

A NOTE ON THE DICKSON THEOREM ON  
UNIVERSAL TERNARIES\*

BY A. A. ALBERT

1. *Introduction.* A form  $f$  with integer coefficients in integer variables is called *universal* if it represents *all* positive and negative integers. Evidently, since  $f$  is homogeneous, it represents zero for the variables all zero. In case  $f=0$  for integral values of the variables not all zero  $f$  is called a zero form.

L. E. Dickson† has given a number-theoretic proof of his theorem that *every universal ternary quadratic form is a zero form*. But his proof is highly technical and consequently quite long and complicated. In the present note I shall give an almost trivial rational proof of Dickson's result. I shall also prove a generalization of his theorem for ternaries over any non-modular field  $F$ .

2. *Quadratic Forms over  $F$ .* Let  $F$  be any non-modular field and let  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be an  $n$ -ary quadratic form over  $F$ . Then we shall call  $f$  a *zero form* if  $f=0$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $F$  and not all zero. We shall also say that, if every  $\rho$  in  $F$  is represented by  $f$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $F$ , the form  $f$  is universal over  $F$ .

It is well known‡ that there exists a non-singular linear transformation  $x_i = \sum a_{ij} X_j$  with  $a_{ij}$  in  $F$  such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \phi(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r g_i X_i^2 + 0 \cdot \sum_{i=r+1}^n X_i^2,$$

with  $g_i \neq 0$  in  $F$ . The integer  $r$  is the rank of  $f$ . Evidently  $f$  is a zero form if and only if  $\phi$  is a zero form. But if  $r < n$ , the form  $\phi$  vanishes for any  $X_n$  in  $F$ , if  $X_1 = \dots = X_r = 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Every  $n$ -ary of rank  $r < n$  is a zero form. Every  $n$ -ary of rank  $n$  is equivalent to*

$$g_1 X_1^2 + g_2 X_2^2 + \dots + g_n X_n^2, \quad (g_i \text{ in } F),$$

*with  $g_i$  all not zero.*

---

\* Presented to the Society, April 15, 1933.

† See his *Studies in the Theory of Numbers*, pp. 17–21.

‡ See Dickson, *Modern Algebraic Theories*, p. 69

3. *Proof of the Dickson Theorem.* Let  $f(x, y, z)$  be a universal ternary. By Theorem 1 either  $f$  is a zero form of rank less than three or

$$(1) \quad f(x, y, z) \equiv \phi(X, Y, Z) \equiv \alpha X^2 + \beta Y^2 - \gamma Z^2,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are rational,  $\alpha\beta\gamma \neq 0$ , and  $X, Y, Z$  are linearly independent rational linear functions of  $x, y, z$ . Define

$$(2) \quad \delta \equiv \gamma(\alpha\beta)^{-1}, \quad a \equiv \alpha\delta, \quad b \equiv \beta\delta, \quad -ab = -(\alpha\beta\delta)\delta = -\gamma\delta,$$

so that, for a rational number  $\delta \neq 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad \delta f \equiv \delta\phi \equiv \psi(X, Y, Z) \equiv aX^2 + bY^2 - abZ^2.$$

Write  $\delta = \delta_1\delta_2^{-1}$ , where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are integers. Since  $f$  is universal,  $f(x, y, z) = \delta_1\delta_2$  for integer  $x, y, z$ . Then if  $x_0 = x\delta_1^{-1}$ ,  $y_0 = y\delta_1^{-1}$ ,  $z_0 = z\delta_1^{-1}$ , we have  $f(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \delta_1^{-2}\delta_1\delta_2 = \delta_2\delta_1^{-1} = \delta^{-1}$ , for rational  $x_0, y_0, z_0$ . Hence we have proved the following fact.

LEMMA 1. *If  $f$  is universal,  $\phi = \delta^{-1}$  for rational  $X, Y, Z$ .*

Let then  $\delta^{-1} = \phi$ ,  $\psi = \delta\phi = \delta\delta^{-1} = 1 = aX^2 + bY^2 - abZ^2$ , and write as a consequence

$$(4) \quad \xi \equiv 1 - aX^2 = bY^2 - abZ^2.$$

If  $\xi = 0$ , put  $\eta = 1$ ,  $\zeta = X$ , so that

$$\psi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = b \cdot 1^2 - ab \cdot X^2 = b(1 - aX^2) = b\xi = 0$$

for  $\eta \neq 0$ , and  $\phi = \delta^{-1}\psi$  is a zero form. Hence  $f$  is a zero form, since  $f = 0$  for rational  $x, y, z$  not all zero if and only if  $f = 0$  for integers  $x, y, z$ , not all zero, since  $f$  is homogeneous.

Let then  $\xi \neq 0$ , and put  $\eta = a(Z - XY)$ ,  $\zeta = Y - aXZ$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} b\eta^2 - ab\zeta^2 &= b[a^2(Z^2 - 2XYZ + X^2Y^2) \\ &\quad - a(Y^2 - 2aXYZ + a^2X^2Z^2)] \\ &= -ab[Y^2(1 - aX^2) - aZ^2(1 - aX^2)] \\ &= -a(1 - aX^2)(bY^2 - abZ^2) = -a\xi^2, \\ \delta b(\xi, \eta, \zeta) &\equiv a\xi^2 + b\eta^2 - ab\zeta^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\phi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = 0$  for  $\xi \neq 0$ . Hence again  $\phi$ , and therefore also  $f$ , are zero forms, and we have proved the Dickson Theorem. The above proof is a rational proof holding for any field  $F$  so we have immediately the following result.

LEMMA 2. If a ternary  $f(x, y, z)$  with coefficients in  $F$  represents the associated quantity  $\delta^{-1}$ , then  $f$  is a zero form.

4. *Universal Ternaries over  $F$ .* We shall now prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. A non-singular ternary quadratic form over  $F$  is universal over  $F$  if and only if it is a zero form.

For let  $f$  be a zero form, so that  $f(x, y, z) = 0$  for  $x, y, z$  not all zero and in  $F$ . Then

$$\delta\phi \equiv \psi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) \equiv a\xi^2 + b\eta^2 - ab\zeta^2 = 0$$

for  $\xi, \eta, \zeta$  not all zero and in  $F$ . Let  $\rho$  be any quantity of  $F$ ,  $\sigma = \rho\delta$ . If  $\xi = 0$ , then  $b(\eta^2 - a\zeta^2) = 0$ , whence  $\eta^2 = a\zeta^2$ , so that  $\zeta\eta \neq 0$ . Thus write  $\xi_0 = \zeta\eta^{-1}$ , from which  $a\xi_0^2 = 1$ . Put

$$X = 0, \quad Y = \frac{\sigma + b^{-1}}{2}, \quad Z = \frac{\sigma - b^{-1}}{2} \xi_0,$$

so that, since  $1 = a\xi_0^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\psi(X, Y, Z) &= b[(\sigma + b^{-1})^2 - (\sigma - b^{-1})^2 a\xi_0^2] \\ &= b[(\sigma + b^{-1})^2 - (\sigma - b^{-1})^2] \\ &= 4bb^{-1}\sigma = 4\sigma, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi = \delta^{-1}\sigma = \rho$  and hence  $f = \rho$  for corresponding  $x, y, z$  in  $F$ .

Next let  $\xi \neq 0$ . Then  $a + b(\eta\xi^{-1})^2 - ab(\zeta\xi^{-1})^2 = 0$ , and if we write  $\eta\xi^{-1} = a\zeta_0$ ,  $\zeta\xi^{-1} = \eta_0$ , we have  $a + a^2b\zeta_0^2 - ab\eta_0^2 = 0$ ,  $1 = b\eta_0^2 - ab\zeta_0^2$ . Then put

$$X = \frac{\sigma + a^{-1}}{2}, \quad Y = \frac{\sigma - a^{-1}}{2} a\zeta_0, \quad Z = \frac{\sigma - a^{-1}}{2} \eta_0,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} 4\psi(X, Y, Z) &= a(\sigma + a^{-1})^2 + (ba^2\zeta_0^2 - ab\eta_0^2)(\sigma - a^{-1})^2 \\ &= a[(\sigma + a^{-1})^2 - (\sigma - a^{-1})^2] = 4aa^{-1}\sigma = 4\sigma, \\ \psi &= \sigma, \quad \phi = \delta^{-1}\sigma = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in this case also  $f = \rho$  as desired, so that  $f$  is universal.

Conversely let  $f$  be universal. Then  $f$  represents  $\delta^{-1}$  and, by Lemma 2, is a zero form. This proves\* Theorem 2.

It is well known† that the determinant of the form  $\phi(X, Y, Z)$  equivalent to  $f$  is  $h^2d$ , where  $h$  is the determinant of the transformation. Hence  $-\alpha\beta\gamma = h^2d$ , so that

$$\delta = \gamma(\alpha\beta)^{-1} = (\alpha\beta\gamma)(\alpha\beta)^{-2} = -dh^2(\alpha\beta)^{-2} = -dk^2,$$

where  $k$  is in  $F$ . Then

$$-df = \delta k^{-2}\phi = k^{-2}\psi(X, Y, Z) = \psi(\xi, \eta, \zeta),$$

for  $X = k\xi$ ,  $Y = k\eta$ ,  $Z = k\zeta$ . Hence if  $f$  represents the negative of its determinant, the form  $\psi = -df = (-d)^2$  represents  $d^2$ , and hence unity, and hence  $f$  is a zero form by Lemma 2. We may therefore replace Lemma 2 by the following statement.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $f$  is a ternary with non-zero determinant  $d$ , then  $-df(x, y, z) \equiv \psi(X, Y, Z) \equiv aX^2 + bY^2 - abZ^2$  for a suitable transformation. Also  $f$  is a universal zero form if and only if  $f$  represents  $-d$ .*

In particular the above Theorem 2 holds for the case where  $F = R$ , the field of all rational numbers. If, however,  $a$  is any rational number, then  $a = b^{-2}c$ , where  $b$  and  $c$  are integers. Obviously, if  $f = a$  for rational  $x, y, z$ , then  $f = c$  for rational  $x, y, z$ . Hence we have proved a partial converse to Dickson's theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** *A non-singular ternary quadratic form with integer coefficients is a zero form if and only if it represents all integers for rational values of its variables.*

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

---

\* It is evident that Theorem 2 is true if it can be proved for forms of type of  $\psi(X, Y, Z) \equiv aX^2 + bY^2 - abZ^2$ . If  $(1, i, j, ij)$ ,  $i^2 = a$ ,  $j = b$ ,  $ji = -ij$ , is a generalized quaternion algebra over  $F$ , then for  $ab \neq 0$ , this algebra is either a division algebra or a total matrix algebra. If  $q = Xi + Yj + Zij$ , then  $q^2 = \psi(X, Y, Z)$ . Hence, if  $\psi$  is a zero form, the algebra  $Q$  is not a division algebra and there exists a two-rowed matrix whose square is  $\sigma$  so that  $\psi$  represents  $\sigma$ . The converse of Theorem 2 is similarly proved. It is in fact this linear algebra theorem (which has long been known to me) which gave me an immediate proof of Theorem 2 as soon as I discovered the reduction given by (1)-(3).

† See Dickson, *Modern Algebraic Theories*, pp. 64-70.