GROWTH OF SOLUTIONS
FOR QG AND 2D EULER EQUATIONS

DIEGO CORDOBA AND CHARLES FEFFERMAN

1. Introduction

The work of Constantin-Majda-Tabak [1] developed an analogy between the Quasi-geostrophic and 3D Euler equations. Constantin, Majda and Tabak proposed a candidate for a singularity for the Quasi-geostrophic equation. Their numerics showed evidence of a blow-up for a particular initial data, where the level sets of the temperature contain a hyperbolic saddle. The arms of the saddle tend to close in finite time, producing a sharp front. Numerics studies done later by Ohkitani-Yamada [8] and Constantin-Nie-Schorghofer [2], with the same initial data, suggested that instead of a singularity the derivatives of the temperature were increasing as double exponential in time.

The study of collapse on a curve was first studied in [1] for the Quasi-geostrophic equation where they considered a simplified ansatz for classical frontogenesis with trivial topology. At the time of collapse, the scalar θ is discontinuous across the curve $x_2 = f(x_1)$ with different limiting values for the temperature on each side of the front. They show that under this topology the directional field remains smooth up to the collapse, which contradicts the following theorem proven in [1]:

<div style="text-align:center">

If locally the direction field remains smooth as t

approaches T_*, then no finite singularity is possible

as t approaches T_*.

</div>

The simplified ansatz with trivial topology studied in [1] does not describe a hyperbolic saddle.

Under the definition of a simple hyperbolic saddle, in [3], it was shown that the angle of the saddle cannot decrease faster than a double exponential in time.

The criterion obtained in [5] for a sharp front formation for a general two-dimensional incompressible flow is:

<div style="text-align:center">

A necessary condition to have a sharp front at time T is

</div>

$$\int_0^T |u|_{L^\infty}(s)ds = \infty.$$

For the Quasi-geostrophic equation it is not known if the quantity $\int_0^T |u|_{L^\infty}(s)ds$ diverges or not, and the criterion does not say how fast the arms of a saddle can close.

In this paper we do not assume anything on the velocity field, and we show that under a semi-uniform collapse the distance between two level curves cannot decrease faster than a double exponential in time. The semi-uniform collapse assumption greatly weakens the assumptions made in [1] for an ansatz for classical frontogenesis, and the simple hyperbolic saddle in [3].

In the case of 2D incompressible Euler equations we are interested in the large time behavior of solutions.

The two equations we discuss in this paper have in common the property that a scalar function is convected by the flow, which implies that the level curves are transported by the flow. The possible singular scenario is due to level curves approaching each other very fast which will lead to a fast growth on the gradient of the scalar function. Below we study the semi-uniform collapse of two level sets on a curve. By semi-uniform collapse we mean that the distance of the two curves at any point are comparable.

The equations we study are as follows:

The Quasi-geostrophic (QG) Equation

Here the unknowns are a scalar $\theta(x,t)$ and a velocity field $u(x,t) = (u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t)) \in R^2$, defined for $t \in [0, T^*)$ with $T^* \leq \infty$, and for $x \in \Omega$ where $\Omega = R^2$ or R^2/Z^2. The equations for θ, u are as follows:

$$(1.1) \qquad (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x)\,\theta = 0,$$

$$u = \nabla_x^\perp \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = (-\triangle_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta,$$

where $\nabla_x^\perp f = (-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1})$ for scalar functions f. The initial condition is $\theta(x,0) = \theta_0(x)$ for a smooth initial datum θ_0.

The Two-Dimensional Euler Equation

The unknown is an incompressible velocity field $u(x,t)$ as above with vorticity denoted by ω. The 2D Euler equation may be written in the form

$$(1.2) \qquad (\partial_t + u \cdot \nabla_x)\,\omega = 0,$$

$$u = \nabla_x^\perp \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = (-\triangle_x)^{-1}\omega,$$

with $u(x,0)$ equal to a given smooth divergence free $u_0(x)$.

2. Results

Asssume that $q = q(x,t)$ is a solution to (1.1) or (1.2), and that a level curve of q can be parameterized by

$$(2.1) \qquad x_2 = \phi_\rho(x_1, t) \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 \in [a, b]$$

with $\phi_\rho \in C^1([a,b] \cap [0, T^*))$, in the sense that

$$(2.2) \qquad q(x_1, \phi_\rho(x_1, t), t) = G(\rho) \quad \text{for} \quad x_1 \in [a, b],$$

and for certain ρ to be specified below.

The stream function ψ satisfies

$$(2.3) \qquad \nabla^\perp \psi = u.$$

From (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.4) \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial x_1} = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial t} = 0.$$

By (1.1), (1.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}}{\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}} = \frac{\langle -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}\rangle \cdot \langle \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}\rangle}{\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}} \\
&= \langle -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}\rangle \cdot \langle \frac{\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1}}{\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2}}, 1\rangle \\
&= \langle -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}\rangle \cdot \langle -\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial x_1}, 1\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(\psi(x_1, \phi_\rho(x_1, t), t)\right) &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial x_1} \\
&= \langle -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}\rangle \cdot \langle -\frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial x_1}, 1\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2.6) \qquad \frac{\partial \phi_\rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left(\psi(x_1, \phi_\rho(x_1, t), t)\right).$$

With this formula we can write an explicit equation for the change of time of the area between two fixed points a, b and two level curves $(\phi_{\rho_1}, \phi_{\rho_2})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}&\left(\int_a^b [\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)]dx_1\right) \\
&= \psi(b, \phi_{\rho_2}(b, t), t) - \psi(a, \phi_{\rho_2}(a, t), t) \\
&\quad + \psi(a, \phi_{\rho_1}(a, t), t) - \psi(b, \phi_{\rho_1}(b, t), t).
\end{aligned}$$

(2.7)

Assume that two level curves ϕ_{ρ_1} and ϕ_{ρ_2} collapse when t tends to T^* uniformly in $a \le x_1 \le b$, i.e.

$$\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t) \sim \frac{1}{b-a}\int_a^b [\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)]dx_1.$$

In other words, the distance between two level sets are comparable for $a \le x_1 \le b$.

Let

$$\delta(x_1, t) = |\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)|$$

be the thickness of the front.

We define semi-uniform collapse on a curve if (2.1) and (2.2) holds and there exists a constant c, independent of t, such that

$$\min \delta(x_1, t) \ge c \cdot \max \delta(x_1, t)$$

for $a \le x_1 \le b$, and for all $t \in [0, T^*)$.

We call the length $b - a$ of the interval $[a, b]$ the length of the front.

Now we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For a QG solution with a semi-uniform front, the thickness $\delta(t)$ satisfies*

$$\delta(t) > e^{-e^{At+B}} \quad for \quad all \quad t \in [0, T^*).$$

Here, the constants A and B may be taken to depend only on the length of the front, the semi-uniformity constant, the initial thickness $\delta(0)$, and the norm of the initial datum $\theta_0(x)$ in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$.

Proof. From (9) we have

$$(2.8) \quad |\frac{d}{dt}A(t)| < \frac{C}{b-a} \sup_{a \le x_1 \le b} |\psi(x_1, \phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t), t) - \psi(x_1, \phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t), t)|$$

where

$$A(t) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b [\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)]dx_1,$$

and C is determined by the semi-uniformity constant c.

The estimate of the difference of the value of the stream function at two different points that are close to each other is obtained by writing the stream function as follows:

$$\psi(x, t) = -\int_\Omega \frac{\theta(x+y, t)}{|y|}dy,$$

and this is because $\psi = (-\triangle_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\theta$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(z_1, t) - \psi(z_2, t) &= \int_\Omega \theta(y)(\frac{1}{|y - z_1|} - \frac{1}{|y - z_2|})dy \\
&= \int_{|y-z_1| \le 2\tau} + \int_{2\tau < |y-z_2| \le k} + \int_{k < |y-z_1|} \\
&\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = |z_1 - z_2|$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\le \|\theta\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \int_{|y-z_1| \le 2\tau} (\frac{1}{|y - z_1|} + \frac{1}{|y - z_2|})dy \\
&\le C\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We define s to be a point in the line between z_1 and z_2; then $|y - z_1| \le 2|y - s|$ and I_2 can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\le C\tau \cdot \int_{2\tau < |y-z_1| \le k} \max_s |\nabla(\frac{1}{|y - s|})|dy \\
&\le C\tau \cdot \int_{2\tau < |y-z_1| \le k} \max_s \frac{1}{|y - s|^2}dy \\
&\le C\tau \cdot |\log \tau|.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the conservation of energy to estimate I_3 by

