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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a connected reductive group defined over a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ and let $L$ be a Levi subgroup (defined over $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ ) of a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$. We define a linear map from class functions on $L\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ to class functions on $G\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$. This map is independent of the choice of $P$. We show that for large $q$ this map coincides with the known cohomological induction (whose definition involves a choice of $P$ ).


## Introduction

0.1. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}$, an algebraic closure of the finite field $F_{q}$ with $q$ elements, with a fixed $F_{q}$-rational structure whose Frobenius map is denoted by $F: G \rightarrow G$.

Let $\Lambda(G)$ be the set of subgroups $M$ of $G$ such that $M$ is a Levi subgroup of a parabolic subgroup of $G$; for $M \in \Lambda(G)$ let $\Pi(M)$ be the set of parabolic subgroups $P$ of $G$ for which $M$ is a Levi subgroup. Assume that $M \in \Lambda(G)$ is defined over $F_{q}$ and that $P \in \Pi(M)$ (not necessarily defined over $F_{q}$ ). Let $\mathcal{K}\left(G^{F}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}\left(M^{F}\right)$ ) be the Grothendieck group of representations of $G^{F}$ (resp. $M^{F}$ ) over an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ of the $l$-adic numbers where $l$ is a prime number not dividing $q$. (When $F$ acts on a set $X$ we denote by $X^{F}$ the fixed point set of $F: X \rightarrow X$.) Let $R_{M, P}^{G}: \mathcal{K}\left(M^{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}\left(G^{F}\right)$ be the "induction" homomorphism defined in DL (in the case where $M$ is a maximal torus) and in L76 (in the general case). Let $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ (resp. $\operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right)$ ) be the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-vector space of class functions $G^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ (resp. $\left.M^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$. By passage to characters, $R_{M, P}^{G}$ can be regarded as a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-linear map $R_{M, P}^{G}: c l\left(M^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$. In [76] it was conjectured that
(a) $R_{M, P}^{G}$ is independent of the choice of $P$.
(At that time it was already known from DL that (a) holds when $M$ is a maximal torus of $G$, so that in that case, the notation $R_{M}^{G}$ can be used instead of $R_{M, P}^{G}$.) As noted in L76, Deligne had an argument to prove (a) for any $M$ provided that $q \gg 0$ (but his proof has not been published). In [L90, 8.13] a proof of (a) for $q \gg 0$ was given which was based on the theory of character sheaves and thus was quite different from Deligne's proof. (In loc. cit. there is also an assumption on the characteristic $p$ of $\mathbf{k}$, but that assumption can be removed in view of the cleanness result for character sheaves in [L12].) In [BM it is proved that (a) holds assuming only that $q>2$.

[^0]In this paper we define a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-linear map $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}: c l\left(M^{F}\right) \rightarrow c l\left(G^{F}\right)$ with no restriction on $q$ (see 1.7) and we show that
(b) if $q \gg 0$ we have $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}=R_{M, P}^{G}$ for any $P \in \Pi(M)$.
(See 1.9 and 42). We expect that the results of [190] quoted in this paper hold without restriction on $q$ and, as a consequence, that (b) holds without restriction on $q$.

The definition of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}$ is in terms of intersection cohomology; it relies on ideas of [L84. The proof of (b) relies on the results of [290] connecting representations of $G^{F}$ with the character sheaves on $G$. In $\$ 3$ we show (based on results of [90]) that if $D$ is an $F$-stable conjugacy class of $G^{F}$ then the function on $G^{F}$ which is 1 on $D^{F}$ and 0 on $G^{F}-D^{F}$ is a linear combination of characters of $R_{T}^{G}(\theta)$ for various $F$-stable maximal tori of $G$ and various characters $\theta$ of $T^{F}$. (This was conjectured in L78.)
0.2. Notation. Let $\nu_{G}$ be the dimension of the flag manifold of $G$. Let $\mathcal{Z}_{G}$ be the centre of $G$. For $M \in \Lambda(G)$ let $N_{G} M$ be the normalizer of $M$ in $G$. For $g \in G$ we have $g=g_{s} g_{u}=g_{u} g_{s}$ where $g_{s} \in G$ is semisimple and $g_{u} \in G$ is unipotent. For $s \in G$ semisimple we write $G_{s}$ for the centralizer of $s$ in $G$. For $g \in G$ let $H_{G}(g)$ be the smallest subgroup in $\Lambda(G)$ that contains $G_{g_{s}}^{0}$. If $G^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $G$, let $Z_{G}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ be the centralizer of $G^{\prime}$ in $G$. Let $G_{d e r}$ be the derived subgroup of $G$.

Let $X$ be an algebraic variety over $\mathbf{k}$. Let $l s(X)$ be the collection of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-local systems on $X$. If $H$ is a connected algebraic group acting on $X$ we denote by $l s_{H}(X)$ the collection of $H$-equivariant $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-local systems on $X$. Let $Y$ be a locally closed, smooth, irreducible subvariety of $X$ and let $\mathcal{E} \in l s(Y)$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ extends canonically as an intersection cohomology complex to the closure $\bar{Y}$ of $Y$ and to $X$ by 0 on $X-\bar{Y}$; the resulting complex on $X$ is denoted by $\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}$. Assume now that $X$ is defined over $F_{q}$ with Frobenius map $F: X \rightarrow X$ and $Y$ above is $F$-stable. Assume that $F^{*} \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$ and we are given an isomorphism $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$. This induces an isomorphism $\phi^{\sharp}: F^{*} \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{\sharp}$. Let $\chi_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}: X^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ be the function whose value at $y \in Y^{F}$ is the trace of $\phi$ on the stalk of $\mathcal{E}$ at $y$ and which is zero on $X^{F}-Y^{F}$ Let $\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}: X^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ be the function whose value at $x \in X^{F}$ is the alternating sum of traces of the linear maps induced by $\phi^{\sharp}$ on the stalks at $x$ of the cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}$. We have $\chi_{\mathcal{E}, \phi}\left|Y^{F}=\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}\right| Y^{F}$.

