

THE GROUP OF HOLOEDRIC TRANSFORMATION
INTO ITSELF OF A GIVEN GROUP.

*Presented to the American Mathematical Society at the Meeting of
November 24, 1894.*

BY PROFESSOR E. HASTINGS MOORE.

§ 1.

*Every group G_n of order n determines a substitution-group
 Γ^{n-1} of degree $n - 1$.*

Given an (abstract) group G_n of order n , with the elements $s_1 = \text{identity}, s_2, \dots, s_n$. The group G_n is holoedrically isomorphic with itself if a 1-1 correspondence*

$$(1) \quad (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \sim (s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_n})$$

can be set up amongst its elements of such a sort that whenever in G_n we have $s_f s_g = s_h$ we have also $s_{i_f} s_{i_g} = s_{i_h}$. Obviously corresponding elements must have the same period.

Attributing an *order* to the correspondence (1) we have the substitution

$$(2) \quad \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} s_1, & s_2, & \dots, & s_n \\ s_{i_1}, & s_{i_2}, & \dots, & s_{i_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

which holoedrically transforms the group G_n into itself, that is, it transforms the system of product relations

$$(3) \quad s_f s_g = s_h$$

into itself. *The totality of such substitutions σ constitutes a substitution-group Γ^n of degree n , which I call the group of holoedric transformation into itself of the original abstract group G_n of order n .*

If we transform the elements of the group G_n through any particular element of G_n we obtain such an holoedric transformation of G_n into itself. The totality of such transformations constitutes a sub-group of Γ^n .

The group Γ^n on the n elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n is certainly intransitive, since elements of the same period in G_n are permuted

* This correspondence may always be established by setting properly chosen *generators* in 1-1 correspondence.

amongst themselves by the substitutions σ of Γ^n . Γ^n is isomorphic with various substitution-groups $\Gamma_{(p_i)}^{n_i}$, where a particular $\Gamma_{(p_i)}^{n_i}$ is a substitution-group on the, say, n_i elements of period p_i . It will on occasion happen that Γ^n , $\Gamma_{(p_i)}^{n_i}$ are *holoedrally* isomorphic, in which case the abstract-group-properties of the Γ^n may perhaps be more conveniently studied as they appear in the $\Gamma_{(p_i)}^{n_i}$. It will always be desirable to replace the Γ^n by the Γ^{n-1} on the $n - 1$ elements s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n , since the identity-element s , is invariant.

Intending to discuss the general question somewhat further in a subsequent note, I proceed now to consider an instructive example.

§ 2.

The group Γ_{108}^7 of holoedric transformation into itself of the Abelian group G_8 whose elements are the identity and seven commutative elements of period two.

The Abelian or commutative group G_8 has the utmost regularity of internal structure. We define it by the generating elements a, b, c , with the generating relations

$$(4) \quad a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = 1, \quad ab = ba, \quad ac = ca, \quad bc = cb,$$

where 1 is the identity. The elements may have the following notation:

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{l} a \quad bc = d \\ 1 \quad b \quad ca = e \quad abc = g \\ c \quad ab = f \end{array}$$

Clearly, if $k \neq l$ and $kl = m$, the four elements, 1, k, l, m form a four-group. Three such elements k, l, m (whose order is immaterial) form, say, a *triple*. The seven elements $a \dots g$ arrange themselves into seven triples $A \dots G$, viz.,

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{l} A = bcd \quad D = adg \\ B = cae \quad E = beg \quad G = def \\ C = abf \quad F = cfg \end{array}$$

This triple system \mathcal{A}_7 so set up on the elements $a \dots g$ is invariant under a certain substitution-group $\Gamma_{(\Delta_7)}^7$. This $\Gamma_{(\Delta_7)}^7$ is exactly the Γ^n of holoedric transformation into itself of the G_8 , since the \mathcal{A}_7 fully defines the product relations of the G_8 ,

at least when we adjoin the system of equations, $a^2=1, \dots g^2=1$, which is invariant under every substitution on the $a \dots g$.

The G_7 and the Δ_7 should be used together in studying the Γ^7 . The extreme simplicity of the internal structure of the G_7 makes the properties of the Γ^7 almost immediately evident. A substitution σ of Γ^7 is determined by the elements a', b', c' which it makes correspond to the *generators* a, b, c . Clearly any one of the seven elements may be chosen for a' , any other one for b' , and any one except the product $a'b'$ (i.e., except the third element of the triple containing a', b') for c' . The Γ^7 has then the order $7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 = 168$. The Γ_{168}^7 is doubly transitive on the seven elements. I shall prove it simple and thereby identify it abstractly with *the only existent* simple* group of order 168.

An enumeration of the substitutions σ of the Γ_{168}^7 by period and by type † shows the following distribution :

Period	1	2	3	4	7
(7) Type	1^7	$1^4 \cdot 2^2$	$1 \cdot 3^2$	$1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4$	7
Number	1	+ 21	+ 56	+ 42	+ 48 = 168.

The 21 σ of period 2 are conjugate under the Γ_{168}^7 ; likewise the 56 of period 3, and the 42 of period 4. As to those of period 7 consider, say,

$$(8) \quad \tau = (abefdge);$$

this τ does belong to Γ_{168}^7 for the triples

$$(9) \quad abf, bcd, cfg, fde, dga, geb, eac$$

lie on its face cyclically and hence the triple system Δ_7 is invariant under τ . Obviously the triples must lie cyclically on the face of every substitution σ of period 7 of the Γ_{168}^7 , not necessarily, however, exactly as in τ ; there are two and only two possibilities, both of which occur in the list of powers of τ . In τ^1, τ^2, τ^4 the triples lie as in τ , in, say, the *forward* way; in τ^3, τ^5, τ^6 the triples lie as in

$$(10) \quad \tau^{-1} = \tau^6 = (aegdfeb),$$

in, say, the *backward* ‡ way. Thus the 48 σ of period 7 are half

* HOLDER: Die einfachen Gruppen im ersten und zweiten Hundert der Ordnungszahlen. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 40, pp. 55-88, 1892.

† The type of a substitution is the partition-symbol of its degree, arising from its complete expression in the cyclic notation. For instance, in the Γ_{168}^7 $\sigma = (abeg)(df)$ has the type $1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4$.

‡ It will be noticed that the separation of the powers is according to the character of the exponent as a quadratic residue or non-residue of 7.

of the forward and half of the backward style. All those of the same style are conjugate under the Γ_{168}^7 . For let

$$\tau_1 = (t_{11}t_{12} \dots t_{17}) \text{ and } \tau_2 = (\tau_{21}\tau_{22} \dots \tau_{27})$$

be two of the same style; the triples lie on the faces of τ_1, τ_2 in the same relative positions; the substitution

$$(11) \quad \lambda = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11}t_{12} \dots t_{17} \\ t_{21}t_{22} \dots t_{27} \end{pmatrix}$$

transforms the triple system \mathcal{A}_7 into itself and hence belongs to Γ_{168}^7 , and it obviously transforms τ_1 into τ_2 . Equally clearly those of different style are *not* conjugate under the Γ_{168}^7 . Nevertheless the eight cyclic groups of order 7 are conjugate under the Γ_{168}^7 .

Any self-conjugate sub-group Γ^7 of the Γ_{168}^7 must then contain besides the identity all or none of the substitutions σ of each type of the list (7). The fact that the diophantine equation

$$(12) \quad 1 + 21\alpha + 56\beta + 42\gamma + 48\delta = d,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are respectively 0 or 1 and where d is a divisor of 168, has only the two solutions

$$(13) \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta; d) = (1, 0, 0, 0; 1), (1, 1, 1, 1; 168)$$

shows that the Γ_{168}^7 is simple.* This identifies the group Γ_{168}^7 ; of which later.

The triple system \mathcal{A}_7 is given in (5) (6) from the standpoint of the seven elements $a \dots g$. I give it again from the standpoint of the seven triples $A \dots G$:

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & D & \\ B & E & G \\ C & F & \end{array}$$

$$(15) \quad \begin{array}{lll} a = BCD & d = ADG & \\ b = CAE & e = BEG & g = DEF \\ c = ABF & f = CFG & \end{array}$$

* This method of proving the simplicity of a simple group when its elements and cyclic sub-groups have been classified as to conjugacy under the group was used by KLEIN for the icosahedron G_{60} (Vorlesungen über das Ikosaeder, p. 18, 1884) and has, as is well known, wide application. Is an instance known in which it does not apply?

Obviously (14) (15) exhibit in the $A \dots G$ a triple system $\Delta_7(A \dots G)$ exactly* like the triple system $\Delta_7(a \dots g)$ exhibited by (5) (6).

Now a substitution σ of Γ_{168}^7 permutes the $a \dots g$, leaving $\Delta_7(a \dots g)$ invariant; through the $a \dots g$ it permutes the $A \dots G$, but nevertheless leaves the $\Delta_7(A \dots G)$ invariant. Call $\bar{\sigma}$ the substitution on the $A \dots G$ which permutes the $A \dots G$ directly, just as σ does indirectly. The totality of these substitutions $\bar{\sigma}$ constitutes a group, the group $\bar{\Gamma}_{168}^7$ of the $\Delta_7(A \dots G)$, which is clearly on the one hand abstractly identical with the Γ_{168}^7 of the $\Delta_7(a \dots g)$ and on the other hand holoedrally isomorphic with the Γ_{168}^7 by the correspondence

$$(16) \quad \sigma \sim \bar{\sigma}.$$

This holoedric isomorphism of the abstract Γ_{168}^7 with itself is not that arising from a transformation of the Γ_{168}^7 through one of its own elements. For such a transformation would change the substitution τ (8) of period 7 into another one of the forward style, while (16) gives the correspondence

$$(17) \quad \tau = (abcfdge) \sim \bar{\tau} = (AFGDEBC),$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ is of the backward style.

The simple group Γ_{168} (abstractly, there is but one) first appeared as the substitution-group Γ_{168}^8 connected with the modular equation for the transformation of elliptic functions of order 7. Galois discovered the existence of a resolvent of order 7. As to the development of the theory one may consult the introduction to a memoir of Mr. Gordan.†

* A triple system Δ_n in n elements can exist only if n has the form $6m + 1$ or $6m + 3$, say the form t . All triple systems Δ_t are of the same class; likewise for the Δ_7, Δ_9 . Triple systems Δ_t for every t do exist, and indeed for every $t \geq 13$ they fall into at least two distinct classes. As to these theorems these references:

NETTO: Zur Theorie der Tripelsysteme. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 42, pp. 143-152, 1893.

MOORE: Concerning Triple Systems. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 43, pp. 271-285, 1893.

JAN DE VRIES: Zur Theorie der Tripelsysteme. *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, vol. 8, pp. 222-226, 1894.

† GORDAN: Ueber Gleichungen siebenten Grades mit einer Gruppe von 168 Substitutionen. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 20, pp. 515-530, 1882.

The triple system as determining invariant of the group Γ_{168}^7 was introduced by Mr. Noether.*

The sub-groups of the group $\dagger \Gamma_{\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}}^{q+1}$ connected \ddagger with the modular equation for the transformation of prime order q have been completely enumerated by Mr. Gierster, \S thus illuminating Galois' theorem that the modular equation has resolvents of degree q for $q = 5, 7, 11$, but for no $q > 11$,

and Kronecker's theorem \parallel that the group $\Gamma_{\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}}^{q+1}$ is holoedrically isomorphic with itself in two distinct ways, and only \P in those ways.

The idea $**$ of the group Γ^{n-1} of holoedric transformation into itself of a given group G_n is, so far as I know, new. In the preceding sketch of the Γ_{168}^7 the perspicuous determination from the Abelian G_8 of the triple system Δ_7 and of the Γ_{168}^7 is the only element of novelty.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, November 5, 1894.

* NOETHER: *Über die Gleichungen achten Grades und ihr Auftreten in der Theorie der Curven vierter Ordnung. Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 15, pp. 89-110, 1879).

\dagger The $\Gamma_{\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}}^{q+1}$ for $q > 3$ is simple.

\ddagger *Connected with is of*, if the numerical irrationality $\sqrt{(-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}} \cdot q}$ is adjoined

\S GIERSTER: *Die Untergruppen der Galois'schen Gruppe der Modulargleichungen für den Fall eines primzahligen Transformationsgrades. Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 18, pp. 319-365, 1881.

\parallel KRONECKER: *Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie*, 1861.

\P As GIERSTER adds, *loc. cit.* p. 356.

$**$ This concept and an application of it to the Γ_{168}^7 were communicated to the Mathematical Club of the University of Chicago in a paper presented January 19, 1893, entitled "An existence-proof of the simple group of order 168 as a group of substitutions on 7 letters."