$$|I_3| \leq C \cdot \tau.$$

Finally, by choosing $\tau = |z_1 - z_2|$ we obtain

(2.9) $$|\psi(z_1, t) - \psi(z_2, t)| \leq M|z_1 - z_2||\log|z_1 - z_2||$$

where M is a constant that depends on the initial data θ_0. (See details in [3].)

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\frac{d}{dt}A(t)| &\leq \frac{M}{b-a} \sup_{a \leq x_1 \leq b} |\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)||\log|\phi_{\rho_2}(x_1, t) - \phi_{\rho_1}(x_1, t)|| \\
&\leq \frac{C \cdot M}{(b-a)}|A(t)||\log A(t)|
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$A(t) >> A(0)e^{-e^{\frac{C \cdot M}{(b-a)}t}}.$$

$\square$

Theorem 2.2. *For a 2D Euler solution with a semi-uniform front, the thickness $\delta(t)$ satisfies*

$$\delta(t) > e^{-[At+B]} \quad for \quad all \quad t \in [0, T^*).$$

Here, the constants A and B may be taken to depend only on the length of the front, the semi-uniformity constant, the initial thickness $\delta(0)$, and the norm of the initial vorticity in $L^1 \cap L^\infty$.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1 with the difference that instead of the estimate (2.9), we have

$$|\psi(z_1, t) - \psi(z_2, t)| \leq M|z_1 - z_2|$$

where M is a constant that depends on the initial data u_0. (See details in [3].)

A consequence of Theorem 2.2 is that it rules out an exponential growth on the derivatives of the velocity for 2D Euler equations by a semi-uniform front. Similar estimates can be obtained for the 2D ideal Magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) Equation, with the extra assumption that $\int_0^{T^*} |u|_{L^\infty}(s)ds$ is bounded up to the time of the blow-up. These estimates are a consequence of applying the mean value theorem in (2.8). Nevertheless in the case of MHD these estimates improve the results obtained in [6].

References

1. P. Constantin, A. J. Majda, and E. Tabak. *Formation of strong fronts in the 2-D quasi-geostrophic thermal active scalar.* Nonlinearity, 7:1495–1533, 1994. MR **95i:**76107
2. P. Constantin, Q. Nie, and N. Schorghofer. *Nonsingular surface-quasi-geostrophic flow.* Phys. Lett. A, 24:168-172, 1998. MR **99a:**76031
3. D. Cordoba. *Nonexistence of simple hyperbolic blow-up for the quasi-geostrophic equation.* Ann. of Math., 148 (3):1135-1152, 1998. MR **2000j:**76020
4. D. Cordoba and C. Fefferman. *Behavior of several 2D fluid equations in singular scenarios.* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 98:4311-4312, 2001. CMP 2001:10
5. D. Cordoba and C. Fefferman. *Scalars convected by a 2D incompressible flow.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 55 (2):255-260, 2001. CMP 2002:04
6. D. Cordoba and C. Marliani. *Evolution of current sheets and regularity of ideal incompressible magnetic fluids in 2D.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 53 (4):512-524, 2000. MR **2000i:**76130

7. A. Majda and E. Tabak. *A two-dimensional model for quasi-geostrophic flow: comparison with the two-dimensional Euler flow.* Physica D, 98:515-522, 1996. MR **97g:**86005
8. K. Ohkitani and M. Yamada. *Inviscid and inviscid-limit behavior of a surface quasi-geostrophic flow.* Phys. Fluids, 9:876-882, 1997. MR **97m:**76032

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
Current address: Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08540
E-mail address: `dcg@math.princeton.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540
E-mail address: `cf@math.princeton.edu`