

## SPLITTING FIELDS OF REAL IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

DMITRII V. PASECHNIK

ABSTRACT. We show that any irreducible representation  $\rho$  of a finite group  $G$  of exponent  $n$ , realisable over  $\mathbb{R}$ , is realisable over the field  $E := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{R}$  of real cyclotomic numbers of order  $n$ , and describe an algorithmic procedure transforming a realisation of  $\rho$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$  to one over  $E$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group of exponent  $n$ . A celebrated result by R. Brauer states that any complex irreducible character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  of  $G$  is afforded by an  $F$ -representation  $\rho_\chi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(F)$ , where  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ , the field of cyclotomic numbers of order  $n$  (here  $\zeta_n := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ ), see [10, (10.3)]. Let  $E := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \cap \mathbb{R} \subset F$  be the maximal real subfield of  $F$ . The first result of this note is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible real-valued character of  $G$  of degree  $d := \chi(1)$  with Frobenius-Schur indicator  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ . Then  $E$  is a splitting field of  $\chi$ , i.e.  $\chi$  is afforded by an  $E$ -representation  $\rho$ , and the Schur index  $m_E(\chi)$  equals 1.*

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 invokes Serre’s induction theorem for real characters [13], [2, Theorem 73.18], and then follows the line of proof of Brauer’s theorem [10, (10.3)]. It is surprising that it has not appeared anywhere, at least as far as we know.

*Remark 1.2.* Independently and simultaneously, Robert Guralnick and Gabriel Navarro proved Theorem 1.1 by a similar method, although not using [13].

Recall that the *Frobenius-Schur indicator*  $\nu_2(\chi) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2)$  is an invariant classifying complex representations of  $G$  into three different types, see [10, (4.5)]. Namely,  $\nu_2(\chi) = 0$  if  $\chi$  is not real-valued, and  $\nu_2(\chi) = -1$  if  $\chi$  is real-valued, but is not afforded by a real-valued representation;  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$  if and only if  $\chi$  is afforded by a real-valued representation.

For a number field  $K \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , the *Schur index*  $m_K(\chi)$  is an invariant of  $\chi$  controlling the possibility to realise  $\rho_\chi$  over  $K$ , see e.g. [3, Sect. 41] and [10, Chapter 10]. Namely, let  $S \supseteq K$  be a splitting field of  $\chi$ . Then

$$m_K(\chi) := \min_{\substack{K \subseteq M \subseteq S \\ \rho_\chi \text{ realisable over } M}} [M : K(\chi)],$$

where we denoted by  $[M : K(\chi)]$  the degree of  $M$  as a field extension over  $K(\chi)$ , the field extension of  $K$  generated by the values of  $\chi$ . In particular, the claim of Theorem 1.1 amounts to stating that  $m_E(\chi) = 1$ .

---

Received by the editors July 13, 2021, and, in revised form, July 15, 2021.  
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15, 20-08.

Apart from theoretical significance, the question of finding a splitting field is relevant in group theory algorithms. Standard algorithms such as J. Dixon’s algorithm [5] for constructing complex, and real, irreducible representations (one implementation in the computer algebra system GAP [7] of it is described in [4]) do induction from 1-dimensional representations of subgroups of  $G$ , which are defined over  $F$ . One advantage of working over  $E$  instead is that the degree of  $E$  is half of the degree of  $F$ .

In particular for applications, e.g. in extremal combinatorics, in physics, etc. it is often necessary to reduce a representation to a direct sum of real irreducibles, and exact methods for this process benefit from explicit knowledge of the irreducibles, using well known formulas from [14, Sect. 2.7], as implemented in our GAP package ReprDecomp [9].

