NOTE ON MERSENNE NUMBERS*

BY D. H. LEHMER†

The purpose of this note is to report the results of two investigations which show that $2^{149}-1$ and $2^{257}-1$ are composite numbers. The former result agrees with the statement of Mersenne‡ in 1644, while the latter contradicts it. The method is based on the following test§ for primality.

**Theorem.** The number $2^n-1$ is prime or composite according as it does or does not divide the $(n-1)$st term of the sequence

\[ s_1 = 4, \quad s_2 = 14, \quad s_3 = 194, \quad s_4 = 37634, \quad s_5 = 1416317954, \ldots, \]

in which $s_{k+1} = s_k^2 - 2$.

Inasmuch as the series (1) increases very rapidly, it is necessary to suppress multiples of $2^n-1$ as often as they arise. That is, the series (1) is taken with respect to the modulus $2^n-1$.

The test for $2^{149}-1$ required about 70 hours. It was found that $s_{148}$ when divided by $2^{149}-1$ leaves the remainder

$$267073170 \ 876646890 \ 164052223 \ 831706652 \ 997781887.$$  

The whole work was recomputed with respect to the moduli $10^9+1$ and $10^8+1$ in order to check its accuracy. A copy of the calculation has been deposited in the library of the American Mathematical Society, and is available to anyone wishing to verify the work.

The number $2^{257}-1$ was tested by M. Kraítchik|| in 1922. It was found that $s_{257}$ was not divisible by $2^{257}-1$. He was unwilling to guarantee the accuracy of his result however. In order to settle the question about the character of this largest Mersenne number, the writer made the same calculation and found that $s_{256}$ when divided by $2^{257}-1$ leaves the remainder

$$267073170 \ 876646890 \ 164052223 \ 831706652 \ 997781887.$$  

---

* Presented to the Society, April 2, 1927.
† National Research Fellow.
‡ See for example Dickson’s *History of the Theory of Numbers*, vol. 1, Chap. 1.
§ The proof of the sufficiency of this test for the case in which $n=4k+1$ was given by Lucas, American Journal of Mathematics vol. 1 (1877), p. 316.
For the proof of the whole theorem see Annals of Mathematics, vol. 31, pp. 419–448.
In order to verify the accuracy of this result the calculations were performed twice more with respect to the moduli \(10^9 + 1\) and \(10^8 + 1\).

Unfortunately Kraitchik submitted his results (without keeping a copy) to A. Gerardin, the editor of Sphinx-Œdipe. For more than five years Kraitchik has tried to recover his calculations without success. It is to be hoped that some day it will be possible to compare the two calculations which, if they agree, will together furnish a positive proof of the composite nature of the number \(2^{257} - 1\).

The actual state of our knowledge of the characters of Mersenne numbers is summed up in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>Character of (2^n - 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113, 151, 179, 239, 251.</td>
<td>Two or more prime factors known.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101, 103, 109, 137, 139, 149, 257</td>
<td>Composite, but no factors known.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157, 167, 193, 199, 227, 229, 241</td>
<td>Character unknown.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>