

## THE RESULTANT MATRIX OF TWO POLYNOMIALS\*

BY M. M. FLOOD

1. *Introduction.* Frobenius† has shown that if  $P$  is a matrix whose characteristic function is  $P(x)$  and if  $P_0(x)$  is a second polynomial, then their resultant is the determinant of the matrix  $P_0(P)$ . In particular, if  $P$  is non-derogatory,‡ the present author§ has shown that the degree of the highest common factor of  $P(x)$  and  $P_0(x)$  is the same as the nullity|| of  $P_0(P)$ .

In this paper the matrix  $P$  is taken to be the companion matrix¶ of  $P(x)$ , and it is shown that all the remainders in the euclidean algorithm for  $P(x)$  and  $P_0(x)$  can easily be found from the "resultant matrix"  $P_0(P)$ . The proof is strictly rational and quite elementary. Finally, the results are applied to a numerical example.

2. *The Algorithm.* The euclidean algorithm for the polynomials  $P_0(x)$  and  $P_1(x) = P(x)$  may be written in the form

$$(1) \quad P_{k-1}(x) = R_k(x)P_k(x) - P_{k+1}(x), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

where  $P_{r+1}(x) = 0$ , and the degree of  $P_{k+1}(x)$  is less than the degree of  $P_k(x)$ . Set  $S_1(x) = 1$ ,  $S_2(x) = R_1(x)$ ,  $P_{-1}(x) = 0$ ,  $P_{-2}(x) = 1$ , and define polynomials  $S_k(x)$  and  $P_{-k}(x)$  by the relations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} S_{k+1}(x) &= R_k(x)S_k(x) - S_{k-1}(x) \\ P_{-(k+1)}(x) &= R_k(x)P_{-k}(x) - P_{-(k-1)}(x) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, r).$$

A simple induction\*\* now yields the identities

$$(2) \quad P_k(x) = S_k(x)P_1(x) - P_{-k}(x)P_0(x), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r + 1).$$

\* Presented to the Society, February 29, 1936. A special case of the principal result of this paper was considered by the present author in a paper having the same title and published in the American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 44 (1937), p. 309.

† Frobenius, *Journal für Mathematik*, vol. 84 (1878), p. 11.

‡ Sylvester has called a matrix "non-derogatory" when its characteristic function and minimum function are the same.

§ American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 43 (1936), p. 562.

|| The "nullity" of a matrix is the difference between its order and rank

¶ The "companion matrix" of  $P(x)$  is the matrix  $P_1$  defined in §3.

\*\* Netto, *Vorlesungen über Algebra*, §62.

For convenience, set

$$P_j(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p_j} P_{jk}x^k, \quad (|j| = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r + 1)$$

and suppose that  $p_0 \geq p_1$  and that  $P_{1p_1} = 1$ . If  $R_k(x)$  is of degree  $r_k = r - k$ , then

$$r_k = p_{k-1} - p_k = p_{-(k+1)} - p_{-k}, \quad (|k| = 2, 3, \dots, r),$$

and it follows that

$$p_{-k} = p_1 - p_{k-1}, \quad (|k| = 2, 3, \dots, r + 1).$$

The euclidean algorithm for the polynomials  $P_0(x) = Q_0(x)$  and  $P_1(x) = Q_1(x)$  written in the customary form would be

$$Q_{k-1}(x) = \bar{R}_k(x)Q_k(x) + Q_{k+1}(x), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, r),$$

where  $Q_{r+1}(x) = 0$ , and the degree of  $Q_{k+1}(x)$  is less than the degree of  $Q_k(x)$ . It is possible to pass easily from one form of the algorithm to the other with the help of the relations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Q_k(x) &= (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} P_k(x) \\ \bar{R}_k(x) &= (-1)^{k-1} R_k(x) \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r + 1).$$

3. *The Companion Matrix.* The companion matrix of  $P_1(x)$  is the matrix

$$P_1 = \left\| \begin{array}{ccccccc} -P_{1 \ p_1-1} & -P_{1 \ p_1-2} & -P_{1 \ p_1-3} & \dots & -P_{12} & -P_{11} & -P_{10} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right\|.$$

So if  $n$  is a non-negative integer less than  $p_1$ , then the last  $p_1 - n$  rows of  $P_1^{n+1}$  are the same as the first  $p_1 - n$  rows of  $P_1$  itself. Hence, if  $V_j$  is the matrix formed from the last  $r_j$  rows of  $P_j(P_1)$ , and if  $2 \leq |j| \leq r$ , then

$$V_j = \left\| \begin{array}{cccc} P_{j \ p_j} \dots P_{j2} P_{j1} P_{j0} \\ P_{j \ p_j} \dots P_{j2} P_{j1} P_{j0} \\ \dots \\ P_{j \ p_j} \dots P_{j2} P_{j1} P_{j0} \end{array} \right\|.$$

This matrix has  $p_1$  columns of which the first  $p_{-j}$  are zero, of course.