Our second result amounts to the algorithmic counterpart of Theorem 1.1, that is, to a procedure to compute, for a representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(F)$  realisable over reals, an explicit matrix  $Q \in \text{GL}_d(F)$  such that  $Q^{-1}\rho(G)Q \subset \text{GL}_d(E)$ , i.e.  $Q$  transforms  $\rho$  to an  $E$ -representation.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(F)$  be a representation of  $G$  realisable over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $P \in \text{SL}_d(F)$  such that  $P\rho(g) = \overline{\rho(g)}P$  for any  $g \in G$ , and  $P\overline{P} = I$ , can be explicitly computed from the  $\rho(G)$ -invariant forms. Let  $\xi \in F^*$  s.t.  $-\frac{\xi}{\overline{\xi}}$  is not an eigenvalue of  $P$ , and  $Q := \overline{\xi P} + \xi I$ . Then  $Q \in \text{GL}_d(F)$  and  $Q^{-1}\rho(G)Q \subset \text{GL}_d(E)$ .*

The only part of Theorem 1.3 which uses Theorem 1.1 is the claim that  $P$  can be chosen so that  $P\overline{P} = I$ . Algorithmically, one computes  $P$  s.t.  $P\overline{P} = \mu I$  for  $0 < \mu \in E$ , and then has to solve the *norm equation*

$$(1.1) \quad x\overline{x} = \mu, \quad \text{for } x \in F.$$

Theorem 1.1 implies that (1.1) is always solvable. Several parts of the proof of Theorem 1.3 are contained in [8] and [6], although our approach is more explicit, and for odd  $d$  we provide an explicit solution (Lemma 3.4), not involving solving (1.1), which is a nontrivial number-theoretic problem.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Our main tool is Serre’s induction theorem [2, (73.18)].

**Theorem 2.1** (Serre). *The character  $\chi$  of a real representation of  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination*

$$(2.1) \quad \chi = \sum_{\phi} a_{\phi} \text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)$$

*of real-valued induced characters  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)$ , with  $H \leq G$ , and  $\phi$  a character of  $H$ . Further,  $\phi$  is either linear and takes values  $\pm 1$ , or  $\phi = \lambda + \overline{\lambda}$  for a linear character  $\lambda$  of  $H$ , or  $\phi$  is dihedral. □*

A *dihedral character*  $\phi$  of a group  $H$  is a degree 2 irreducible character of  $H$  s.t.  $H/\ker \phi \cong D_{2m}$ , dihedral group of order  $2m$ .

Note that by [10, (10.2.f)]  $m_E(\chi)$  divides  $m_{\mathbb{Q}}(\chi) \leq 2$ , where the latter inequality holds by the Brauer-Speiser Theorem [10, p. 171]. Therefore it suffices to show that  $m_E(\chi) = 2$  is not possible in our situation.

Let  $\theta$  be a character of an  $E$ -representation of  $G$ . Then by [10, (10.2.c)]  $m_E(\chi) \mid [\theta, \chi]$ . Here  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the usual scalar product of characters  $[\theta, \chi] = \frac{1}{G} \sum_{g \in G} \theta(g) \overline{\chi(g)}$ , cf. [10, (2.16)]. As  $\chi$  is irreducible,  $[\chi, \chi] = 1$ , thus (2.1) implies

$$(2.2) \quad 1 = [\chi, \chi] = \sum_{\phi} a_{\phi} [\text{Ind}_H^G(\phi), \chi].$$

If every  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)$  is an  $E$ -representation, then  $m_E(\chi) = 2$  is not possible, as otherwise an even integer on the right hand side of (2.2) equals 1.

It remains to see that every  $\text{Ind}_H^G(\phi)$  is an  $E$ -representation.

This is trivially the case for linear  $\phi$ , and so we are left with the dihedral case and the case  $\phi = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$ . To simplify the rest of the proof, we use [10, (10.9)] which says that if a prime  $p$  divides  $m_E(\chi)$  then the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  are not elementary abelian. For  $p = 2$  this means that  $4 \mid n$ , i.e.  $i := \sqrt{-1} \in F$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $H \leq G$ , with  $G$  of exponent  $n$ ,  $4 \mid n$ , and  $\phi$  a character of  $H$ , either  $\phi = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda$  linear, or  $\phi$  dihedral. Then  $\phi$  is afforded by an  $E$ -representation.*

*Proof.* Note that  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1})$  and  $2 \cos \frac{2\pi}{n} = \zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}$ . As  $4 \mid n$ , it can be shown that  $\sin \frac{2\pi}{n} \in E$  in this case (in general this is not true).

In the case  $\phi = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  we have  $H/\ker \phi$  a cyclic group  $C$  of order  $m$  dividing  $n$ ,  $C \cong \langle \zeta_m \rangle$ . We have  $Z_m := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{m} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{m} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi}{m} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{m} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(E)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\phi} : C &\rightarrow \text{SL}_2(E) \\ \zeta_m^k &\mapsto Z_m^k, \quad 0 \leq k < m \end{aligned}$$

is the desired  $E$ -representation of  $C$  with character  $\phi$ .

For dihedral  $\phi$  we have  $H/\ker \phi$  a dihedral group  $D = \langle a, b \mid 1 = a^m = b^2 = (ab)^2 \rangle$  of order  $2m$  dividing  $n$ , with normal cyclic subgroup  $C$  of order  $m$ , so that the restriction  $\phi_C = \lambda + \bar{\lambda}$  is as in the previous case, and  $\phi_{D-C} = 0$ . We have  $Z_m \in \text{SL}_2(E)$  as in the previous case, and  $R_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(E)$  satisfying  $R_0 Z_m R_0 = Z_m^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\phi} : D &\rightarrow \text{GL}_2(E) \\ a^k b^{\ell} &\mapsto Z_m^k R_0^{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq k < m, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

is the desired  $E$ -representation of  $D$  with character  $\phi$ . □

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. The last step, i.e. the proof of Lemma 2.2, could also be accomplished in a less explicit way, by invoking the construction of Theorem 1.3; the matrix  $P$  mapping  $\rho_{\phi}$  to its conjugate can be chosen to be equal to  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , satisfying the only condition,  $P\bar{P} = I$ . In particular this approach allows to prove a more general version of Lemma 2.2 which does not require  $4 \mid n$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

The case  $n = 2$  is trivial, and we will assume  $n \geq 3$  in what follows.

Recall that in general,  $\chi$  has values in  $F$ , while a real-valued character has values in  $E$ . Whenever  $\chi$  is  $E$ -valued, the image  $\rho(G)$  of  $G$  under a representation  $\rho := \rho_\chi$  affording  $\chi$  leaves invariant a unique, up to scalar multiplication, non-zero  $G$ -invariant form  $M$ . It is a classical result due to Frobenius and Schur that if  $M$  is symmetric then  $\chi$  is afforded by a real representation  $\rho$ , and  $\nu_2(\chi) = 1$ , cf. [10, (4.19)].

Without loss of generality,  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Indeed, if  $\chi(1) = 1$  then  $\rho$  is the same as  $\chi$ , and we are done.

The proof of Frobenius-Schur in [10, (4.19)] starts with the elementary fact that if  $Q$  is a transformation making  $\rho$  real then  $Q^{-1}\rho Q = \overline{Q^{-1}\rho Q}$ , thus  $\overline{Q}Q^{-1}\rho = \overline{\rho}QQ^{-1}$ , and  $P := \overline{Q}Q^{-1}$  transforms  $\rho$  to  $\overline{\rho}$ , i.e.  $P^{-1}\overline{\rho}P = \rho$ . Such a  $P \in \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$  must exist irrespective of the existence of  $Q$ , as the characters of  $\rho$  and  $\overline{\rho}$  are equal, although we can give an explicit construction  $P = \Sigma^{-1}M$ , with  $M$  as above, and  $\Sigma$  the matrix of a positive definite Hermitian  $\rho(G)$ -invariant form.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\chi$  be a real-valued character of  $G$ , and  $\rho = \rho_\chi$  an  $F$ -representation affording  $\chi$ . Then  $P := \Sigma^{-1}M \in \text{GL}_d(F)$  satisfies  $P\rho(g) = \overline{\rho(g)}P$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof.* As  $\chi$  is real,  $\rho$  leaves invariant a non-zero  $G$ -invariant bilinear form  $M$ , i.e.  $g^\top M g = M$  for all  $g \in \rho$ , cf. e.g. [10, (4.14)]. As  $M$  can be found in the trivial sub-representation of the tensor square of  $\rho$ ,  $M \in M_d(F)$ . As well,  $\det M \neq 0$ , as the kernel of  $M$  would give rise to a sub-representation of  $\rho$ , contradicting irreducibility of  $\rho$ .

