

A GENERALIZATION OF THE SYLLOGISM*

BY B. A. BERNSTEIN

The syllogism is the proposition:

If x is y and y is z , then x is z .

In the language of boolean algebra this proposition is:

If $xy' = 0$ and $yz' = 0$, then $xz' = 0$,

where the usual notations are used, with the prime indicating negation. There thus exists in boolean algebras a universal relation† R such that

(1) *If xRy and yRz , then xRz .*

I propose to find the most general boolean relation R which satisfies (1), and to show the connection between this relation and the syllogism relation $xy' = 0$.

I start with the fact that any universal relation between two boolean elements x , y is given by an equation of the form

(2) $Axy + Bxy' + Cx'y + Dx'y' = 0.$

Let (2) be a relation R satisfying (1). Then the *discriminants* A , B , C , D must be such that from

(i) $Axy + Bxy' + Cx'y + Dx'y' = 0,$

(ii) $Ayz + Byz' + Cy'z + Dy'z' = 0,$

we may conclude

(iii) $Axz + Bxz' + Cx'z + Dx'z' = 0.$

That is, the discriminants A , B , C , D must be such that equation (iii) is the result of eliminating y from equations (i) and (ii). Now (i) and (ii) together are equivalent to the single equation

(iv) $(Ax + Cx' + Az + Bz')y + (Bx + Dx' + Cz + Dz')y' = 0.$

The result of eliminating y from (i) and (ii) is, then, the result

* Presented to the Society, September 7, 1923.

† That is, a relation given by a universal proposition.

of eliminating y from (iv). This result is

$$(Ax + Cx' + Az + Bz') (Bx + Dx' + Cz + Dz') = 0,$$

or

$$A(B + C)xz + (B + A)(B + D)xz' + (C + A)(C + D)x'z + (C + B)Dx'z' = 0,$$

or

$$(v) \quad A(B + C)xz + (B + AD)xz' + (C + AD)x'z + D(B + C)x'z' = 0.$$

Equating corresponding discriminants of (iii) and (v), we get, as necessary and sufficient conditions that (2) be a relation R satisfying (1),

$$A = A(B + C), \quad B = B + AD, \quad C = C + AD, \quad D = D(B + C),$$

or

$$AB'C' = 0, \quad AB'D = 0, \quad AC'D = 0, \quad B'C'D = 0,$$

or

$$(3a) \quad A + D < B + C, \quad \text{and} \quad (3b) \quad AD < BC.$$

We therefore find that the *most general boolean relation R which satisfies the proposition (1) is given by the relation*

$$(4) \quad Axy + Bxy' + Cx'y + Dx'y' = 0, \\ A + D < B + C, \quad AD < BC.$$

We find also that *the syllogism relation $xy' = 0$ is the relation (4) in which $A = C = D = 0, B = 1$.*

We note that the relation of equivalence, which is $xy' + x'y = 0$, is the relation (4), with $A = D = 0, B = C = 1$.

The statement that the relation (4) satisfies (1) is true even if the relation (4) be non-existent. Thus, the relation $xy + xy' + x'y + x'y' = 0$, i. e., the relation $1 = 0$, is non-existent. But it satisfies (4) and it is true that *If $1 = 0$ and $1 = 0$, then $1 = 0$* . If we impose on (2) the condition that it be an *existent* relation, we must have

$$(5) \quad ABCD = 0.$$

Since condition (3b) can be written in the form

$$AD = AD \cdot BC,$$

conditions (3b) and (5) together are equivalent to

$$(6) \quad AD = 0,$$

and conditions (3a), (3b) become

$$(7) \quad A + D < B + C, \quad AD = 0.$$

Hence we find that *the most general existent boolean relation R which satisfies (1) is given by (2) and (7).*

Our main results may also be stated in the following form: *The totality of transitive universal relations in a boolean algebra is given by (4). The totality of existent transitive universal relations is given by*

$$Axy + Bxy' + Cx'y + Dx'y' = 0, \quad A + D < B + C, \quad AD = 0.$$

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ON CERTAIN QUINARY QUADRATIC FORMS*

BY E. T. BELL

1. *Introduction.* Except the classical theorems on the total number $N_5(n)$ of representations of the integer n as a sum of five integer squares, no explicit results on numbers of representations in quinary quadratic forms seem to have been obtained.† In general $N_5(n)$ is not expressible as a function of the real divisors alone of a single integer, but when n is a square, $N_5(n)$ is so expressible. This remarkable fact was found inductively by Stieltjes for $N_5(p^2)$, p prime, and proved for $N_5(n^2)$ by Hurwitz,‡ who showed that if $n = 2^\alpha m$, m odd, $N_5(n^2) = 10\zeta_8(2^\alpha)H(m)$, where

$$H(m) = [\zeta_8(p^\alpha) - p\zeta_8(p^{\alpha-1})] [\zeta_8(q^b) - q\zeta_8(q^{b-1})] \dots,$$

$\zeta_r(n)$ being the sum of the r th powers of all the divisors of n , and $m = p^\alpha q^b \dots$ the prime factor resolution of m ; by convention $H(1) = 1$. In the course of his proof he showed that

$$\zeta_1(m^2) + 2\zeta_1(m^2 - 2^2) + 2\zeta_1(m^2 - 4^2) + \dots = H(m).$$

* Presented to the Society, December 27, 1923.

† Cf. Bachmann, *Zahlentheorie*, vol. 4, pp. 565-594.

‡ *COMPTES RENDUS*, vol. 98 (1884), pp. 504-7; cf. Dickson's *History of the Theory of Numbers*, vol. 2, p. 311. For quadratic forms in $n > 4$ variables, cf. *ibid.*, vol. 3, chap. XI.