

NOTE ON THE PERIOD OF A MARK IN
A FINITE FIELD

BY MORGAN WARD

1. *Introduction.* If p is a fixed prime, and

$$F(x) = x^k - c_1x^{k-1} - \dots - c_k,$$

where c_1, \dots, c_k are rational integers, is a polynomial which is irreducible modulo p , the period of a mark α associated with the polynomial $F(x)$ in the finite field \mathcal{F} of order p^k is fundamental not only in the theory of finite fields,* but also in many allied arithmetical investigations involving recurring series.†

Our information about the actual value of this period is disappointingly meagre beyond the well known facts that it is a divisor of $p^k - 1$ and that there actually exist polynomials $F(x)$ for which the period equals $p^k - 1$. I prove here the following additional result.

THEOREM. *Let τ denote the period of a mark α associated with the irreducible polynomial $F(x)$ modulo p in the finite field \mathcal{F} of order p^k , and let ω be the least positive value of n such that α^n is congruent to a rational integer modulo p .‡ Then $\tau = \delta\theta\omega$, where θ is the exponent to which norm α belongs modulo p , while δ is an integer dividing the greatest common divisor of k and $p - 1$, and multiplying the greatest common divisor of θ and the integer $\sigma = (p^k - 1)/(\omega(p - 1))$.*

* See, for example, Dickson, *Linear Groups*, 1901, Chapters 1-3.

† If $\Omega_{n+k} = c_1\Omega_{n+k-1} + \dots + c_k\Omega_n$ is the difference equation associated with the polynomial $F(x)$, the period of α is the period modulo p of every sequence of rational integers satisfying the difference equation. (See Ward, *Transactions of this Society*, vol. 35 (1933), pp. 600-628, and the references given there.) The period of α is also the rank of apparition of the prime p for the number $\Delta_n = \pm \text{Res}\{x^n - 1, F(x)\}$ studied recently by D. H. Lehmer and others. (*Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 34 (1933), pp. 461-479.)

‡ In the case $k=2$, ω is the rank of apparition of the prime p for the Lucas function U_n associated with the polynomial $x^2 - c_1x - c_2$ (D. H. Lehmer, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 31 (1930), p. 422). In the general case, ω has been termed the restricted period of $F(x)$ modulo p (R. D. Carmichael, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 48 (1920), p. 354).

2. *Proof of the Theorem.* We write as usual $a|b$ for a divides b , and (a, b) for the greatest common divisor of a and b . Denote the roots of $F(x) = 0$ in the finite field \mathcal{F} by $\alpha, \alpha^p, \dots, \alpha^{p^{k-1}}$. Then

$$\text{norm } \alpha \equiv \alpha^q \pmod{p},$$

where $q = 1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{k-1}$.

As in the theorem, let ω denote the least positive value of n such that α^n is congruent to a rational integer modulo p . Then every other such n is readily seen to be divisible by ω . In particular,

$$\sigma = q/\omega = (p^k - 1)/(\omega(p - 1))$$

is a rational integer, and

$$\text{norm } \alpha \equiv M^\sigma \pmod{p},$$

where $\alpha^\omega \equiv M \pmod{p}$, $(1 \leq M \leq p - 1)$.

Let λ be the exponent to which M belongs modulo p , θ the exponent to which $\text{norm } \alpha$ belongs modulo p , and τ the period of α in \mathcal{F} . Then

$$(1) \quad \tau = \delta\theta\omega,$$

where $\delta = (\lambda, \sigma)$.

For since $\alpha^{\lambda\omega} \equiv M^\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, $\tau|\lambda\omega$, and since α^τ is congruent to a rational integer modulo p , $\omega|\tau$. Therefore, $\tau = \nu\omega$, where $\nu|\lambda$. Then $\alpha^\tau = \alpha^{\nu\omega} \equiv M^\nu \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so that $\nu|\lambda$. Hence $\nu = \lambda$, $\tau = \lambda\omega$.

Now write $\lambda = \delta\lambda'$, $\sigma = \delta\sigma'$, where $(\lambda, \sigma) = \delta$, $(\lambda', \sigma') = 1$. Then $(\text{norm } \alpha)^{\lambda'} \equiv M^{\lambda'\sigma} = M^{\lambda\sigma'} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so that $\theta|\lambda'$. Moreover, we have $M^{\theta\sigma} \equiv (\text{norm } \alpha)^\theta \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, so that $\lambda|\theta\sigma$, $\lambda'\delta|\theta\delta\sigma'$, $\lambda'|\theta\sigma'$, $\lambda'|\theta$. Therefore $\lambda' = \theta$ and $\lambda = \delta\lambda' = \delta\theta$, $\tau = \lambda\omega = \delta\theta\omega$. Finally,

$$(2) \quad (\theta, \sigma) | \delta | (k, p - 1).$$

For since $\theta|\lambda$, $(\theta, \sigma) | (\lambda, \sigma) = \delta$, and since

$$q = ((p - 1 + 1)^k - 1)/(p - 1) \equiv k(p - 1),$$

we have $(q, p - 1) = (k, p - 1)$. Therefore, since $\delta|\lambda|p - 1$ and $\delta|\sigma|q$, $\delta|(q, p - 1)$, it follows that $\delta|(k, p - 1)$. Equations (1) and (2) give us our theorem.

3. *Conclusion.* To illustrate the theorem, consider the Fibon-

nacci series $0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots$ giving the values of the Lucas function U_n associated with the polynomial $x^2 - x - 1$. This polynomial is irreducible modulo 13, so that the period of the Fibonacci series modulo 13 gives the period of the mark α associated with $x^2 - x - 1$ in the finite field of order 13^2 . We have $\omega = 7$, norm $\alpha = -1$, $\theta = 2$, $k = 2$, $\sigma = 2$, $p - 1 = 12$. Hence (2) becomes $(2, 2) \mid \delta \mid (2, 12)$, so that $\delta = 2$. Hence the period is 28, which is easily verified directly. It seems quite difficult to determine the exact value of δ in all cases.*

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

ON A PROBLEM OF KNASTER AND ZARANKIEWICZ†

BY J. H. ROBERTS

Knaster and Zarankiewicz have proposed the following problem:‡ “Does every continuum A contain a subcontinuum B such that $A - B$ is connected?” Knaster has shown,§ by an example in 3-space, that the answer is in the negative. In the present paper an example is given of a *plane* continuum M such that every non-degenerate proper subcontinuum of M disconnects M .

The point sets considered in this paper all lie in a plane.

DEFINITION OF $F(C; X, Y; \epsilon)$. Let C be any simple closed curve, X and Y distinct points of C , and ϵ any positive number. There exists a finite set of points A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n , ($n > 2$), such that (a) $A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n$ contains $X + Y$, (b) A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n lie on C in the order $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n A_1$, and (c) A_i and A_{i+1} (subscripts are to be reduced modulo n) are the end points of an arc t_i of diameter $< \epsilon$ which is a subset of C not containing A_{i+2} . There exists a set of mutually exclusive arc segments v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n lying within C such that $v_i + t_i$ is a simple closed curve w_i of diameter $< \epsilon$. Let J denote the simple closed curve

* See the discussion at the close of my paper, Transactions of this Society, vol. 33 (1931), p. 165.

† Presented to the Society, December 1, 1933.

‡ Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 8 (1926), Problem 42, p. 376.

§ B. Knaster, *Sur un continu que tout sous-continu divise*, Proceedings of the Polish Mathematical Congress, 1929, p. 59.