4. *The Resultant Matrix.* If  $P_1$  is substituted for  $x$  in the identity (2), since  $P_1(P_1) = 0$ , it follows that

$$P_k(P_1) = -P_{-k}(P_1)P_0(P_1), \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, r).$$

Now if  $W = -P_0(P_1)$ , then the last  $r_k$  rows of this equation may be written in the form

$$V_k = V_{-k}W, \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, r).$$

Hence if  $T$  is defined by the first of the following equations,  $TW$  will have the value given by the second of these equations:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} V_{-r} \\ \dots \\ V_{-3} \\ V_{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad TW = \begin{pmatrix} V_r \\ \dots \\ V_3 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $M_{jk}(-W)$  denote the minor of order  $j$  made up from the last  $j$  rows, first  $j-1$  columns, and  $(p_1-k)$ th column of  $P_0(P_1)$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, p_1$ , and  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots, p_1-j$ . Of course  $M_{jk}(-W) = 0$  if  $j > p_1 - p_r$  since the nullity of  $W$  is  $p_r$ . Now set

$$M_j(-W, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p_1-j} M_{jk}(-W)x^k, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_1).$$

Because of the triangular form of  $T$ , it follows that

$$(3) \quad M_j(TW, x) = (-1)^j \left[ \prod_{k=2}^{s-1} c_{-k} \right] (P_{-s-p_s})^t M_j(-W, x), \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, p_1 - p_r),$$

where  $c_{-k} = (P_{-kp-k})^{r_k}$  and  $t$  and  $s$  are determined by the inequality  $0 \leq t = j - p_{-s} < r_s$ .

An inspection of  $TW$  shows that  $M_j(TW, x) = 0$  unless  $j$  is either  $p_{-(s+1)}$  or  $p_{-s} + 1$  for some value of  $s$  such that  $2 \leq s \leq r$ . In these exceptional cases, it follows that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} M_{p_{-(s+1)}}(TW, x) &= (-1)^{\sigma_s} \prod_{k=2}^s c_k P_s(x) / P_{sp_s} \\ M_{p_{-s}+1}(TW, x) &= (-1)^{\sigma_{s-1}+p-s} \prod_{k=2}^s c_k P_s(x) / c_s \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, r),$$

where  $\sigma_k$  denotes the sum of the products of the integers  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$  taken two at a time, and  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = 0$ . With the help of (3) these relations yield

$$(4) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} P_s(x) &= (-1)^{\sigma_s + p - (s+1)} P_{sp_s} \left[ \prod_{k=2}^s c_{-k}/c_k \right] M_{p-(s+1)}(-W, x) \\ P_s(x) &= (-1)^{\sigma_{s-1} + 1} P_{-sp_{-s}}(c_s/c_{-s}) \left[ \prod_{k=2}^s c_{-k}/c_k \right] M_{p-s+1}(-W, x) \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$(s = 2, 3, \dots, r),$

which shows that the remainders in the euclidean algorithm (1) are *proportional* to the non-zero distinct polynomials in the sequence  $M_1(-W, x), M_2(-W, x), \dots, M_{p_1}(-W, x)$ , and the factors of proportionality are independent of  $x$ .

Equations (4) may also be written in the equivalent form

$$(5) \quad Q_s(x) = A_s M_{p-(s+1)}(-W, x) = B_s M_{p-s+1}(-W, x),$$

$(s = 2, 3, \dots, r),$

where  $A_s$  and  $B_s$  are constants independent of  $x$  and are given by the relations

$$(6) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} A_s &= (-1)^{\sigma_s + p - (s+1) + s(s-1)/2} P_{sp_s} \prod_{k=2}^s c_{-k}/c_k \\ B_s &= (-1)^{\sigma_{s-1} + 1 + s(s-1)/2} P_{-sp_{-s}}(c_s/c_{-s}) \prod_{k=2}^s c_{-k}/c_k \end{aligned} \right\},$$

$(s = 2, 3, \dots, r).$

Expressions equivalent to (4) and (6) would be obtained from them if  $\sigma_s$  were replaced by  $q_s = n_s(n_s - 1)/2$ , where  $n_s$  denotes the number of odd integers in the sequence  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_s$ .

5. *The Constants  $A_s$  and  $B_s$ .* For many applications, it is only necessary to know the remainders in the algorithm to within *positive* factors of proportionality. For example, in order to find the number of real zeros of a polynomial  $P_0(x)$  within a given interval, it is sufficient to know the Sturm functions of  $P_0(x)$  except for possible *positive* factors. So it is desirable to determine the signs of  $A_s$  and  $B_s$  in order that the resultant matrix of  $P_0(x)$  and  $P'_0(x)$  may be used to determine the Sturm functions  $P_k(x)$  of  $P_0(x)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$ .

If  $e_k$  denotes the sign of  $P_{kp_k}$ , it follows easily from (1) and the definition of  $P_{-k}(x)$  that  $e_{k-1}e_{-k} = 1$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, r+1$ . For simplicity, let  $\alpha_s$  and  $\beta_s$  denote the signs of  $A_s$  and  $B_s$  respectively. Then

$$(7) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \alpha_s &= (-1)^{\sigma_s + p_{-(s+1)} + s(s-1)/2} e_s \prod_{k=2}^s (e_k e_{-k})^{r_k} \\ \beta_s &= (-1)^{\sigma_{s-1} + 1 + s(s-1)/2} e_{-s} \prod_{k=2}^{s-1} (e_k e_{-k})^{r_k} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, r).$$

If  $r_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , it follows from (7) that  $\alpha_s = \beta_s = 1$  for  $s = 2, 3, \dots, r$ , since in this special case we have  $\sigma_s \equiv (s-1)(s-2)/2 \pmod{2}$  and  $p_{-s} \equiv (s-2) \pmod{2}$ . In the general case, where not every  $r_k$  is odd, it is simpler and more satisfactory to determine  $\beta_s$  than  $\alpha_s$ , and so the discussion which follows is given only for  $\beta_s$ .

Let  $\mu_s$  and  $\rho_s$  denote the signs of the leading coefficients of the polynomials  $M_{p_{-s+1}}(-W, x)$  and  $Q_s(x)$  respectively. It follows that  $\rho_s = (-1)^{s(s-1)/2} e_s$  and from (5) that  $\rho_s = \beta_s \mu_s$ , whence  $e_s = (-1)^{s(s-1)/2} \beta_s \mu_s$  for  $s = 2, 3, \dots, r$ . Now  $\beta_2 = 1, \beta_3 = (-\mu_2)^{r_2-1}$ , and so the  $\beta_{s+1}$  are given by the recursion formula

$$(8) \quad \beta_{s+1} = (\beta_s \beta_{s-1} \mu_s \mu_{s-1})^{r_s-1} (-1)^{r_s(p_{-s} + s-1) + 1} \beta_s, \quad (s = 3, 4, \dots, r-1).$$

This can be simplified, by treating the odd and even cases separately, to

$$(9) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \beta_{s+1} &= (-1)^{m_s} \beta_s && \text{if } r_s \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ \beta_{s+1} &= -\beta_{s-1} \mu_s \mu_{s-1} && \text{if } r_s \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (s = 3, 4, \dots, r-1),$$

where  $m_s$  is the number of even integers in the sequence  $r_2, r_3, \dots, r_{s-1}$ . Although the argument has been given only for the constants  $B_s$ , it is also possible to determine the signs of the constants  $A_s$  directly from the resultant matrix in a similar fashion.

6. *Numerical Example.* Consider the two polynomials  $Q_0(x) = x^7 + 2x^5 + x^3 - x^2 - 1$  and  $Q_1(x) = x^7 + x^5 - x^2 - 1$ . Then

$$Q_1 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$Q_0(Q_1) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

It follows immediately that  $Q_2(x) = M_1(Q_0(Q_1), x) = x^5 + x^3$ . Hence  $\mu_2 = 1$ ,  $r_2 = 2$ ,  $p_{-3} = 2$ , and  $Q_3(x) = (-\mu_2)^{r_2-1} M_3(Q_0(Q_1), x) = -(x^2 + 1)$ . Finally,  $\mu_3 = 1$ ,  $r_3 = 3$ ,  $p_{-4} = 5$ , and so  $Q_4(x) = M_6(Q_0(Q_1), x) \equiv 0$ . The first remainder is therefore  $x^5 + x^3$  and the second and last remainder is  $-x^2 - 1$ , and this is also the highest common factor of  $Q_0(x)$  and  $Q_1(x)$ .

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY