

ON SETS OF THREE CONSECUTIVE
INTEGERS WHICH ARE QUADRATIC RESIDUES
OF PRIMES*

BY A. A. BENNETT

In this paper we shall prove the following theorems.

THEOREM I. *For each prime, p , for which there are as many as three incongruent squares, there is a set of three consecutive residues (admitting zero and negative numbers as residues) which are squares, modulo p .*

THEOREM II. *For $p = 11$, and for each prime p greater than 17, (and for no other primes), there is a set of three consecutive least positive (non-zero) residues which are squares, modulo p .*

The problem[†] of finding three consecutive integers which are quadratic residues of a prime, p , is equivalent to the formally more general problem of finding two quantities, x, y , ($y \not\equiv 0$), such that $x, y, x + y, x - y$, are proportional to squares in the domain,[‡] since we then have $(x/y) - 1, x/y, (x/y) + 1$ as consecutive squares in the domain. We may show that for residues with respect to a modulus the condition is equivalent to the existence of a square of the form[§] $uv(u+v)(u-v)$. By taking $u = x, v = y$, we see that the condition is necessary.

* Presented to the Society, April 10, 1925.

† For references, compare article of similar title by H. S. Vandiver, this BULLETIN, vol. 31 (1925), p. 33.

‡ That, in the system of natural numbers, it is impossible to have distinct quantities, x, y , such that $x, y, x + y, x - y$ are all proportional to squares was proved by Fermat by his celebrated method of "infinite descent". See Carmichael, *Theory of Numbers*, p. 86.

§ It is of interest to note that in the case of natural numbers we may take $u = x$ and $v = y$ for this relation. Indeed, if $x, y, x + y, x - y$ were proportional to squares, certainly their product would be a square. Conversely, suppose that their product were a square. Then either $x, y, x + y, x - y$ would all be relatively prime, or if

That it is also sufficient may be shown as follows. Take $x = (u^2 + v^2)^2$ and $y = 4uv(u^2 - v^2)$. Now x may also be written in the form $4u^2v^2 + (u^2 - v^2)^2$. Hence $x + y = [2uv + (u^2 - v^2)]^2$, and $x - y = [2uv - (u^2 - v^2)]^2$. Thus if $uv(u^2 - v^2)$ is a perfect square, so also are x , y , $x + y$, and $x - y$, when these are related in this manner.

The expression $uv(u + v)(u - v)$ takes on the values $6^2 \cdot 5$, $2^2 \cdot 6$, $2^2 \cdot 30$, for the choices of (u, v) as $(5, 4)$, $(3, 1)$, $(5, 1)$, respectively. But at least one of the three numbers 5, 6, 30 is a quadratic residue of the prime p no matter how p is chosen. Hence there is always a choice of $uv(u + v)(u - v)$ which is a non-zero quadratic residue for each prime p greater than 5. The corresponding solutions of the original problem are $(1/4, 5/4, 9/4)$, $(1/24, 25/24, 49/24)$, $(49/120, 169/120, 289/120)$. These numbers in turn are all different from zero for $p = 11$, or for $p > 17$, but not otherwise. Now every three consecutive residues no one of which is congruent to zero are congruent to a set of three consecutive least positive (non-zero) residues. Thus we establish the theorems announced.

There is no difficulty in obtaining linear forms, the primes within which are such that for each of these choices of p , a preassigned number of consecutive residues shall be squares. Indeed, we have merely to select assigned numbers to be quadratic residues. Thus for p of the form $24k + 1$ or $24k + 23$, each of the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 is a square. By choosing a form for which 2, 3, 5, 7, -1 are all quadratic residues, and dropping the condition of positiveness, we have always the following twenty-one consecutive residues as squares, -10 , -9 , -8 , \dots , 9, 10.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

two had a common factor, d , this would be a factor throughout. Write $x = dx'$, $y = dy'$; then $x'y'(x' + y')(x' - y')$ also would be a square. Its four relatively prime factors would then be individually squares and x , y , $x + y$, $x - y$ would therefore be proportional to squares. This method of argument is inapplicable to the case of residues.