Let  $\Sigma := \sum_{h \in \rho(G)} h^\top \overline{h}$  – note that  $\Sigma$  is a Hermitian positive definite matrix, in particular  $\det \Sigma > 0$ , and  $g^\top \Sigma \overline{g} = \Sigma$  for any  $g \in \rho(G)$ .

Choose  $P := \Sigma^{-1}M$ . Let’s check that  $P^{-1}\overline{\rho}P = \rho$  (we use  $\det M \neq 0$  here). Let  $g \in \rho(G)$ . Then, as  $(\overline{g}\Sigma^{-1}g^\top)^{-1} = (g^\top)^{-1}\Sigma\overline{g}^{-1} = \Sigma$ ,

$$\Sigma^{-1}Mg = \overline{g}\Sigma^{-1}g^\top Mg = \overline{g}\Sigma^{-1}M,$$

as required. □

Now we have the equation

$$(3.1) \quad PQ = \overline{Q}, \quad \det Q \neq 0$$

implying  $\overline{P}PQ = \overline{P}\overline{Q} = Q$ , i.e.  $\overline{P}P = I$ . The latter is an extra restriction, in the sense that our procedure does not guarantee that  $P$  computed as in Lemma 3.1 satisfies  $\overline{P}P = I$ . In general, one will need to solve (1.1) and multiply  $P$  by the inverse of a solution. However, (1.1) will always be solvable by Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $P \in \text{GL}_d(F)$  such that  $Pg = \overline{g}P$  for any  $g \in \rho(G)$ . Then  $P\overline{P} = \mu I$  for some  $\mu \in E$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\overline{P\overline{g}} = g\overline{P}$ . Thus  $P\overline{P}\overline{g} = Pg\overline{P} = \overline{g}P\overline{P}$ . Thus  $P\overline{P}$  lies in the centraliser of an irreducible representation  $\overline{\rho}$ . Hence, by Schur’s Lemma,  $P\overline{P} = \mu I$ , for some  $\mu \in F$ .

It remains to show that  $\mu \in E$ . Using Lemma 3.1, and recalling that  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}$  are Hermitian positive definite, i.e.  $\Sigma^{-1} = U\overline{U}^\top$ , and  $M = M^\top$ , we have  $\mu I = P\overline{P} = \Sigma^{-1}M\overline{\Sigma^{-1}M}$ , i.e.

$$\mu\Sigma = M\overline{\Sigma^{-1}M} = M\overline{U\overline{U}^\top M} = M\overline{U}U^\top\overline{M} = (M\overline{U})(\overline{M\overline{U}})^\top = \overline{\mu\Sigma}^\top = \overline{\mu}\Sigma,$$

implying  $\mu = \overline{\mu}$ . □

It remains to solve (3.1) so that  $Q$  has entries in the splitting field of  $\rho$ . Note that the solution of (3.1) in [10, Ch. 4] assumes that  $\rho$  is unitary; i.e.  $\Sigma = I$ ; so in this case  $P^\top = P$ , and an explicit formula for  $Q$  is provided – which however does not work for us, as it involves square roots of eigenvalues of  $P$ . Fortunately, in [8, Prop. 1.3], there is an algorithmic proof of existence of the required solution of (3.1). In [loc. cit.] it is done for finite fields (and in bigger generality, for a field automorphism  $\sigma$  of finite order, referring to this result as a generalisation of *Hilbert’s Theorem 90*), and in [6] it was noted that it works for number fields as well. One can also find there an easier observation, that for a randomly chosen  $Y \in M_d(F)$  setting  $Q = \bar{Y} + \bar{P}Y$  produces a solution to (3.1) with high probability. Here is an easy to prove variation of this claim.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $P, Y \in M_d(F)$  and  $P\bar{P} = I$ . Then  $Q := \bar{Y} + \bar{P}Y$  satisfies  $PQ = \bar{Q}$ . Choosing  $Y = \xi P$ , with  $\xi \neq 0$  and  $-\xi/\bar{\xi}$  not being an eigenvalue of  $\bar{P}$  we have that  $Q \in M_d(F)$  satisfies (3.1).*

*Proof.* Note that  $PQ = P\bar{Y} + P\bar{P}Y = Y + P\bar{Y} = \bar{Q}$ , as claimed. The claimed choice of  $\xi$  is possible as  $F$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Further, with  $Q = \bar{\xi}\bar{P} + \xi\bar{P}P = \bar{\xi}(\bar{P} + \frac{\xi}{\bar{\xi}}I)$  we see that  $Qv = 0$  holds for a non-zero vector  $v$  if and only if  $\bar{P}v = -\frac{\xi}{\bar{\xi}}v$ , which is not possible by the choice of  $\xi$ .  $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 it suffices to observe that  $Q^{-1}\rho(g)Q \in M_d(E)$  for any  $g \in G$ .

One can solve (1.1) in the case of odd  $d$  without resorting to number-theoretic tools.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $d = 2k + 1$ . Then, (1.1) for  $\mu$  in  $P\bar{P} = \mu I$  is solved by  $x = \mu^{-k} \det P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda := \det P$ . Then  $\det(P\bar{P}) = \lambda\bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}\lambda = \det(\mu I) = \mu^{2k+1}$ . Thus  $\mu = \bar{\mu} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu^k} \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\mu^k}$ . Replacing  $P$  with  $P' = \frac{\mu^k}{\lambda} P$  we see that  $P'\bar{P}' = I$ .  $\square$

#### 4. RELATED WORK AND REMARKS

The paper [6] studies a closely related algorithmic question of minimising the degree of the number field needed to write down a complex representation. It is known that such a field need not be cyclotomic. On the other hand, computer algebra systems designed for computing in groups, such as GAP [7] and Magma [1], typically use cyclotomic fields for computation with characteristic zero representations of finite groups. In particular, this work came as an analysis of a question [11] posed on the GAP discussion forum.

Lemma 3.1 and its proof are essentially a refinement of an argument from the proof of [14, Thm. 31]. Lemmata 3.4 and 3.3 appear to be novel, as well as Theorem 1.1.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Denis Rosset, Stephen Glasby, Kaashif Hymabaccus, Robert Guralnick, and many participants of MathOverflow, in particular Will Sawin [12], for fruitful discussions.

Special thanks go to Robert Guralnick, who, in his capacity of a moderator for arXiv.org, caught a glaring omission in an earlier version of this text, submitted there.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, DOI 10.1006/jsco.1996.0125. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR1484478
- [2] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. II*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987. With applications to finite groups and orders; A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR892316
- [3] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Reprint of the 1962 original, DOI 10.1090/chel/356. MR2215618
- [4] Vahid Dabbaghian and John D. Dixon, *Computing matrix representations*, Math. Comp. **79** (2010), no. 271, 1801–1810, DOI 10.1090/S0025-5718-10-02330-6. MR2630014
- [5] John D. Dixon, *Constructing representations of finite groups*, Groups and computation (New Brunswick, NJ, 1991), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 105–112. MR1235797
- [6] Claus Fieker, *Minimizing representations over number fields. II. Computations in the Brauer group*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 3, 752–765, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2009.05.009. MR2531221
- [7] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021.
- [8] S. P. Glasby and R. B. Howlett, *Writing representations over minimal fields*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 6, 1703–1711, DOI 10.1080/00927879708825947. MR1446124
- [9] Kaashif Hymabaccus and Dmitrii V. Pasechnik, *RepnDecomp: A GAP package for decomposing linear representations of finite groups*, J. Open Source Softw. **5** (2020), no. 50, 1835–1836.
- [10] I. Martin Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0460423 (57 #417)]. MR1280461
- [11] Denis Rosset, *Repsn: constructing representations with real coefficients*, 2021, GAP-Forum post, <https://mail.gap-system.org/pipermail/forum/2021/006240.html>.
- [12] Will Sawin, *Is every positive real cyclotomic number the norm of a cyclotomic?*, MathOverflow, 2021, <https://mathoverflow.net/q/391269> (version: 2021-04-27).
- [13] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Conducteurs d'Artin des caractères réels* (French), Invent. Math. **14** (1971), 173–183, DOI 10.1007/BF01418887. MR321908
- [14] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Linear representations of finite groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott. MR0450380

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: [dima@pasechnik.info](mailto:dima@pasechnik.info)