime}, t u t^{-1}=u, t^{\prime} u^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}=u^{\prime}
$$

hence $x=x^{\prime}=u=u^{\prime}=1$. Thus, $\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{w}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}}$ is contained in
(f) $\left\{\left(1,1,1,1,1, \tau^{\prime}\right) ; \tau^{\prime} \in T_{r}^{\prime}, F\left(\dot{w} \tau^{\prime}\right)=\dot{w} \tau^{\prime}\right\}$.

The set (f) is clearly contained in the fixed point set of $\tilde{H}$. Note that (f) is empty unless $F(w)=w$. We can therefore assume that $F(w)=w$. In this case, (f) is stable under the action of $\tilde{H}$. In particular, it is stable under the action of $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$. Since (f) is finite and $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$ is connected, we see that $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$ must act trivially on (f). Thus, (f) is exactly the fixed point set of $\tilde{H}_{*}^{0}$. Hence this fixed point can be identified with $\left(\dot{w} T_{r}^{\prime}\right)^{F}$. From this (e) follows easily. The lemma is proved.

## 2. The main Results

2.1. Let $G, F$ be as in 1.2 . Let $T$ be an $F$-stable maximal torus in $G$ and let $U$ be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of $G$ that contains $T$. (Note that $U$ is not necessarily $F$-stable.) Let $r \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{R}\left(G_{r}^{F}\right)$ be the group of virtual representations of $G_{r}^{F}$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$. Let $\langle$,$\rangle be the standard inner product \mathcal{R}\left(G_{r}^{F}\right) \times \mathcal{R}\left(G_{r}^{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$. Let

$$
S_{T, U}=\left\{g \in G_{r} ; g^{-1} F(g) \in F\left(U_{r}\right)\right\} .
$$

The finite group $G_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{F}$ acts on $S_{T, U}$ by $\left(g_{1}, t\right): g \mapsto g_{1} g t^{-1}$. For any $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have an induced action of $G_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{F}$ on $H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)$. For $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}$, we denote by $H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta}$ the subspace of $H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)$ on which $T_{r}^{F}$ acts according to $\theta$. This is a $G_{r}^{F}$-submodule of $H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)$. Let

$$
R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}=\sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{i} H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta} \in \mathcal{R}\left(G_{r}^{F}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $\left(T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right)$ be another triple like $T, U, \theta$. Let $\mathcal{T}=T_{r}^{r-1}, \mathcal{T}^{\prime}=T_{r}^{\prime r-1}$.
(a) Let $i, i^{\prime}$ be integers. Assume that there exists an irreducible $G_{r}^{F}$-module that appears in the $G_{r}^{F}$-module $\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta^{-1}}\right)^{*}$ (dual of $\left.H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta^{-1}}\right)$ and in the $G_{r}^{F}$-module $H_{c}^{i^{\prime}}\left(S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)_{\theta^{\prime}}$. There exists $n \geq 1$ and $g \in N\left(T^{\prime}, T\right)^{F^{n}}$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ carries $\left.\theta \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T} F^{n}}$ to $\left.\theta^{\prime} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{\prime F^{n}}}$.
(b) Assume that there exists an irreducible $G_{r}^{F}$-module that appears in the virtual $G_{r}^{F}$-module $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta}$ and in the virtual $G_{r}^{F}$-module $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)_{\theta^{\prime}}$. There exists $n \geq 1$ and $g \in N\left(T^{\prime}, T\right)^{F^{n}}$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}(g)$ carries $\left.\theta \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{F^{n}}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T} F^{n}}$ to $\left.\theta^{\prime} \circ N_{F}^{F^{n}}\right|_{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F^{n}} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}^{\prime} F^{n}}$.
We prove (a). Consider the free $G_{r}^{F}$-action on $S_{T, U} \times S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}$ given by $g_{1}:\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \mapsto$ $\left(g_{1} g, g_{1} g^{\prime}\right)$. The map

$$
\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \mapsto\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right), x=g^{-1} F(g), x^{\prime}=g^{\prime-1} F\left(g^{\prime}\right), y=g^{-1} g^{\prime}
$$

