

## ON THE SIZE OF KAKEYA SETS IN FINITE FIELDS

ZEEV DVIR

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  denote a finite field of  $q$  elements. A Kakeya set (also called a Besicovitch set) in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  is a set  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  such that  $K$  contains a line in every direction. More formally,  $K$  is a Kakeya set if for every  $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$  there exists a point  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that the line

$$L_{y,x} \triangleq \{y + a \cdot x \mid a \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

is contained in  $K$ .

The motivation for studying Kakeya sets over finite fields is to try to better understand the more complicated questions regarding Kakeya sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A Kakeya set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a compact set containing a line segment of unit length in every direction. The famous Kakeya Conjecture states that such sets must have Hausdorff (or Minkowski) dimension equal to  $n$ . The importance of this conjecture is partially due to the connections it has to many problems in harmonic analysis, number theory and PDE. This conjecture was proved for  $n = 2$  [Dav71] and is open for larger values of  $n$  (we refer the reader to the survey papers [Wol99, Bou00, Tao01] for more information).

It was first suggested by Wolff [Wol99] to study finite field Kakeya sets. It was asked in [Wol99] whether there exists a lower bound of the form  $C_n \cdot q^n$  on the size of such sets in  $\mathbb{F}^n$ . The lower bound appearing in [Wol99] was of the form  $C_n \cdot q^{(n+2)/2}$ . This bound was further improved in [Rog01, BKT04, MT04, Tao08] both for general  $n$  and for specific small values of  $n$  (e.g. for  $n = 3, 4$ ). For general  $n$ , the most current best lower bound is the one obtained in [Rog01, MT04] (based on results from [KT99]) of  $C_n \cdot q^{4n/7}$ . The main technique used to show this bound is an additive number theoretic lemma relating the sizes of different sum sets of the form  $A + r \cdot B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are fixed sets in  $\mathbb{F}^n$  and  $r$  ranges over several different values in  $\mathbb{F}$  (the idea to use additive number theory in the context of Kakeya sets is due to Bourgain [Bou99]).

The next theorem, proven in Section 2, gives a near-optimal bound on the size of Kakeya sets. Roughly speaking, the proof follows by observing that any degree  $q-2$  homogeneous polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  can be ‘reconstructed’ from its value on any Kakeya set  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$ . This implies that the size of  $K$  is at least the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree  $q-2$ , which is  $\approx q^{n-1}$  (when  $q$  is large).

---

Received by the editors March 24, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 52C17; Secondary 05B25.

*Key words and phrases*. Kakeya, finite fields, polynomial method.

Research was supported by a Binational Science Foundation (BSF) Grant.

©2008 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  be a Kakeya set. Then*

$$|K| \geq C_n \cdot q^{n-1},$$

where  $C_n$  depends only on  $n$ .

The result of Theorem 1.1 can be made into an even better bound using the simple observation that a product of Kakeya sets is also a Kakeya set.

**Corollary 1.2.** *For every integer  $n$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_{n,\epsilon}$ , depending only on  $n$  and  $\epsilon$  such that any Kakeya set  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  satisfies*

$$|K| \geq C_{n,\epsilon} \cdot q^{n-\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Observe that, for every integer  $r > 0$ , the Cartesian product  $K^r \subset \mathbb{F}^{n \cdot r}$  is also a Kakeya set. Using Theorem 1.1 on this set gives

$$|K|^r \geq C_{n \cdot r} \cdot q^{n \cdot r - 1},$$

which translates into a bound of  $C_{n,r} \cdot q^{n-1/r}$  on the size of  $K$ .  $\square$

We derive Theorem 1.1 from a stronger theorem that gives a bound on the size of sets that contain only ‘many’ points on ‘many’ lines. Before stating the theorem we formally define these sets.

**Definition 1.3** ( $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya set). a set  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  is a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya set if there exists a set  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  of size at least  $\delta \cdot q^n$  such that for every  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  there is a line in direction  $x$  that intersects  $K$  in at least  $\gamma \cdot q$  points.

The next theorem, proven in Section 2, gives a lower bound on the size of  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya sets. Theorem 1.1 will follow by setting  $\delta = \gamma = 1$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  be a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya set. Then*

$$|K| \geq \binom{d+n-1}{n-1},$$

where

$$d = \lfloor q \cdot \min\{\delta, \gamma\} \rfloor - 2.$$

Notice that, in order to get a bound of  $\approx q^{n(1-\epsilon)}$  on the size of  $K$ , Theorem 1.4 allows  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  to be as small as  $q^{-\epsilon}$ .

**1.1. Improving the bound to  $\approx q^n$ .** Following the initial publication of this work, Noga Alon and Terence Tao [AT08] independently observed that it is possible to turn the proof of Theorem 1.1 into a proof that gives a bound of  $C_n \cdot q^n$ , thus achieving an optimal bound. A proof of the following theorem appears in Section 3

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  be a Kakeya set. Then*

$$|K| \geq C_n \cdot q^n,$$

where  $C_n$  depends only on  $n$ .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We will use the following bound on the number of zeros of a degree  $d$  polynomial proven by Schwartz and Zippel [Sch80, Zip79].

**Lemma 2.1** (Schwartz-Zippel). *Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a nonzero polynomial with  $\deg(f) \leq d$ . Then*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{F}^n \mid f(x) = 0\}| \leq d \cdot q^{n-1}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* Suppose by contradiction that

$$|K| < \binom{d+n-1}{n-1}.$$

Then, the number of monomials in  $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d$  is larger than the size of  $K$ . Therefore, there exists a homogeneous degree  $d$  polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $g$  is not the zero polynomial and

$$\forall x \in K, \quad g(x) = 0$$

(this follows by solving a system of linear equations, one for each point in  $K$ , where the unknowns are the coefficients of  $g$ ). Our plan is to show that  $g$  has too many zeros and therefore must be identically zero (which is a contradiction).

Consider the set

$$K' \triangleq \{c \cdot x \mid x \in K, c \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

containing all lines that pass through zero and intersect  $K$  at some point. Since  $g$  is homogeneous we have

$$g(c \cdot x) = c^d \cdot g(x),$$

and so

$$\forall x \in K', \quad g(x) = 0.$$

Since  $K$  is a  $(\delta, \gamma)$ -Kakeya set, there exists a set  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  of size at least  $\delta \cdot q^n$  such that for every  $y \in \mathcal{L}$  there exists a line with direction  $y$  that intersects  $K$  in at least  $\gamma \cdot q$  points.

*Claim 2.2.* For every  $y \in \mathcal{L}$  we have  $g(y) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \mathcal{L}$  be some nonzero vector (if  $y = 0$ , then  $g(y) = 0$ , since  $g$  is homogeneous). Then, there exists a point  $z \in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that the line

$$L_{z,y} = \{z + a \cdot y \mid a \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

intersects  $K$  in at least  $\gamma \cdot q$  points. Therefore, since  $d + 2 \leq \gamma \cdot q$ , there exist  $d + 2$  distinct field elements  $a_1, \dots, a_{d+2} \in \mathbb{F}$  such that

$$\forall i \in [d + 2], \quad z + a_i \cdot y \in K.$$

If there exists  $i$  such that  $a_i = 0$  we can remove this element from our set of  $d + 2$  points, and so we are left with at least  $d + 1$  distinct *nonzero* field elements (w.l.o.g.  $a_1, \dots, a_{d+1}$ ) such that

$$\forall i \in [d + 1], \quad z + a_i \cdot y \in K \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \neq 0.$$

Let  $b_i = a_i^{-1}$  where  $i \in [d + 1]$ . The  $d + 1$  points

$$w_i \triangleq b_i \cdot z + y, \quad i \in [d + 1]$$

are all in the set  $K'$ , and so

$$g(w_i) = 0, \quad i \in [d + 1].$$

If  $z = 0$ , then we have  $w_i = y$  for all  $i \in [d+1]$ , and so  $g(y) = 0$ . We can thus assume that  $z \neq 0$ , which implies that  $w_1, \dots, w_{d+1}$  are  $d+1$  *distinct* points belonging to the same line (the line through  $y$  with direction  $z$ ). The restriction of  $g(x)$  to this line is a degree  $\leq d$  univariate polynomial, and so, since it has  $d+1$  zeros (at the points  $w_i$ ), it must be zero on the entire line. We therefore get that  $g(y) = 0$ , and so the claim is proven.  $\square$

We now get a contradiction since

$$d/q < \delta$$

and, using Lemma 2.1, a polynomial of degree  $d$  can be zero on at most a  $d/q$  fraction of  $\mathbb{F}^n$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Suppose, by contradiction, that  $K \subset \mathbb{F}^n$  is a Kakeya set such that

$$|K| < \binom{q+n-1}{n}.$$

Then, as is explained in the proof of Theorem 1.1, there exists a nonzero polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of degree  $d \leq q-1$  so that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in K$  (notice that  $g$  is not necessarily homogeneous). Let  $\bar{g} \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the homogeneous part of degree  $d$  of  $g$  so that  $\bar{g}$  is nonzero and homogeneous. Fix some  $y \in \mathbb{F}^n$ . Then there exists  $z \in \mathbb{F}^n$  so that the line  $\{z + t \cdot y \mid t \in \mathbb{F}\}$  is contained in  $K$ . Therefore,

$$P_{y,z}(t) \triangleq g(z + t \cdot y) = 0$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since  $P_{y,z}(t)$  is a univariate polynomial of degree  $d \leq q-1$  this means that  $P_{y,z}(t)$  is identically zero, and hence all its coefficients are zero. In particular, the coefficient of  $t^d$  is zero, but it is easy to see that this is exactly  $\bar{g}(y)$ . Since  $y$  is arbitrary it follows that the polynomial  $\bar{g}$  is identically zero – a contradiction. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to Avi Wigderson for encouraging me to work on this problem and for many helpful discussions. I thank my advisers Ran Raz and Amir Shpilka for their continuous support. I thank Noga Alon, Richard Oberlin and Terence Tao for pointing out the improvements to Theorem 1.1.

### REFERENCES

- [AT08] N. Alon and T. Tao. Private communication. 2008.
- [BKT04] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, and T. Tao. A sum-product estimate in finite fields, and applications. *GAFSA*, 14(1):27–57, 2004. MR2053599 (2005d:11028)
- [Bou99] J. Bourgain. On the dimension of Kakeya sets and related maximal inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, (9):256–282, 1999. MR1692486 (2000b:42013)
- [Bou00] J. Bourgain. Harmonic analysis and combinatorics: How much may they contribute to each other? *IMU/Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 13–32, 2000. MR1754764 (2001c:42009)
- [Dav71] R. Davies. Some remarks on the Kakeya problem. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, (69):417–421, 1971. MR0272988 (42:7869)
- [KT99] N. Katz and T. Tao. Bounds on arithmetic projections, and applications to the Kakeya conjecture. *Math. Res. Letters*, 6:625–630, 1999. MR1739220 (2000m:28006)
- [MT04] G. Mockenhaupt and T. Tao. Restriction and Kakeya phenomena for finite fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 121:35–74, 2004. MR2031165 (2004m:11200)

- [Rog01] K.M Rogers. The finite field Kakeya problem. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 108(8):756–759, 2001. MR1865664 (2002g:11175)
- [Sch80] J. T. Schwartz. Fast probabilistic algorithms for verification of polynomial identities. *J. ACM*, 27(4):701–717, 1980. MR594695 (82m:68078)
- [Tao01] T. Tao. From rotating needles to stability of waves: emerging connections between combinatorics, analysis, and PDE. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48(3):294–303, 2001. MR1820041 (2002b:42021)
- [Tao08] T. Tao. A new bound for finite field Besicovitch sets in four dimensions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 222(2):337–363, 2005. MR2225076 (2007c:11027)
- [Wol99] T. Wolff. Recent work connected with the Kakeya problem. *Prospects in mathematics (Princeton, NJ, 1996)*, pages 129–162, 1999. MR1660476 (2000d:42010)
- [Zip79] R. Zippel. Probabilistic algorithms for sparse polynomials. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 216–226, Springer-Verlag, 1979. MR575692 (81g:68061)

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL  
E-mail address: zeev.dvir@weizmann.ac.il