

ON COMPLETELY CONTINUOUS LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS*

INGO MADDAUS

We shall deal with complete linear vector or so-called Banach spaces.† A completely continuous linear transformation is defined as a linear transformation which carries every bounded set into a compact set. In spaces of a finite number of dimensions, that is, spaces which are linear closed extensions of a finite number of elements, all bounded sets are compact.‡ Therefore singular transformations, that is to say, linear limited transformations which transform their domains into spaces of a finite number of dimensions, are completely continuous linear transformations. It is well known that the strong limit, or limit in the norm sense, of a sequence of completely continuous linear transformations is also completely continuous and linear.§ Consequently, the strong limit of a sequence of singular transformations is completely continuous and linear. The question naturally arises whether, conversely, every completely continuous linear transformation is the strong limit of a sequence of singular transformations.|| This paper obtains a result for the domain of the transformation, a Banach space, and the range, a space to be defined and hereafter to be referred to as of type A . It will be seen that the conception of a space of type A is really a generalization of the idea of a Banach space with a denumerable base,¶ which will hereafter be referred to as of type S .

By a space of type A we shall mean a Banach space in which there exists a linearly independent sequence $\{f_n\}$ of elements of unit norm and a double sequence $\{L_{mn}(g)\}$ of linear limited operators such that for every g

$$(1) \quad \lim_{m=\infty} \left\| g - \sum_{n=1}^{m_n} L_{mn}(g)f_n \right\| = 0.$$

* Presented to the Society, September 10, 1937.

† Banach, *Théorie des Opérations Linéaires*, p. 53.

‡ Riesz, *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 41 (1927), p. 77.

§ Banach, loc. cit., p. 96.

|| Hildebrandt, this Bulletin, vol. 37 (1931), p. 196.

¶ By a space of type S we shall mean a Banach space with a finite or denumerably infinite set of elements $\{f_i\}$ of unit norm such that every element g may be uniquely represented in the form $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(g)f_i$, or $\lim_{n=\infty} \|g - \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(g)f_i\| = 0$, where for a fixed index i the coefficients $c_i(g)$ are bounded linear operators on the space. See Schauder, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. 26 (1927), p. 47, and Banach, loc. cit., p. 110.

It is not required that there be only one double sequence $\{L_{mn}\}$ satisfying relation (1) for a given space.

Obviously a space of type S is also of type A . It is interesting to note that the set of spaces of type A also includes as a subset spaces which have an integral representation instead of the series representation of the spaces of type S . We shall designate such spaces by E and define them as follows. A space of type E is a Banach space in which there is a set of elements $f(t)$, $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$, of the power of the continuum, each of unit norm, such that every element g of E is of the form

$$g = \int_0^1 f(t) d_i \lambda(g, t),$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N_\sigma \rightarrow 0} \left\| g - \sum_\sigma f(\tau_i) \{ \lambda(g, t_i) - \lambda(g, t_{i-1}) \} \right\| \\ = \lim_{N_\sigma \rightarrow 0} \left\| g - \sum_\sigma f(\tau_i) \Delta_i \lambda(g) \right\| = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the points $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = 1$ are elements of a partition σ of the interval $(0, 1)$ and $t_{i-1} \leq \tau_i \leq t_i$. For each value of t , $\lambda(g, t)$ will be a bounded linear operator on the space, whence the same may be said of $\Delta_i \lambda(g)$ for each value of i . It will be understood that the integral is taken in the Riemann sense, and we shall mean by the norm of σ , written N_σ , the maximum of the lengths of the intervals formed by the partition σ .

To show that a space E is of type A it is only necessary to choose a sequence of subdivisions $\{\sigma_n\}$ such that $N_{\sigma_n} \leq 1/n$ and a sequence of points τ_{in} , $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, such that

$$\lim_{N_{\sigma_n} \rightarrow 0} \left\| g - \sum_{\sigma_n} f(\tau_{in}) \Delta_{in} \lambda(g) \right\| = 0,$$

for every g , where the second subscript after both τ and Δ refers to the partition σ_n .

It may easily be shown that every space of type S is of type E as well as of type A .

Because the spaces of type A include those of types S and E as special cases, we shall confine our attention to the former.

For each value of m , $T_m(g) = g - \sum_{n=1}^m L_{mn}(g) f_n$ is a linear limited transformation on a space A of type A . This follows directly from the linear limitedness of each $L_{mn}(g)$. We then have this lemma:

LEMMA. *The sequence $\{T_m(g)\}$ of linear limited transformations is such that $\lim_{m=\infty} \|T_m(g)\| = 0$ uniformly on every self-compact partial set H of A .*

From the linear limitedness of each $T_m(g)$ and the fact that $\lim_{m=\infty} \|T_m(g)\| = 0$ for each g of A , it follows that there exists an $M > 0$, independent of both g and m , such that $\|T_m(g)\| < M\|g\|$.* By the total boundedness of the self-compact set H of E ,† if $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily chosen then there is a finite set g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p of H such that every g of H is interior to at least one of the spheres of centers g_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, p$), and of radius ϵ/M . Obviously $\|T_m(g)\|$ approaches zero uniformly on the finite set g_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, p$), so that for $n \geq m(\epsilon)$ we have $\|T_n(g)\| \leq \epsilon$ on this set. Then for any g of H and some g_i ,

$$\left| \|T_m(g)\| - \|T_m(g_i)\| \right| \leq \|T_m(g - g_i)\| \leq M\|g - g_i\| \leq \epsilon,$$

whence $\|T_n(g)\| \leq \epsilon$ for each g of H when $n \geq m(\epsilon)$. This proves our lemma.

THEOREM. *Every completely continuous linear transformation of a Banach space into a space of type A is the strong limit of a sequence of singular transformations.*

Let U be a completely continuous linear transformation of a Banach space D to a space A of type A with the base $\{f_n\}$, and let $g = U(x)$, where x is of D . Then $U_m(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m_n} L_{m_n}(g)f_n$ is a singular transformation of D into the linear closed extension of the finite number of elements f_n , ($n=1, 2, \dots, m_n$), which forms a subset of A .

Let A' be the transform by U of those elements D' of D whose norms are less than or equal to unity. Since U is completely continuous the set A' is self-compact, and by the previous lemma, $\|g - \sum_{n=1}^{m_n} L_{m_n}(g)f_n\|$ approaches zero uniformly on A' , consequently its equal $\|U(x) - U_m(x)\|$ must do likewise on D' . Hence the norm of the difference between the completely continuous linear transformation U and the singular transformation U_m approaches zero with $1/m$. This completes the proof.

From the above theorem and the observations of the introduction it follows directly that a linear transformation of a Banach space to a space of type A is completely continuous if and only if it is the strong limit of a sequence of singular transformations.

Since Hilbert space, the space of all continuous functions on a finite

* Banach, loc. cit., p. 80, Theorem 5.

† Hahn, *Reelle Funktionen*, 1921, p. 89.

closed interval with norm the absolute value of the function, and the space of all functions which are Lebesgue integrable to the p th power, $p \geq 1$, with norm the p th root of the integral of the p th power of the absolute value of the function, are all spaces with a denumerable base in the sense of Schauder and Banach, and consequently of type A , the above theorem holds of all completely continuous linear transformations with Banach spaces as domains and such spaces as ranges.*

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS OF ORDER $p \dagger$

M. S. ROBERTSON \ddagger

1. **Introduction.** For the class of k -wise symmetric functions ·

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_1 = 1, \quad a_n = 0 \text{ for } n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k},$$

which are regular and univalent within the unit circle, it has been conjectured that there exists a constant $A(k)$ so that for all n

$$(1.2) \quad |a_n| \leq A(k)n^{2/k-1}.$$

Proofs of this inequality for $k=1, 2, 2, 3$, were given by J. E. Littlewood, \S R. E. A. C. Paley and J. E. Littlewood, \parallel E. Landau, \P and V. Levin $**$ respectively. As far as the author is aware there is no valid proof $\dagger\dagger$ for $k>3$ in the literature as yet.

It is the purpose of this note to point out that the methods of proof

* Hildebrandt, this Bulletin, vol. 36 (1931), p. 197.

\dagger Presented to the Society, February 20, 1937.

\ddagger The author is indebted to the referee for helpful suggestions which led to a revision of this note.

\S See J. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, (2), vol. 23 (1925), pp. 481–519.

\parallel See R. E. A. C. Paley and J. E. Littlewood, *A proof that an odd schlicht function has bounded coefficients*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 7 (1932), pp. 167–169.

\P See E. Landau, *Über ungerade schlichte Funktionen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 37 (1933), pp. 33–35.

** See V. Levin, *Ein Beitrag zum Koeffizientenproblem der schlichten Funktionen*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 38 (1934), pp. 306–311.

$\dagger\dagger$ See K. Joh and S. Takahashi, *Ein Beweis für Szegösche Vermutung über schlichte Potenzreihen*, Proceedings of the Imperial Academy of Japan, vol. 10 (1934) pp. 137–139. The proof therein was found to be defective: see Zentralblatt für Mathematik, vol. 9 (1934), pp. 75–76.