defines an isomorphism of $G_{r}^{F} \backslash\left(S_{T, U} \times S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)$ onto $\Sigma$ (as in 1.2).
The action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ on $S_{T, U} \times S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}$ given by right multiplication by $t^{-1}$ on the first factor and by $t^{\prime-1}$ on the second factor becomes an action of $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ on $\Sigma$ given by $\left(x, x^{\prime}, y\right) \mapsto\left(t x t^{-1}, t^{\prime} x^{\prime} t^{\prime-1}, t y t^{\prime-1}\right)$. Our assumption implies that the $G_{r}^{F}$-module $H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta^{-1}} \otimes H_{c}^{i^{\prime}}\left(S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)_{\theta^{\prime}}$ contains the unit representation with non-zero multiplicity. Hence the subspace of $H_{c}^{i+i^{\prime}}\left(G_{r}^{F} \backslash\left(S_{T, U} \times S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ on which $T_{r}^{F} \times T_{r}^{\prime F}$ acts according to $\theta^{-1} \boxtimes \theta^{\prime}$ is non-zero. Equivalently, $H_{c}^{i+i^{\prime}}(\Sigma)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \neq 0$. We now use Lemma 1.4; (a) follows.

We prove (b). By general principles we have

$$
\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta^{-1}}\right)^{*}=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta}
$$

Hence the assumption of (b) implies that the assumption of (a) holds. Hence the conclusion of (a) holds. The proposition is proved.
Proposition 2.3. We preserve the setup of 2.2. Assume that $\theta$ or $\theta^{\prime}$ is regular (see 1.5). The inner product $\left\langle R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}, R_{T_{r}^{\prime}, U_{r}^{\prime}}^{\theta^{\prime}}\right\rangle$ is equal to the number of $w \in W\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)^{F}$ such that $A d(\dot{w}): T_{r}^{\prime F} \rightarrow T_{r}^{F}$ carries $\theta$ to $\theta^{\prime}$.

We may assume that $\theta^{\prime}$ is regular. As in the proof of 2.2 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}, R_{T_{r}^{\prime}, U_{r}^{\prime}}^{\theta^{\prime}}\right\rangle & \\
& =\sum_{i, i^{\prime} \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{i+i^{\prime}} \operatorname{dim}\left(H_{c}^{i}\left(S_{T, U}\right)_{\theta^{-1}} \otimes H_{c}^{i^{\prime}}\left(S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)_{\theta}\right)^{G_{r}^{F}} \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}\left(G_{r}^{F} \backslash\left(S_{T, U} \times S_{T^{\prime}, U^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}} \\
& =\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{j}(\Sigma)_{\theta^{-1}, \theta^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where ()$^{G_{r}^{F}}$ denotes the space of $G_{r}^{F}$-invariants. It remains to use 1.9.
Corollary 2.4. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $T, U$ be as in 2.1. Assume that $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}$ is regular.
(a) $R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}$ is independent of the choice of $U$.
(b) Assume also that the stabilizer of $\theta$ in $W(T, T)^{F}$ is $\{1\}$. Then $R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}$ is $\pm$ an irreducible $G_{r}^{F}$-module.

We prove (a). Let $U^{\prime}$ be the unipotent radical of another Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Let $R=R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}, R^{\prime}=R_{T_{r}, U_{r}^{\prime}}^{\theta}$. By 2.3 we have

$$
\langle R, R\rangle=\left\langle R, R^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle R^{\prime}, R\right\rangle=\left\langle R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right\rangle .
$$

Hence $\left\langle R-R^{\prime}, R-R^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$, so that $R=R^{\prime}$. This proves (a). In the setup of (b), we see from 2.3 that $\left\langle R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}, R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}\right\rangle=1$. This proves (b).
2.5. Assume that $r \geq 2$. Let $T$ be as in 2.1. Assume that $\theta \in \widehat{T_{r}^{F}}$ is regular. We set

$$
R_{T_{r}}^{\theta}=R_{T_{r}, U_{r}}^{\theta}
$$

where $U$ is chosen as in 2.1. (By $2.4(\mathrm{a})$, this is independent of the choice of $U$.)

## 3. An example

I wish to thank A. Stasinski for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this section.
3.1. Let $A=\mathbf{F}[[\epsilon]] /\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. Define $F: A \rightarrow A$ by $F\left(a_{0}+\epsilon a_{1}\right)=a_{0}^{q}+\epsilon a_{1}^{q}$ where $a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathbf{F}$. Let $V$ be a 2 -dimensional $\mathbf{F}$-vector space with a fixed $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure with Frobenius map $F: V \rightarrow V$. Let $G=S L(V)$. Then $G$ has an $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure with Frobenius map $F: G \rightarrow G$ such that $F(g v)=F(g) F(v)$ for all $g \in G, v \in V$. Let $V_{2}=A \otimes_{\mathbf{F}} V$. Then $G_{2}$ (see 0.2 ) may be identified with the group of all automorphisms of the free $A$-module $V_{2}$ with determinant 1 . We regard $V$ as a subset of $V_{2}$ by $v \mapsto 1 \otimes v$. Any element of $V_{2}$ can be written uniquely in the form $v_{0}+\epsilon v_{1}$ where $v_{0}, v_{1} \in V$. The Frobenius map $F: V_{2} \rightarrow V_{2}$ satisfies $F\left(v_{0}+\epsilon v_{1}\right)=F\left(v_{0}\right)+\epsilon F\left(v_{1}\right)$ for $v_{0}, v_{1} \in V$.

Let $\widehat{G_{2}^{F}}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of $G_{2}^{F}$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$. The objects of $\widehat{G_{2}^{F}}$ can be classified by Mackey's method using the fact that $G_{2}^{F}$ is a semidirect product of $G^{F}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{q}^{3}$.

The table below shows the number of representations in $\widehat{G_{2}^{F}}$ of various dimensions assuming that $q$ is odd; the first column indicates the dimension, the second column indicates the number of representations of that dimension. (See also [ $\mathbf{S}]$ for the closely related case of $P G L_{2}$.)

| $\operatorname{dim}$ | $\sharp$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| $q$ | 1 |
| $q+1$ | $(q-3) / 2$ |
| $(q+1) / 2$ | 2 |
| $q-1$ | $(q-1) / 2$ |
| $(q-1) / 2$ | 2 |
| $q^{2}+q$ | $(q-1)^{2} / 2$ |
| $q^{2}-q$ | $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 2$ |
| $\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 2$ | $4 q$ |

The analogous table in the case where $q$ is a power of 2 is

| $\operatorname{dim}$ | $\sharp$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| $q$ | 1 |
| $q+1$ | $(q-2) / 2$ |
| $q-1$ | $q / 2$ |
| $q^{2}+q$ | $(q-1)(q-2) / 2$ |
| $\left(q^{2}+q\right) / 2$ | $2(q-1)$ |
| $q^{2}-q$ | $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ |
| $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ | $2(q-1)$ |
| $q^{2}-1$ | $q$ |

3.2. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of all $A$-submodules $L \subset V_{2}$ such that $L$ is a direct summand of $V_{2}$ and $L$ is free of rank 1 . Now $G_{2}$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{B}$. If $L \in \mathcal{B}$, then $F(L) \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus we obtain a map $F: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, the Frobenius map of a $\mathbf{F}_{q}$-rational structure on $\mathcal{B}$. Let

$$
X=\{L \in \mathcal{B} ; L \cap F(L)=0\} .
$$

Then $X$ is a $G_{2}^{F}$-stable subvariety of $\mathcal{B}$. We now define a finite covering of $X$ as follows. Let $e, e^{\prime}$ be an $\mathbf{F}$-basis of $V$ such that $F(e)=e, F\left(e^{\prime}\right)=e^{\prime}$. Let $\underline{T}$ be the subgroup of $G$ consisting of the automorphisms $e \mapsto a e, e^{\prime} \mapsto a^{-1} e^{\prime}$ with $a \in \mathbf{F}^{*}$. (An $F$-stable maximal torus of $G$.) Let $\underline{U}$ be the subgroup of $G$ consisting of the automorphisms

$$
e \mapsto e+b e^{\prime}, e^{\prime} \mapsto e^{\prime} \quad \text { with } b \in \mathbf{F}^{*} .
$$

Let $\nu \in G$ be such that $\nu(e)=e^{\prime}, \nu\left(e^{\prime}\right)=-e$. Let

$$
\tilde{X}=\left\{g \in G_{2} ; g^{-1} F(g) \in \nu \underline{U}_{2}\right\} .
$$

(We use the action of $\underline{U}_{2}$ on $G_{2}$ by right translation.) Then $g \mapsto A g e^{\prime}$ is a well defined morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. This is a finite principal covering with group $\Gamma$ (acting by right translation) where

$$
\Gamma=\left\{t \in \underline{T}_{2} ; F(t)=t^{-1}\right\} \quad\left(\text { of order } q^{2}+q\right)
$$

For any variety $Y$ with an action of a finite (abelian) group and any character $\omega$ of that finite group, let $H_{c}^{j}(Y)_{\omega}$ denote the subspace of $H_{c}^{j}(Y)$ on which the finite group acts according to $\omega$. Thus, for $\omega \in \hat{\Gamma}, H_{c}^{j}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is well defined.
3.3. Let

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{0}=\left\{x_{0} \in V ; x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)=e \wedge e^{\prime}\right\}, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{00}=\left\{x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{0} ; F^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=-x_{0}\right\}
$$

Now $G^{F}$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ (restriction of the $G$-action on $V$ ). This restricts to a $G^{F}$ action on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}$. We show that this action is simply transitive. If $g \in G^{F}$ keeps fixed some $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}$, then it also keeps fixed $F\left(x_{0}\right)$ hence it must be 1 (recall that $x_{0}, F\left(x_{0}\right)$ form a basis of $\left.V\right)$. Thus the $G^{F}$-action on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}$ has trivial isotropy. We may identify $\mathfrak{S}_{00}$ with $\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{F}^{2} ; a b^{q}-a^{q} b=1, a^{q^{2}}=-a, b^{q^{2}}=-b\right\}$. For such $(a, b)$ we have automatically $a \neq 0$. We make a change of variable $(a, b) \mapsto(a, c)$ where $c=b / a$. Then $\mathfrak{S}_{00}$ becomes

$$
\left\{(a, c) \in \mathbf{F}^{2} ; a^{q+1}\left(c^{q}-c\right)=1, a^{q^{2}}=-a, c^{q^{2}}=c\right\}
$$

The second projection maps this to $\left\{c \in \mathbf{F} ; c^{q^{2}}=c, c^{q} \neq c\right\}$ which has $q^{2}-q$ elements. The fibre at $c$ is $\left\{a \in \mathbf{F} ; a^{q+1}=\left(c^{q}-c\right)^{-1}\right\}$. (For such $a$ we have automatically $a^{q^{2}}=-a$ since $c^{q^{2}}=c$.) This fibre has exactly $q+1$ elements since $\left(c^{q}-c\right)^{-1} \neq 0$. We see that $\sharp\left(\mathfrak{S}_{00}\right)=(q+1)\left(q^{2}-q\right)=\sharp\left(G^{F}\right)$. It follows that the $G^{F}$-action on $\mathfrak{S}_{00}$ is indeed simply transitive.
3.4. We now analyze $\tilde{X}$. Let

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\left\{x \in V_{2} ; x \wedge F(x)=e \wedge e^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Now $G_{2}^{F}$ acts on $\mathfrak{S}$ by $g_{1}: x \mapsto g_{1} x$. The map $g \mapsto g\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\iota: \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}
$$

We check that this is a well-defined bijection. Let $g \in \tilde{X}$. Then $F(g)=g \nu u$ for some $u \in \underline{U}_{2}$. Let $x=g e^{\prime}$. Then for some $u \in \underline{U}_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \wedge F(x) & =\left(g e^{\prime}\right) \wedge F\left(g e^{\prime}\right)=\left(g e^{\prime}\right) \wedge F(g) e^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \wedge g^{-1} F(g) e^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \wedge \nu u e^{\prime} \\
& =e^{\prime} \wedge \nu e^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \wedge(-e)=e \wedge e^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $x \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\iota$ is well defined. Now let $x \in \mathfrak{S}$. We can find $g \in G_{2}$ such that $g e^{\prime}=x$. Then

$$
e \wedge e^{\prime}=x \wedge F(x)=\left(g e^{\prime}\right) \wedge F\left(g e^{\prime}\right)=\left(g e^{\prime}\right) \wedge F(g) e^{\prime}=e^{\prime} \wedge g^{-1} F(g) e^{\prime}
$$

Hence $g^{-1} F(g) e^{\prime}=-e+b e^{\prime}$ for some $b \in A$. It follows that $g^{-1} F(g)=u^{\prime} \nu u$ where $u, u^{\prime} \in \underline{U}_{2}$. Then $\left(g u^{\prime}\right)^{-1} F\left(g u^{\prime}\right)=\nu u F\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ hence $g u^{\prime} \in \tilde{X}$. Clearly, $\iota\left(g u^{\prime}\right)=x$ so that $\iota$ is surjective. Now assume that $g, g^{\prime} \in \tilde{X}$ satisfy $\iota(g)=\iota\left(g^{\prime}\right)$, that is, $g e^{\prime}=g^{\prime} e^{\prime}$. Then $g^{\prime}=g u^{\prime}, u^{\prime} \in \underline{U}_{2}$. We have $g^{\prime-1} F\left(g^{\prime}\right)=\nu u$ with $u \in \underline{U}_{2}$, hence $u^{\prime-1} g^{-1} F(g) F\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\nu u$. Also, $g^{-1} F(g)=\nu \tilde{u}$ with $\tilde{u} \in \underline{U}_{2}$, hence $u^{\prime-1} \nu \tilde{u} F\left(u^{\prime}\right)=$ $\nu u$ so that $u^{\prime} \in \nu \underline{U}_{2} \nu^{-1}$. Thus, $u^{\prime} \in \underline{U}_{2} \cap\left(\nu \underline{U}_{2} \nu^{-1}\right)=\{1\}$, hence $u^{\prime}=1$ and $g^{\prime}=g$. Thus, $\iota$ is injective hence bijective. It commutes with the $G_{2}^{F}$-actions.

Now $\mathfrak{S}$ consists of the elements $x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}$, with $x_{0}, x_{1} \in V$ such that

$$
\left(x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1}\right) \wedge\left(F\left(x_{0}\right)+\epsilon F\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=e \wedge e^{\prime}
$$

that is,

$$
x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)=e \wedge e^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{1} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{1}\right)=0
$$

We have a morphism

$$
\kappa: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{0}, x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1} \mapsto x_{0}
$$

If $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{0}$, then $\kappa^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ may be identified with

$$
\left\{x_{1} \in V ; x_{1} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{1}\right)=0\right\} .
$$

Note that $x_{0}, F\left(x_{0}\right)$ form a basis of $V$ hence $F^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=c_{0} x_{0}+c_{1} F\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $c_{0}, c_{1} \in \mathbf{F}$. Since $x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)=e \wedge e^{\prime}$ is $F$-stable, we have $x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)=F\left(x_{0}\right) \wedge F^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$ hence $c_{0}=-1$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_{01}=\mathfrak{S}_{0}-\mathfrak{S}_{00}$. We have a partition $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{S}_{*} \cup \mathfrak{S}_{* *}$ where $\mathfrak{S}_{*}=\kappa^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{00}\right), \mathfrak{S}_{* *}=\kappa^{-1}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{01}\right)$ are $G_{2}^{F}$-stable. If $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{0}$, then any $x_{1} \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$
x_{1}=a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} F\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

with $a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathbf{F}$. The condition that $x_{0}+\epsilon x_{1} \in \kappa^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is

$$
\left(a_{0} x_{0}+a_{1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{0} \wedge\left(a_{0}^{q} F\left(x_{0}\right)+a_{1}^{q} F^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

that is,

$$
a_{0} x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{0} \wedge\left(a_{0}^{q} F\left(x_{0}\right)-a_{1}^{q} x_{0}+a_{1}^{q} c_{1} F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

that is,

$$
a_{0} x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+a_{0}^{q} x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)+a_{1}^{q} c_{1} x_{0} \wedge F\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
a_{0}+a_{0}^{q}+a_{1}^{q} c_{1}=0
$$

Thus we may identify $\kappa^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ with $\left\{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \in \mathbf{F}^{2} ; a_{0}+a_{0}^{q}+a_{1}^{q} c_{1}=0\right\}$. If $c_{1} \neq 0$ (that is, if $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{01}$ ), this is isomorphic to the affine line. Thus, $\kappa$ restricts to an affine line bundle $\mathfrak{S}_{* *} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{01}$.

Now the action of $\Gamma$ on $\tilde{X}$ corresponds under $\iota$ to the action of $\{\lambda \in A ; \lambda F(\lambda)=$ $1\}$ on $\mathfrak{S}$ by scalar multiplication. Hence the action of $\left\{t \in \Gamma ; t \in T_{2}^{1}\right\}$ on $\tilde{X}$ corresponds to the action of $A^{\prime}=\{\lambda \in A ; \lambda F(\lambda)=1, \lambda \in 1+\epsilon A\}$ on $\mathfrak{S}$ by scalar multiplication. The action of $1+\epsilon \lambda_{1} \in A^{\prime}$ (with $\lambda_{1} \in \mathbf{F}$ ) in the coordinates $\left(x_{0}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$ is $\left(x_{0}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}, a_{0}+\lambda_{1}, a_{1}\right)$. Thus it preserves each fibre of $\kappa$.

Now $\mathfrak{S}_{* *}$ is stable under the action of $\{\lambda \in A ; \lambda F(\lambda)=1\}$ and the restriction of this action to $A^{\prime}$ preserves each fibre of $\mathfrak{S}_{* *} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{01}$ (an affine line); hence this group acts trivially on $H_{c}^{j}()$ of each such fibre, hence it also acts trivially on $H_{c}^{j}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{* *}\right)$. Thus, $H_{c}^{j}(\mathfrak{S}) \rightarrow H_{c}^{j}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{*}\right)$ is an isomorphism on the part where $\sum_{\lambda \in A^{\prime}} \lambda$ acts as 0 .

We now study $H_{c}^{j}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{*}\right)$. If $x_{0} \in \mathfrak{S}_{00}$, then $\kappa^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ may be identified with $\left\{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \in \mathbf{F}^{2} ; a_{0}+a_{0}^{q}=0\right\}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{S}_{*}$ is an affine line bundle over

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{00} \times\left\{a_{0} \in \mathbf{F} ; a_{0}+a_{0}^{q}=0\right\}
$$

which is a transitive permutation representation of $G_{2}^{F}$ that is explicitly known from 3.3. It follows that $H_{c}^{j}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{*}\right)=0$ for $j \neq 2$ and the part of $H_{c}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{*}\right)$ where $\sum_{\lambda \in A^{\prime}} \lambda$ acts as 0 is the direct sum of the irreducible representations of degree $q^{2}-q$ (each one with multiplicity 2 ) and of degree $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ (each one with multiplicity 1 ); note that the latter representations occur only when $q$ is a power of 2 .

We now study the part of $H_{c}^{j}(\mathfrak{S})$ where $A^{\prime}$ acts as 1 . This is the same as $H_{c}^{j}\left(A^{\prime} \backslash \mathfrak{S}\right)$. The map $\left(x_{0}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}, \tilde{a}_{0}, a_{1}\right), \tilde{a}_{0}=a_{0}+a_{0}^{q}$ is an isomorphism of $A^{\prime} \backslash \mathfrak{S}$ with the set of all $\left(x_{0}, \tilde{a}_{0}, a_{1}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}_{0} \times \mathbf{F} \times \mathbf{F}$ such that $\tilde{a}_{0}+a_{1}^{q} c_{1}=0$. (Here $c_{1}$ is determined by $x_{0}$ as above.) Hence the map $\left(x_{0}, a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{0}, a_{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism $A^{\prime} \backslash \mathfrak{S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_{0} \times \mathbf{F}$. Thus, $H_{c}^{j}\left(A^{\prime} \backslash \mathfrak{S}\right)=H_{c}^{j-2}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{0}\right)$. Thus, $G_{2}^{F}$ acts on $H_{c}^{j}\left(A^{\prime} \backslash \mathfrak{S}\right)$ through its quotient $G^{F}$ and that action is explicitly known from the representation theory of $G^{F}$.

We see that $H_{c}^{4}(\tilde{X})$ is the 1-dimensional representation; $H_{c}^{3}(\tilde{X})$ is the direct sum of all irreducible representations of degree $q-1$ (each one with multiplicity 2 ) and those of degree $(q-1) / 2, q$ (each one with multiplicity 1$) ; H_{c}^{2}(\tilde{X})$ is the direct sum of all irreducible representations of degree $q^{2}-q$ (each one with multiplicity 2 ) and of degree $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ (each one with multiplicity 1 ); $H_{c}^{j}(\tilde{X})=0$ for $j \notin\{2,3,4\}$; note that the representations of degree $(q-1) / 2$ occur only for $q$ odd, while those of degree $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ occur only for $q$ a power of 2 .

More precisely, if $\omega \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $q$ is odd, then:
$H_{c}^{4}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree 1 if $\omega=1$ and is 0 otherwise;
$H_{c}^{3}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree $q-1$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}}=1, \omega^{2} \neq 1$; it is the direct sum of two irreducible representations of degree $(q-1) / 2$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}}=1$, $\omega^{2}=1, \omega \neq 1$; it is irreducible of degree $q$ if $\omega=1$; it is 0 if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}} \neq 1$; $H_{c}^{2}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree $q^{2}-q$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}} \neq 1$ and is 0 otherwise.
Similarly, if $\omega \in \hat{\Gamma}$ and $q$ is a power of 2 , then:
$H_{c}^{4}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree 1 if $\omega=1$ and is 0 otherwise;
$H_{c}^{3}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree $q-1$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}}=1, \omega \neq 1$; it is irreducible of degree $q$ if $\omega=1$; it is 0 if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}} \neq 1$;
$H_{c}^{2}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ is irreducible of degree $q^{2}-q$ if $\left.\omega\right|_{\Gamma \cap T_{2}^{1}} \neq 1, \omega^{2} \neq 1$; it is the direct sum of two irreducible representations of degree $\left(q^{2}-q\right) / 2$ if $\omega^{2}=1, \omega \neq 1$; it is 0 otherwise.
3.5. Let $\gamma \in G$ be such that $\gamma^{-1} F(\gamma)=\nu$. We set $T=\gamma \underline{T} \gamma^{-1}, U=\gamma \underline{U} \gamma^{-1}$. Then $T$ is an $F$-stable maximal torus of $G$ and $U$ is the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup of $G$ containing $T$. Hence $S_{T, U}$ is defined (with $r=2$ ). Now $g \mapsto g \gamma^{-1}$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{T, U}
$$

and an isomorphism

$$
\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{2}^{F}
$$

Also $G_{2}^{F} \times \Gamma$ acts on $\tilde{X}$ by $\left(g_{1}, t\right): g \mapsto g_{1} g t^{-1}$. This action is compatible with the $G_{2}^{F} \times T_{2}^{F}$-action on $S_{T, U}$ via the isomorphisms above. We see that the virtual representations $\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}}(-1)^{j} H_{c}^{j}(\tilde{X})_{\omega}$ of $G_{2}^{F}$ are the same as the virtual representations $R_{T, U}^{\theta}$.

## References

[DL] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, Ann. Math. 103 (1976), 103-161. MR 52:14076
[G] P. Gérardin, Construction de séries discrètes p-adiques, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 462, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975. MR 53:719
[L] G. Lusztig, Some remarks on the supercuspidal representations of p-adic semisimple groups, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 33(1) (1979), 171-175, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. MR 81f:22031
[S] A. Silberger, $P G L_{2}$ over the p-adics: its representations, spherical functions and Fourier analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 166 (1970). MR 44:2891

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

E-mail address: gyuri@math.mit.edu


[^0]:    Received by the editors August 5, 2002 and, in revised form, November 21, 2003 and February 4, 2004.

    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20G99.
    Supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Part of this work was done while the author was visiting the Institute for Mathematical Sciences, National University of Singapore, in 2002.