## 1. The definition of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}$

1.1. The conjugacy class of $g \in G$ is said to be isolated if $H(g)=G$. A subset $S$ of $G$ is said to be an isolated stratum if $S$ is the inverse image of an isolated conjugacy class of $G / \mathcal{Z}_{G}^{0}$ under the obvious map $G \rightarrow G / \mathcal{Z}_{G}^{0}$. Let $A_{G}$ be the set of pairs $(L, S)$ where $L \in \Lambda(G)$ and $S$ is an isolated stratum of $L$. For $(L, S) \in A_{G}$ we set $S_{r}^{G}=\left\{g \in S ; H_{G}(g)=L\right\}=\left\{g \in S ; G_{g_{s}}^{0} \subset L\right\}$ and $Y_{L, S}^{G}=\cup_{x \in G} x S_{r}^{G} x^{-1}$. Then
(a) $Y_{L, S}^{G}$ is a smooth locally closed irreducible subvariety of $G$ of dimension $2 \nu_{G}-$ $2 \nu_{L}+\operatorname{dim} S$.
(see [L84, 3.1]). The subsets $Y_{L, S}^{G}$ are called the strata of $G$. We have

$$
G=\sqcup_{(L, S) \in A_{G}} \text { up to G-conjugacy } Y_{L, S}^{G} \text {. }
$$

Note that an isolated stratum $S$ of $G$ is the stratum $Y_{G, S}^{G}$.
1.2. Let $M \in \Lambda(G)$. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be a stratum of $M$. We shall associate to $Y^{\prime}$ a stratum $Y$ of $G$ as follows.

We set $Y=Y_{L, S}^{G}$ where $(L, S) \in A_{M}$ is such that $Y^{\prime}=Y_{L, S}^{M}=\cup_{x \in M} x S_{r}^{M} x^{-1}$. (We have also $(L, S) \in A_{G}$.) We set $Y_{r}^{\prime}=\cup_{x \in M} x S_{r}^{G} x^{-1}$.

Now $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ and $Y$ are independent of the choice of $(L, S)$. (Indeed, it is enough to show that if $m \in M$, then $\left(m S m^{-1}\right)_{r}^{G}=m S_{r}^{G} m^{-1}$; we use that $H_{G}\left(m g m^{-1}\right)=$ $m H_{G}(g) m^{-1}$.) We have $Y_{r}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, Y_{r}^{\prime} \subset Y$ and $Y$ is the unique stratum of $G$ that contains $Y_{r}^{\prime}$. We have also $Y_{r}^{\prime} \subset Y^{\prime}$. (We use that $S_{r}^{G} \subset S_{r}^{M}$; indeed, if $g \in S$ and $H_{G}(g)=L$, then $G_{g_{s}}^{0} \subset L$ hence $M_{g_{s}}^{0} \subset L$ and $H_{M}(g)=L$.) We show that
(a) $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ is open in $Y^{\prime}$.

Let $(L, S) \in A_{M}$ be such that $Y^{\prime}=Y_{L, S}^{M}$. Now $Y^{\prime}$ is a locally trivial fibration over the variety of all $M$-conjugates of $L$, via $g \mapsto H_{M}(g)$; let $\beta$ be the fibre of this map over $L$. It is enough to show that $Y_{r}^{\prime} \cap \beta$ is open in $\beta$. We have $\beta=\cup_{n \in N_{M} L / L} n S_{r}^{M} n^{-1}, Y_{r}^{\prime} \cap \beta=\cup_{n \in N_{M} L / L} n S_{r}^{G} n^{-1}$. It is enough to observe that $S_{r}^{G}$ is open in $S_{r}^{M}$; in fact it is open in $S$.

Let $\tilde{\tilde{Y}} \underset{\tilde{\tilde{Y}}}{=}\left\{(g, x) \in G \times G ; x^{-1} g x \in Y_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$. Define $\sigma: \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow Y_{r}^{\prime}$ by $\sigma(g, x)=x^{-1} g x$. Let $\tilde{Y}=\tilde{\tilde{Y}} / M=\left\{(g, x M) \in Y \times G / M ; x^{-1} g x \in Y_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$. We show:
(b) $\tilde{Y}$ is a smooth, irreducible variety of dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} Y$.

Since $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ is smooth, irreducible of dimension equal to $\operatorname{dim} Y^{\prime}$ (see (a), 1.1(a)), we see that $\tilde{Y}$ is smooth, irreducible of dimension $\operatorname{dim} G / M+\operatorname{dim} Y^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim} Y$. This proves (b).

