

THE FORM  $wx + xy + yz + zu$ 

BY E. T. BELL

1. *Introduction.* In the usual notation,

$$N \equiv N[n = wx + xy + yz + zu; \quad w, x, z, u > 0; \quad y \geq 0]$$

denotes the number of sets  $(w, x, y, z, u)$  of integers, subject to the conditions indicated, satisfying the stated equation in which  $n$  is an arbitrary constant integer  $> 0$ . Let  $\zeta_r(n)$  denote the sum of the  $r$ th powers of all the divisors of  $n$ , so that  $\zeta_0(n)$  is the number of divisors. Then

$$(1) \quad N = \zeta_2(n) - n\zeta_0(n).$$

This curious result is the only one of the numerous theorems on quadratic forms stated by Liouville for which (apparently) no proof has been published.\*

We shall first show that (1) follows from

$$(2) \quad 2N' = \zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n),$$

$$N' \equiv N'[n = wx + xy + yz + zu + ux; \quad w, x, y, z > 0; \quad u \geq 0],$$

and then prove (2). Another similar result is stated in §5.

2. *Equivalence of (1) and (2).* The form in  $N'$  may be written

$$yz + (z + x)u + x(w + y);$$

and hence, by the conditions on the variables,  $w + y \equiv y' > y$ . Thus (2) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n) \\ &= 2N'[n = yz + (z + x)u + xw; \quad x, y, z, w > 0; \quad u \geq 0; \quad w > y]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the substitution  $(xz)(yw)$  to the last we see that (3) holds also when the condition  $w > y$  is replaced by  $w < y$ .

Consider now the remaining possibility,  $w = y$ . The equation becomes

---

\* J. Liouville, *Comptes Rendus, Paris*, vol. 62 (1866), p. 714; also, *Journal de Mathématiques*, (2), vol. 12 (1867), pp. 47-48. Noted in Dickson's *History*, vol. 3, p. 237. Liouville points out why the theorem is unusual.

$$(4) \quad n = (x + z)(y + u); \quad x, y, z > 0; \quad u \geq 0.$$

Hence if  $n = d\delta$  is any resolution of  $n$  into a pair of positive divisors, the number of solutions of (4) for a fixed  $(d, \delta)$  is

$$N[d = x + z; x, z > 0] \times N[\delta = y + u; y > 0; u \geq 0],$$

that is,  $(d - 1)\delta$ ; and therefore the total number of solutions of (4) is  $\sum (d - 1)\delta$ , the sum referring to all pairs  $(d, \delta)$ . Thus (4) has precisely  $n\zeta_0(n) - \zeta_1(n)$  solutions.

But all the solutions of

$$(5) \quad n = yz + (z + x)u + xw; \quad x, y, z, w > 0; \quad u \geq 0$$

are exhausted by the three mutually exclusive sets in which  $w > y$ ,  $w < y$ ,  $w = y$ , respectively, and the number of solutions in each of these has just been determined. The total number of solutions of (5) is thus

$$\zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n) + n\zeta_0(n) - \zeta_1(n),$$

or  $\zeta_2(n) - n\zeta_0(n)$ . Hence (1) follows from (2). Conversely, by reversing the steps, (2) follows from (1), so that (1), (2) are equivalent.

3. *Dependence of (2) on an Auxiliary Relation (6).* Let  $\phi(u, v)$  be finite and single-valued for all integer values of the variables  $u, v$ , and beyond the condition  $\phi(u, v) = -\phi(v, u)$  for integer values of  $u, v$ , let  $\phi(u, v)$  be arbitrary. Then

$$(6) \quad \sum \phi(w + y, z) = \sum \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \phi(r, d) \right],$$

$\sum$  on the left referring to all  $(w, y, z)$ , that on the right to all  $(d, \delta)$ , from

$$(7) \quad d\delta = n = wx + xy + yz; \quad d, \delta, w, x, y, z > 0,$$

in which all the letters denote integers and  $n$  is constant. Assuming this for the moment, we shall prove (2).

The form in (7) is invariant under the substitution  $(xy)(zw)$ . Hence  $\sum w = \sum z$ , the sums extending over all solutions of (7). Taking  $\phi(u, v) \equiv u - v$  in (6), we get

$$(8) \quad 2 \sum y = \zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n),$$

the left member of which is

$$2 \sum N[y = y_1 + y_2; \quad y_1 > 0; \quad y_2 \geq 0].$$

It follows that

$$2N[n = wx + (x + z)(y_1 + y_2); \quad w, x, z, y_1 > 0; \quad y_2 \geq 0]$$

is given by the right member of (8). By a change of notation for the variables this result is (2).