If $(g, x M) \in \tilde{Y}$, we have $g \in Y$ (since $\left.Y_{r}^{\prime} \subset Y\right)$. Define $\pi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ by $(g, x M) \mapsto$ $g$. We show:
(c) $\pi$ is a finite unramified cover of $Y$ with fibres isomorphic to $c_{G} / c_{M}$ where $c_{G}=\left\{n \in N_{G} L, n^{-1} S n=S\right\}, c_{M}=\left\{n \in N_{M} L, n^{-1} S n=S\right\}$ and $(L, S) \in A_{M}$, $Y^{\prime}=Y_{L, S}^{M}$.

It is enough to show that if $g \in S_{r}^{G}$, then $\pi^{-1}(g) \cong c_{G}$. We can identify $\pi^{-1}(g)$ with $\left\{x M \in G / M ; x^{-1} g x \in Y_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ hence also with $\left\{x \in G ; \xi^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}\right\} /\{x \in$ $\left.M ; \xi^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}\right\}$. It is enough to show that $\left\{x \in G ; \xi^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}\right\}=c_{G}$ (this would imply $\left\{x \in M ; \xi^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}\right\}=c_{M}$ ). Let $x \in G$ be such that $x^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}$; then $L=H_{G}\left(x^{-1} g x\right)=x^{-1} H_{G}(g) x=x^{-1} L x$ and $x \in N_{G} L$. Let $S^{\prime}, g^{\prime}$ be the image of $S, g$ in $L / \mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}$. Now $A d\left(x^{-1}\right)$ induces an automorphism of $L / \mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}$ which carries $S^{\prime}$ to an isolated conjugacy class $S^{\prime \prime}$ and $g^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ to an element of $S^{\prime}$; it follows that $S^{\prime}=S^{\prime \prime}$ and $\operatorname{Ad}\left(x^{-1}\right) S=S$, so that $x \in c_{G}$. Conversely, let $x \in N_{G} L$ be such that $x^{-1} S x=S$. Then $x^{-1} g x \in S, H_{G}\left(x^{-1} g x\right)=x^{-1} H_{G}(g) x=x^{-1} L x=L$ and $x^{-1} g x \in S_{r}^{G}$. This proves (c).
1.3. We preserve the setup of 1.2 Let $\mathcal{E} \in l s_{M}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$. We define $j_{Y^{\prime}}^{Y}(\mathcal{E}) \in l s_{G}(Y)$. Note that $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathcal{E} \mid Y_{r}^{\prime}\right) \in l s_{G \times M}(\tilde{\tilde{Y}})$ for the $G \times M$ action $\left(g_{0}, m\right):(g, x) \mapsto\left(g_{0} g g_{0}^{-1}\right.$, $g_{0} x m^{-1}$ ) on $\tilde{\tilde{Y}}$. Hence $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathcal{E} \mid Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{*} \mathcal{E}_{1}$ where $\sigma_{1}: \tilde{\tilde{Y}} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is the obvious map and $\mathcal{E}_{1} \in l s(\tilde{Y})$ is well defined. We define $j_{Y^{\prime}}^{Y} \mathcal{E}=\pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}\right) \in l s_{G}(Y)$; this has rank equal to $c_{G} / c_{M}$ times the rank of $\mathcal{E}$.
1.4. We preserve the setup of 1.3 , Let $(L, S) \in A_{M}$ be such that $Y^{\prime}=Y_{L, S}^{M}$ and let $\mathcal{E}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$. Then $j_{S}^{Y^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right) \in l s\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $j_{S}^{Y}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right) \in l s(Y)$ are defined as in 1.3, From the definition we see that
(a) $j_{Y^{\prime}}^{Y}\left(j_{S}^{Y^{\prime}} \mathcal{E}_{0}\right)=j_{S}^{Y}\left(\mathcal{E}_{0}\right)$.
1.5. Let $\mathcal{F} \in l s_{G}\left(Y_{1}\right)$ where $Y_{1}=Y_{L, S}^{G}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is admissible if it is irreducible and if $\mathcal{F}$ is a direct summand of $j_{S}^{Y_{1}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \in l s_{G}\left(Y_{1}\right)$ for some $\mathcal{F}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$ which is cuspidal irreducible (see [L84, 2.4]). (This condition is independent of the choice of $(L, S)$.) Let $\mathcal{A}^{G}\left(Y_{1}\right)$ be the class of $G$-equivariant admissible local systems on $Y_{1}$. We say that $Y_{1}$ is an admissible stratum if $\mathcal{A}^{G}\left(Y_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. In the setup of 1.3 we see (using 1.4(a)) that if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{A}^{M}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ then $j_{Y^{\prime}}^{Y}(\mathcal{F})$ is a (nonzero) direct sum of objects of $\mathcal{A}^{G}(Y)$. In particular, if $Y^{\prime}$ is admissible (for $M$ ) then $Y$ is admissible (for $G$ ).
1.6. We now assume that $M$ is defined over $F_{q}$. If $Y^{\prime}$ in 1.2 is $F$-stable then $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ in 1.2 is $F$-stable. Indeed, from $Y^{\prime}=F\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ and $Y^{\prime}=Y_{L, S}^{M}$ we deduce $Y^{\prime}=F\left(Y^{\prime}\right)=$ $Y_{F(L), F(S)}^{M}$ hence $F(L)=m L m^{-1}, F(S)=m S m^{-1}$ for some $m \in M$. Replacing $L, S$ by an $M$-conjugate we can assume that $F(L)=L, F(S)=S$, so that

$$
F\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)=\cup_{x \in M} x F(S)_{r}^{G} x^{-1}=\cup_{x \in M} x S_{r}^{G} x^{-1}=Y_{r}^{\prime}
$$

A similar argument shows that $Y$ in 1.2 is $F$-stable; alternatively, this holds since $Y$ is the unique stratum of $G$ containing $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ (which is $F$-stable). Moreover, $\tilde{\tilde{Y}}, \tilde{Y}, \pi$ in 1.2 and $\sigma, \sigma_{1}$ in 1.3 are defined over $F_{q}$. If now $\mathcal{E}$ in 1.3 is such that $F^{*} \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$, then $\sigma^{*}\left(\mathcal{E} \mid Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ in 1.3 are isomorphic to their inverse image under $F$ hence $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}:=j_{Y^{\prime}}^{Y}(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies $F^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$; moreover any isomorphism $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ (of local systems on $Y^{\prime}$ ) induces an isomorphism $F^{*}\left(\sigma^{*}\left(\mathcal{E} \mid Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^{*}\left(\mathcal{E} \mid Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ (of local systems on $\tilde{\tilde{Y}}$ ), an isomorphism $F^{*} \mathcal{E}_{1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{1}$ (of local systems on $\tilde{Y}$ ) and an isomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: F^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ (of local systems on $Y$ ). Now $\phi, \tilde{\phi}$ extend to isomorphisms $\phi^{\sharp}$ : $F^{*} \mathcal{E}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^{\sharp}$ (of complexes on $M$ ) and $\tilde{\phi}^{\sharp}: F^{*} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\sharp} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\sharp}$ (of complexes on $G$ ). Hence $\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}: M^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}, \chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\sharp}, \bar{\phi}^{\sharp}}: G^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ are well defined. They are class functions on $M^{F}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G^{F}\right)$.
1.7. Let $Z_{M}$ be the set of all pairs $\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right)$ where $Y^{\prime}$ is an $F$-stable admissible stratum of $M$ and $\mathcal{E} \in l s_{M}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ is admissible (up to isomorphism) such that $F^{*} \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}$. For any $\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right) \in Z_{M}$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}}$ the subspace of $c l\left(M^{F}\right)$ consisting of the class functions $\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}: M^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ where $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism. A different choice for $\phi$ must be of the form $a \phi$ for some $a \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}$ and we have $\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp},(a \phi)^{\sharp}}=a \chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}$ hence $\mathcal{L}_{Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}}$ is well defined line in $\operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right)$, independent of any choice. We have
(a) $\operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right)=\oplus_{\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right) \in Z_{M}} \mathcal{L}_{Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}}$.

A proof is given in [L04, 26.5]. (Alternatively, instead of L04, one can use [L86, 25.2] complemented by [L12].) Let
(b) $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}: \operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$
be the linear map such that for any $\left(Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}\right) \in Z_{M}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}$ to the line $\mathcal{L}_{Y^{\prime}, \mathcal{E}}$ sends $\chi_{\mathcal{E}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}: M^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ (where $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism) to $\chi_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{\sharp}, \bar{\phi}_{\sim}^{\sharp}}: G^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ (see 1.6). If $\phi$ is replaced by $a \phi$ with $a \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}^{*}$, then $\tilde{\phi}^{\sharp}$ is replaced by $a \tilde{\phi}^{\sharp}$. Thus the linear map $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}$ is well defined (independent of choices).
1.8. In the setup of 1.4 assume that $\mathcal{E}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$ is cuspidal irreducible, that $L, S$ are defined over $F_{q}$ and that we are given an isomorphism $\phi_{0}: F^{*} \mathcal{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{0}$ of local systems on $S$. Then $\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}: L^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is well defined. Using 1.4 (a) and the definitions we see that
(a) $\left.\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)\right)=\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)\right)$.
1.9. In the rest of this paper, unless otherwise specified, we assume that $q \gg 0$, so that the results of L90 can be applied. (As mentioned in 0.1 the assumption of loc. cit. on the characteristic of $\mathbf{k}$, can now be removed.) We shall write $R_{M}^{G}: \operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ instead of $R_{M, P}^{G}$ (with $\left.P \in \Pi(M)\right)$.

Let $(L, S) \in A_{M}, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \phi_{0}$ be as in 1.8. The following result can be deduced from L90, 9.2]:
(a) $\mathcal{R}_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)=R_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)$.

Let $c l^{\prime}\left(M^{F}\right)$ be the $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$-subspace of $c l\left(M^{F}\right)$ generated by the elements $R_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)$ $\in c l\left(M^{F}\right)$ for various $L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \phi_{0}$ as in (a). The following result will be proved in $\$ 2$
(b) We have $c^{\prime}\left(M^{F}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right)$.

We can now prove 0.1(b). By (b), it is enough to show that if $L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}, \phi_{0}$ are as in (a) then
(c) $R_{M}^{G}\left(R_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, 中_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)\right)=\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}\left(R_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)\right)$.

By (a), the right hand side of (c) is equal to $\mathcal{R}_{M}^{G}\left(\mathcal{R}_{L}^{M}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)\right)$ hence, by 1.8 (a), it is equal to $\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)$. We have $R_{M}^{G} R_{L}^{M}=R_{L}^{G}: \operatorname{cl}\left(L^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$. (This is proved in [L76, Cor.5] assuming that $L$ is a maximal torus of $G$; but the same proof works in general.) Thus (c) is equivalent to the equality

$$
R_{L}^{G}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)
$$

and this follows from (a) (with $M$ replaced by $G$ ). This proves 0.1(b).

## 2. Proof of 1.9(B)

2.1. Let $\tilde{G}$ be a reductive connected group over $\mathbf{k}$ with an $F_{q}$-rational structure (with Frobenius map $F: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ ) such that $\tilde{G}_{\text {der }}$ is simply connected; assume that we are given a surjective homomorphism of algebraic groups $\tau: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ defined over $F_{q}$ whose kernel $K$ is a central torus in $\tilde{G}$. Then $\tilde{M}=\tau^{-1}(M) \in \Lambda(\tilde{G})$ is defined over $F_{q}$. Let $P \in \Pi(\tilde{\tilde{V}})$ and let $V$ be the unipotent radical of $P$. Then $\tilde{P}=\tau^{-1}(P) \in \Pi(\tilde{M})$. Let $\tilde{V}$ be the unipotent radical of $\tilde{P}$. Let $X=\{g V \in$ $\left.G ; g^{-1} F(g) \in F(V)\right\}, \tilde{X}=\left\{\tilde{g} \tilde{V} \in \tilde{G} ; \tilde{g}^{-1} F(\tilde{g}) \in F(\tilde{V})\right\}$. Now $G^{F} \times M^{F}$ acts on $X$ by $\left(g_{0}, m_{0}\right): g \mapsto g_{0} g m_{0}^{-1}$ and this induces an action of $G^{F} \times M^{F}$ on the $l$-adic cohomology $H_{c}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$. Similarly, $\tilde{G}^{F} \times \tilde{M}^{F}$ acts on $H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$. For $u \in G^{F}$ unipotent and for $u^{\prime} \in M^{F}$ unipotent we set $\gamma_{M, V}^{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(u, u^{\prime}\right), H_{c}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)\right)$. Similarly for $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{G}^{F}$ unipotent and for $\tilde{u}^{\prime} \in \tilde{M}^{F}$ unipotent we set $\gamma_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{V}}^{\tilde{u}}\left(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right), H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)\right)$. Assuming that $u=\tau(\tilde{u}), u^{\prime}=\tau\left(\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right)$ we show:
(a) $\gamma_{\tilde{M}}^{\tilde{G}}\left(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right)=\left|K^{F}\right| \gamma_{M}^{G}(u, v)$.

The restriction of $\tau: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ defines a map $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ which is a principal covering with group $K^{F}$. Hence we can identify $H_{c}^{i}\left(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$ with the $K^{F}$-invariants in $H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$. It follows that (a) can be restated as follows:
$\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right), H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)\right)=\sum_{k \in K^{F}} \sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(k \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right), H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)\right)$.
By the fixed point formula DL, 3.2] we have $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(k \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}^{\prime}\right), H_{c}^{i}\left(\tilde{X}, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)\right)=0$ for any $k \in K^{F}-\{1\}$ (since the fixed point of translation by $k$ on $\tilde{X}$ is empty). The desired equality follows.

We shall now omit the symbol $V$ in $\gamma_{M, V}^{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$; we write instead $\gamma_{M}^{G}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)$.
2.2. In the setup of 2.1 we define $a: \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ and $a^{\prime}: \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{M}^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(M^{F}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a \tilde{f})(g) & =\sum_{h \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \tau(h)=g} \tilde{f}(h), \\
\left(a^{\prime} \tilde{f}\right)(g) & =\sum_{h \in \tilde{M}^{F} ; \tau(h)=g} \tilde{f}(h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $f \in \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{M}^{F}\right)$ we show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a\left(R_{\tilde{M}, \tilde{P}}^{\tilde{G}}(f)\right)=R_{M, P}^{G}\left(a^{\prime}(f)\right) \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must show that for $g \in G^{F}$ we have

$$
\sum_{h \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \tau(h)=g} R_{\tilde{M}}^{\tilde{G}}(f)(h)=R_{M}^{G}\left(a^{\prime}(f)\right)(g)
$$

or (using [L90, 1.7(b)]) that
(b)

$$
=\left|M^{F}\right|^{-1}\left|G_{g_{s}}^{0 F}\right|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{x \in G^{F} ; \\ x i^{-1} g_{s} x \in M}} \sum_{\substack{v \in x M x^{-1} \cap G_{g_{s}}^{0 F} ; \\ \text { unip. }}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}^{F} ; \\ \tau(\tilde{m})=x^{-1} g_{s} v x}} \gamma_{x M x x^{-1} \cap G_{g_{s}}^{0}}^{G_{g_{s}}^{0}}\left(g_{u}, v\right) f(\tilde{m}) .
$$

The right hand side of (b) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{h \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \\
\tau(h)=g}}\left|\tilde{M}^{F}\right|^{-1}\left|\tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0 F}\right|^{-1}\left|K_{F}\right|^{2}\left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{z \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \\
z^{-1} h_{s} z \in \tilde{M}}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{v} \in z \tilde{M} z^{-1} \mathrm{n} n \tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0 F} ; \\
\text { unip. }}} \\
& \sum_{\substack{\tilde{m} \in \tilde{M}^{F} ; \\
\tau(\tilde{m})=\tau\left(z^{-1} h_{s} \tilde{v} z\right)}}\left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \gamma_{z \tilde{M} z^{-1} \cap \tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0}}^{\tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0}}\left(h_{u}, \tilde{v}\right) f(\tilde{m}) \\
& =\left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \sum_{\substack{h \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \\
\tau(h)=g}}\left|\tilde{M}^{F}\right|^{-1}\left|\tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0 F}\right|^{-1}\left|K_{F}\right|^{2}\left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \\
& \sum_{\substack{z \in \tilde{G}^{F} ; \\
z^{-1} h_{s} z \in \tilde{M}}} \sum_{\tilde{v} \in z \tilde{M} z^{-1} \cap \tilde{G}_{\tilde{h}_{s}}^{0 F} ;{ }_{\text {unip. }}} \sum_{k \in K^{F}}\left|K^{F}\right|^{-1} \gamma_{z \tilde{M} z^{-1} \cap \tilde{G}_{h_{s}}^{0}}^{\tilde{T}_{h_{s}}^{0}}\left(h_{u}, \tilde{v}\right) f\left(k z^{-1} h_{s} \tilde{v} z\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(We have used 2.1(a).) This is the same as the left hand side of (b). This proves (a).
2.3. We prove 1.9 (b) for $G$ instead of $M$. Let $\Theta_{G}$ be the set of all pairs ( $D, \mathcal{X}$ ) where $D$ is a conjugacy class of $G$ and $\mathcal{X} \in l s_{G}(D)$ is irreducible (up to isomorphism). Now $F$ acts on $\Theta_{G}$ by $F(D, \mathcal{X})=\left(F D, F^{*} \mathcal{X}\right)$. For $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}_{D, \mathcal{X}}$ the line in $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ containing the function $\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}: G^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ where $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism; note that $\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}$ is equal to 0 outside the closure of $D$. (This line is well defined.) It is well known and easy to see that

$$
c l\left(G^{F}\right)=\oplus_{(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}} \mathcal{L}_{D, \mathcal{X}}
$$

Hence to prove that $c l^{\prime}\left(G^{F}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ it is enough to show that
(a) if $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$ and $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}, f_{0}=\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}$, then $f_{0} \in c l^{\prime}\left(G^{F}\right)$.

In the special case where $G_{d e r}$ is simply connected, this follows from [L90, 9.5]. We shall deduce the general case from this special case. We can find $\tau: \tilde{G} \rightarrow G, F$ : $\tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}, K$ as in 2.1 such that $\tilde{G}_{d e r}$ is simply connected. Let $a: \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ be as in [2.2. We define a linear map $b: \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right)$ by $(b f)(\tilde{g})=f(\tau(\tilde{g}))$; for $f \in \operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ we have $a b f=\left|K^{F}\right| f$. Since $1.9(b)$ holds for $\tilde{G}$, we have $b f_{0} \in c l^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right)$ hence $\left|K^{F}\right| f_{0}=a b f_{0} \in a\left(c l^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right)\right)$. Thus it is enough to show that $a\left(c l^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}^{F}\right)\right) \subset$ $c l^{\prime}\left(G^{F}\right)$.

Let $(\tilde{L}, \tilde{S}) \in A_{\tilde{G}}$ be such that $F(\tilde{L})=\tilde{L}, F(\tilde{S})=\tilde{S}$ and let $\mathcal{F} \in l s_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{S})$ be irreducible cuspidal with a given isomorphism $\psi: F^{*} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$. It is enough to show that
(b) $a\left(R_{\tilde{L}}^{\tilde{G}}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}, \psi^{\sharp}}\right)\right) \in c l^{\prime}\left(G^{F}\right)$.

Let $L=\tau(\tilde{L}), S=\tau(\tilde{S})$; we have $(L, S) \in A_{M}$. Let $\tau^{\prime}: \tilde{L} \rightarrow L$ be the restriction of $\tau$; we define define $a^{\prime}: \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{L}^{F}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cl}\left(L^{F}\right)$ by $\left(a^{\prime} \tilde{f}\right)(g)=\sum_{\tilde{g} \in \tilde{L}^{F} ; \tau^{\prime}(\tilde{g})=g} \tilde{f}(\tilde{g})$. By 2.2(a), for any $f \in \operatorname{cl}\left(\tilde{L}^{F}\right)$ we have
(c) $a\left(R_{\tilde{L}}^{\tilde{G}}(f)\right)=R_{L}^{G}\left(a^{\prime}(f)\right)$.

From this we see that the left hand side of (b) is equal to $R_{L}^{G}\left(a^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}, \psi^{\sharp}}\right)\right)$. From the definitions we see that $a^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}, \psi^{\sharp}}\right)$ is a linear combination of functions of the form $\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}: L^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ where $\mathcal{E}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$ is irreducible cuspidal and $\phi_{0}: F^{*} \mathcal{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{0}$. It follows that $R_{L}^{G}\left(a^{\prime}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{F}^{\sharp}, \psi^{\sharp}}\right)\right) \in c l^{\prime}\left(G^{F}\right)$. We see that (b) holds. This completes the proof of 1.9 (b) for $G$.

## 3. A direct sum decomposition of $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$

3.1. In this section there is no restriction on $q$. Let $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}$. We associate to $(D, \mathcal{X})$ an admissible stratum of $G$. Let $E$ be the set of semisimple parts of elements in $D$; this is a conjugacy class in $G$. For $s \in E$ let $[s]$ be the set of unipotent conjugacy classes of $G_{s}^{0}$ such that $s C \subset D$. For any $s \in E$ and $C \in[s]$ we define $f_{s}: C \rightarrow D$ by $u \mapsto s u$; then $f_{s}^{*} \mathcal{X} \in l s_{G_{s}^{0}}(C)$. Let $f_{s}^{*} \mathcal{X}=\oplus \mathcal{Y} \in Q_{s, C} \mathcal{Y}$ be the isotypic decomposition of $f_{s}^{*} \mathcal{X}$; thus each $\mathcal{Y}$ is an isotypic object of $l s_{G_{s}^{0}}(C)$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be the set of all pairs $(g, \mathcal{Y})$ where $g \in D$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in Q_{g_{s}, C}$ where $C \in\left[g_{s}\right]$ contains $g_{u}$. Then $D^{\prime}$ is naturally an algebraic variety with a transitive action of $G$ such that the map $D^{\prime} \rightarrow D,(g, \mathcal{Y}) \mapsto g$ is a $G$-equivariant unramified finite covering. For $s \in E, C \in[s], \mathcal{Y} \in Q_{s, C}$, we choose an irreducible summand $\eta$ of $\mathcal{Y}$; the generalized Springer correspondence [L84, 6.3] for the reductive connected group $G_{s}^{0}$ associates to the pair $(C, \eta)$ a triple $(L, S, \mathcal{F})=\left(L \mathcal{Y}, S_{\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Y}}\right)$ (up to $G_{s}^{0}$-conjugacy) where $L \in \Lambda\left(G_{s}^{0}\right), S=\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0} c$ with $c=c \mathcal{y}$ a unipotent class of $L$ and $\mathcal{F}=\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$ is irreducible cuspidal with $\mathcal{F}_{0} \in l s_{L}(c)$ irreducible; this triple is independent of the choice of $\eta$ since $\mathcal{Y}$ is isotypic. Let $M=M_{\mathcal{Y}}=Z_{G}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right) \in \Lambda(G)$. Let $D_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the conjugacy class in $M$ containing sc. Let $\Sigma=\Sigma \mathcal{Y}=D_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Z}_{M}^{0}$. Since $L \in \Lambda\left(G_{s}^{0}\right)$, we have $Z_{G_{s}^{0}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right)=L$ hence $\left(Z_{G_{s}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right)\right)^{0}=L$. We have $M_{s}=G_{s} \cap M=G_{s} \cap Z_{G}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right)=$ $Z_{G_{s}}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right)$ so that $M_{s}^{0}=L$. We have $Z_{M}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{M_{s}^{0}}^{0}\right)=Z_{M}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right)=Z_{G}\left(\mathcal{Z}_{L}^{0}\right) \cap M=M$ hence $s$ is isolated in $M$ and $\Sigma$ is an isolated stratum of $M$. Hence we can define $Y=Y_{M, \Sigma}^{G}$, a stratum of $G$. If $(L, S, \mathcal{F})$ is replaced by a $G_{s}^{0}$-conjugate or if $(s, C, \mathcal{Y})$ is replaced by a triple in the same $G$-orbit, then $Y$ is replaced by a $G$-conjugate hence it remains the same. Thus the stratum $Y$ depends only on $(D, \mathcal{X})$. For
$\mathcal{Y},(L, S, \mathcal{F}), M, \Sigma$ as above we can find $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \in l s_{M}(\Sigma)$ irreducible such that the inverse image of $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ under $C \rightarrow \Sigma, u \mapsto s u$ contains $\mathcal{F}$ as a direct summand. By the arguments in [L84, 2.10], $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is cuspidal. It follows that $Y$ is an admissible stratum. We set $Y=\psi(D, \mathcal{X})$.

Note that if $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$ then $F(Y)=Y$.
3.2. Let $\Gamma_{G}^{\prime}$ be the set of all triples $\left(L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right)$ where $(L, S) \in A_{G}$ is such that $F L=L, F S=S$ and $\mathcal{E}_{0} \in l s_{L}(S)$ is irreducible cuspidal (up to isomorphism) such that $F^{*} \mathcal{E}_{0} \cong \mathcal{E}_{0}$. Let $\Gamma_{G}$ be the set of orbits of the conjugation action of $G^{F}$ in $\Gamma_{G}^{\prime}$. For $\left(L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right) \in \Gamma_{G}^{\prime}$ we choose an isomorphism $\phi_{0}: F^{*} \mathcal{E}_{0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{0}$ of local systems on $S$. Then $\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}: L^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is well defined; it is a class function on $L^{F}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}}$ be the line in $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ containing $\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}\left(\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{0}^{\sharp}, \phi_{0}^{\sharp}}\right)$ for some/any $\phi_{0}$ as above; this line depends only on the image of $\left(L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right)$ in $\Gamma_{G}$. We have the following result.

## Theorem 3.3.

(i) We have $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)=\oplus_{\left(L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right) \in \Gamma_{G}} \mathcal{L}_{L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}}$.
(ii) For any $F$-stable admissible stratum $Y$ of $G$ we define $c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ to be the subspace $\sum_{\left(L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}\right) \in \Gamma_{G} ; Y_{L, S}^{G}=Y} \mathcal{L}_{L, S, \mathcal{E}_{0}}$ of $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ (this is a direct sum, see (i)); we define $\underline{c l}_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ to be the subspace $\oplus_{(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F} ; \psi(D, \mathcal{X})=Y} \mathcal{L}_{D, \mathcal{X}}$ of $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ (see 2.3, 3.1). We have $c_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)=\underline{c l}_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ and $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)=\oplus_{Y} c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ where $Y$ runs over the $F$-stable admissible strata of $G$.

The fact that the sum in (i) is direct follows from the orthogonality relations [L85, 9.9] (its hypotheses are satisfied by the results in [86] and L12]). If $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in$ $\Theta_{G}^{F}$ and $Y=\psi(D, \mathcal{X})$ then we have
(a) $\mathcal{L}_{D, \mathcal{X}} \subset c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$.

When $G_{d e r}$ is simply connected, (a) follows from [L90, 9.5]. (One can replace $R_{L}^{G}$ in loc.cit. with $q$ large by $\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}$ without restriction on $q$.) The general case can be reduced to this special case by passage to $\tilde{G}$ as in the proof in 2.3 (again replacing $R_{L}^{G}$ by $\left.\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}\right)$. Since the lines $\mathcal{L}_{D, \mathcal{X}}$ span $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ we see that (a) implies that the sum in (i) is equal to $\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$. Thus (i) holds. From (a) we see that $\underline{c l}_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right) \subset c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ for any $Y$. Since $\oplus_{Y} \underline{c l}_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)=\oplus_{Y} c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)=\operatorname{cl}\left(G^{F}\right)$ (see 2.3 and (i)) it follows that $\underline{c l}_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)=c l_{Y}\left(G^{F}\right)$ for any $Y$. This proves (ii).
3.4. From 3.3 and the orthogonality relations mentioned in the proof of 3.3 one can deduce that the "Mackey formula" for $R_{L, P}^{G}$ stated by Deligne (unpublished) in 1976 for $q$ large and in BM for $q>2$ remains valid without restriction on $q$ if $R_{L, P}^{G}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{R}_{L}^{G}$.
3.5. Let $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}$. We use notation of 3.1. We say that $(D, \mathcal{X})$ is of principal type if for $s \in E, C \in[s]$, the local system $f_{s}^{*} \mathcal{X}$ on $C$ is such that some/any irreducible summand $\eta$ of $f_{s}^{*} \mathcal{X}$ is such that $(C, \eta)$ appears in the usual Springer correspondence for $G_{s}^{0}$. An equivalent condition is that the stratum $Y=\psi(D, \mathcal{X})$ is the variety of regular semisimple elements in $G$. For example, $\left(D, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}\right)$ is of principal type.

Now let $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$ be of principal type; let $\phi: F^{*} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$ be an isomorphism. From 3.3(a) we deduce
(a)

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}=\sum_{T, \theta} c_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta} \mathcal{R}_{T}^{G}(\theta)
$$

where $T$ runs over the $F$-stable maximal tori in $G, \theta$ runs through the set of characters $T^{F} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ and the pairs $(T, \theta)$ are taken up to $G^{F}$-conjugacy; $c_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta} \in$ $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ are uniquely determined. Equivalently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}=\sum_{T, \theta} c_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta} R_{T}^{G}(\theta) . \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, we have $R_{T}^{G}(\theta)=\mathcal{R}_{T}^{G}(\theta)$. This follows from the results in L90] (for large $q$ ) and their extension to general $q$ in [Sh. Moreover, from [L90, 9.5] we see that $c_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta}$ are explicitly known (at least if $G_{d e r}$ is simply connected, but the general case can be reduced to this case as before). Since the multiplicities of irreducible representations of $G^{F}$ in $R_{T}^{G}(\theta)$ are known, it follows that the functions $\chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\sharp}, \phi^{\sharp}}$ are computable as explicit linear combinations of irreducible characters.

In particular, (a),(b) hold when $D$ is an $F$-stable conjugacy class in $G^{F}$ and $\mathcal{X}=\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$.
3.6. Let $(D, \mathcal{X}) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the set of all $\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \in \Theta_{G}^{F}$ such that $D^{\prime}$ is contained in the closure of $D$. For any $\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}$ we choose an isomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{X}^{\prime}}: F^{*} \mathcal{X}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$. We have
(a) $\chi_{\mathcal{X}, \phi_{\mathcal{X}}}=\sum_{\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}} d_{D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}} \chi_{\mathcal{X}^{\prime} \sharp, \phi_{\mathcal{X}^{\prime}}}$
where $d_{D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$. Assume now that $(D, \mathcal{X})$ is of principal type. Then $d_{D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}}=$ 0 unless $\left(D^{\prime}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is of principal type. (This can be deduced from the results in L86 on Green functions.) Using 3.5(b) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \mathcal{X}, \phi=\sum_{T, \theta} \tilde{c}_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta} R_{T}^{G}(\theta) \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{c}_{D, \mathcal{X} ; T, \theta} \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$ is explicitly computable. In particular, (b) holds when $\mathcal{X}=\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{l}$. We see that:
(c) the class function on $G^{F}$ equal to 1 on $D^{F}$ and equal to 0 on $G^{F}-D^{F}$ is a linear combination of functions of the form $R_{T}^{\theta}$.

This has been conjectured in L78, 2.16]. Note that the coefficients in the linear combination above are explicitly computable. Since each $R_{T}^{\theta}$ is an explicit linear combination of irreducible characters, we deduce that for any $D$ as above the average value on $D^{F}$ of any irreducible character of $G^{F}$ is explicitly computable. In the case where $D$ is a semisimple class, a result like (c) appears (in a stronger form) in [DL, 7.5].

Note that (c) also appears in [DM20, Cor.13.3.5] and in [GM20, Cor.2.7.13] (of which the author learned after submitting this paper).
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