4. *Proof of (6).* We now prove (6). The functions  $h(u), f(u, v)$  are single-valued and finite for integer values of the variables, and beyond the conditions (for integer values of  $u$ , or of  $u, v$ )

$$h(u) = h(-u), \quad f(u, v) = f(-u, v) = f(u, -v),$$

are arbitrary. Hence in a theorem concerning  $f(u, v)$  we may replace  $f(u, v) - f(v, u)$  by  $\phi(h(u), h(v))$ , where  $\phi$  is as in §3. For  $f$  we have the identity\*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum [f(d_1 + d_2, \delta_1 - \delta_2) - f(\delta_1 - \delta_2, d_1 + d_2)] \\ &= \sum \left[ (d - 1) \{f(d, 0) - f(0, d)\} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \{f(r, d) - f(d, r)\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

the sum on the right referring to all  $(d, \delta)$ , that on the left to all  $(d_1, \delta_1, d_2, \delta_2)$ , such that

$$(9) \quad d\delta = n = d_1\delta_1 + d_2\delta_2,$$

in which all letters denote integers  $> 0$  and  $n$  is constant. Hence

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum \phi(h(d_1 + d_2), h(\delta_1 - \delta_2)) \\ &= \sum \left[ (d - 1)\phi(h(d), h(0)) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \phi(h(r), h(d)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In (10) take  $h(u) \equiv |u|$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum \phi(d_1 + d_2, |\delta_1 - \delta_2|) \\ &= \sum \left[ (d - 1)\phi(d, 0) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \phi(r, d) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

---

\* Equivalent to one stated by Liouville, *Journal de Mathématiques*, (2), vol. 3 (1858), pp. 282-284. The first proof, by elementary means, was given by T. Pepin, *ibid.*, (4), vol. 4 (1888), pp. 84-92; I showed that the identity is equivalent to one between doubly periodic functions of the first and second kinds (*Transactions of this Society*, vol. 22 (1921), p. 215).

According as  $\delta_1 - \delta_2 > 0$ ,  $\delta_1 - \delta_2 < 0$ ,  $\delta_1 - \delta_2 = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \delta_2 + \delta'_1, \delta'_1 > 0, & n &= d_1(\delta_2 + \delta'_1) + d_2\delta_2; \\ \delta_2 &= \delta_1 + \delta'_2, \delta'_2 > 0, & n &= d_1\delta_1 + d_2(\delta_1 + \delta'_2); \\ & & n &= \delta_1(d_1 + d_2). \end{aligned}$$

The third of these contributes  $\sum (d-1)\phi(d, 0)$ , summed over all divisors  $d$  of  $n$ , to the left of (11). The forms in the first two are equivalent under the substitution  $(d_1d_2)(\delta_1\delta_2)(\delta'_1\delta'_2)$ ; for the first,  $|\delta_1 - \delta_2| = \delta'_1$ , for the second  $|\delta_1 - \delta_2| = \delta'_2$ . Hence, by the equivalence just noted, these two together contribute  $2\sum \phi(d_1 + d_2, \delta'_1)$  to the left of (11). Substituting these results into (9), (11), and changing the notation,

$$(d_2, \delta_2, d_1, \delta'_1) = (w, x, y, z),$$

we get (6).

5. *Another Similar Result.* Other choices of  $\phi$  in (6) give theorems on numbers of representations. From the results already given it is easily seen that

$$N[n = wx + xy + yz + zu + ux; x, y > 0; u, z, w \geq 0] = \zeta_2(n).$$

To prove this we require

$$2N[n = x(w + y + u); x, y, w > 0; u \geq 0] = \zeta_2(n) - \zeta_1(n),$$

which follows at once on noting that  $x = d$ ,  $w + y + u = \delta$ , where  $n = d\delta$ , and that

$$N[\delta = w + y + u; w, y > 0; u \geq 0]$$

is the coefficient of  $q^\delta$  in the expansion of  $q^2(1-q)^{-3}$ , and hence is  $\delta(\delta-1)/2$ .

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY