

# THE STIELTJES MOMENT PROBLEM FOR FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

R. P. BOAS, JR.\*

1. **Introduction.** We shall establish the following theorem, which at first sight appears quite unexpected:

**THEOREM 1.** *Any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  of real numbers can be represented in the form*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_n &= \int_0^\infty t^n d\alpha(t), & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \int_0^\infty |d\alpha(t)| &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The problem of determining necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of numbers  $\{\mu_n\}$  to have the form

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^\infty t^n d\alpha(t), \quad \alpha(t) \text{ non-decreasing, } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

was set and solved by T. J. Stieltjes. It would be natural to attempt to generalize the problem by requiring merely that  $\alpha(t)$  should be a function of bounded variation on  $(0, \infty)$ ; but the generalized problem has, as Theorem 1 shows, a trivial solution.

To establish Theorem 1, we shall exhibit an arbitrary real sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  as the difference of two sequences  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\nu_n\}$ , each of the form (1.2).† The construction will also lead to the result that any sequence  $\{\mu^n\}$  of positive numbers of sufficiently rapid growth has the form (1.2); it is sufficient, for example, that

$$(1.3) \quad \mu_0 \geq 1, \quad \mu_n \geq (n\mu_{n-1})^n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

A specimen sequence satisfying (1.3) is  $\mu_0 = 1, \mu_n = n^{n^n}, (n = 1, 2, \dots)$ .

As an application‡ of Theorem 1, it will be shown that

\* National Research Fellow.

† Added in proof: Other proofs of Theorem 1 have been given by G. Pólya (*Sur l'indétermination d'un problème voisin du problème des moments*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, vol. 207 (1938), pp. 708–711). Pólya points out that a theorem of which Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence was proved by É. Borel in 1894.

‡ For another application of Theorem 1, see J. Shohat, *Sur les polynomes orthogonaux généralisés*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, vol. 207 (1938), pp. 556–558.

$$f(x) = \int_0^\infty x(t) d\alpha(t),$$

with

$$\int_0^\infty t^n |d\alpha(t)| < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is not the general linear functional on any very interesting space of functions  $x = x(t)$ , containing an infinite number of the functions  $t^n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) (see §4 for a precise statement). Other negative results of this character have been obtained by J. W. Tukey and the author;\* the reader is referred to their paper for a discussion of the significance of such results.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** We use the notation

$$[\mu_0 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2n}] = \begin{vmatrix} \mu_0 & \mu_1 & \dots & \mu_n \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \dots & \mu_{n+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \mu_n & \mu_{n+1} & \dots & \mu_{2n} \end{vmatrix}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\{\mu_n\}$  to have the form 1.2 is†

$$(2.1) \quad [\mu_0 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{2n}] \geq 0, \quad [\mu_1 \mu_3 \dots \mu_{2n+1}] \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We choose positive numbers  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \nu_0, \nu_1$ , so that  $\lambda_0 - \nu_0 = \mu_0$ ,  $\lambda_1 - \nu_1 = \mu_1$ . We now proceed to define the sequences  $\{\lambda_n\}, \{\nu_n\}$  by induction. Suppose that

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_k - \nu_k = \mu_k$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$ , and that the determinants

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{matrix} [\lambda_0 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{2k}], & [\nu_0 \nu_2 \dots \nu_{2k}], \\ [\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \dots \lambda_{2k+1}], & [\nu_1 \nu_3 \dots \nu_{2k+1}], \end{matrix}$$

are positive for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . We have (with undetermined  $\lambda_{2n}$ )

$$[\lambda_0 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{2n}] = \lambda_{2n} [\lambda_0 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{2n-2}] + P,$$

where  $P$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n-1}$ ; and there is a corre-

\* R. P. Boas, Jr., and J. W. Tukey, *A note on linear functionals*, this Bulletin, vol. 44 (1938), pp. 523–528.

† See, for example, O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, 1929, p. 410; cf. also M. Riesz, *Sur le problème des moments, troisième note*, Arkiv för Matematik, Astronomi och Fysik, vol. 17 (1922–1923), no. 16.

sponding relation for  $[\nu_0\nu_2 \cdots \nu_{2n}]$ . Since  $[\lambda_0\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{2n-2}] > 0$ , and  $[\nu_0\nu_2 \cdots \nu_{2n-2}] > 0$ , we can choose  $\lambda_{2n}$  and  $\nu_{2n}$  so that  $\lambda_{2n} - \nu_{2n} = \mu_{2n}$ , and so large that  $[\lambda_0\lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{2n}] > 0$ ,  $[\nu_0\nu_2 \cdots \nu_{2n}] > 0$ . Similarly we can then choose  $\lambda_{2n+1}$  and  $\nu_{2n+1}$  so that  $\lambda_{2n+1} - \nu_{2n+1} = \mu_{2n+1}$ ,  $[\lambda_1\lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_{2n+1}] > 0$ ,  $[\nu_1\nu_3 \cdots \nu_{2n+1}] > 0$ . This completes the induction: we can find sequences  $\{\lambda_n\}$ ,  $\{\nu_n\}$  such that for  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , (2.2) is satisfied, and all the determinants (2.3) are positive. Then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  and  $\{\nu_n\}$  satisfy (2.1), and consequently have the form (1.2), so that  $\{\mu_n\}$  has the form (1.1).

**3. Rapidly increasing sequences.** We now prove the following theorem:

**THEOREM 2.** *If*

$$(3.1) \quad \mu_0 \geq 1, \quad \mu_n \geq (n\mu_{n-1})^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

*then  $\{\mu_n\}$  has the form (1.2).*

For the proof, we modify the construction of the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of §2. We have, for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad [\mu_0\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{2n}] = \mu_{2n}[\mu_0\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{2n-2}] + \sum_{k=n}^{2n-1} \pm \mu_k D_k,$$

where the  $D_k$  are  $n$ -rowed minors of  $[\mu_0\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{2n}]$  and do not involve  $\mu_{2n}$ . Similarly, for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad [\mu_1\mu_3 \cdots \mu_{2n+1}] = \mu_{2n+1}[\mu_1\mu_3 \cdots \mu_{2n-1}] + \sum_{k=n+1}^{2n} \pm \mu_k D'_k,$$

where the  $D'_k$  are  $n$ -rowed minors of  $[\mu_1\mu_3 \cdots \mu_{2n+1}]$ , not involving  $\mu_{2n+1}$ .

Suppose that for  $k \leq n-1$ , ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ),

$$(3.4) \quad [\mu_0\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{2k}] \geq 1, \quad [\mu_1\mu_3 \cdots \mu_{2k+1}] \geq 1.$$

Assuming (3.1), we shall show that (3.4) is satisfied also for  $k=n$ .

Clearly,  $\mu_m \geq 1$  for  $m=1, 2, \dots$ . Hence we have

$$\mu_m \geq (m\mu_{m-1})^m > 2(m/2)^{\binom{m+4}{4} \binom{m+2}{2}} \mu_{m-1}^{\binom{m+2}{2}}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_{2n} > 1 + n^{\binom{n+2}{2} \binom{n+1}{2}} \mu_{2n-1}^{n+1}, \quad \mu_{2n+1} > 1 + n^{\binom{n+2}{2} \binom{n+1}{2}} \mu_{2n}^{n+1}.$$

Now, (3.1) implies in particular that  $\mu_{m+1} \geq \mu_m$ , ( $m \geq 1$ ); hence the elements of the determinants  $D_k$  do not exceed  $\mu_{2n-1}$ , and the ele-

ments of the  $D'_k$  do not exceed  $\mu_{2n}$ . Then by Hadamard's theorem,\*

$$\begin{aligned} |D_k| &\leq \mu_{2n-1}^n n^{n/2}, & k = n, n + 1, \dots, 2n - 1, \\ |D'_k| &\leq \mu_{2n}^n n^{n/2}, & k = n + 1, n + 2, \dots, 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu_0 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_{2n}] &\geq \mu_{2n} - n^{1+n/2} \mu_{2n-1}^{n+1} > 1, \\ [\mu_1 \mu_3 \cdots \mu_{2n+1}] &\geq \mu_{2n+1} - n^{1+n/2} \mu_{2n}^{n+1} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.4) holds for  $k = n$  if it holds for  $k < n$ ; but it holds for  $k = 0$  by assumption, and consequently holds for all  $k$ ; therefore  $\{\mu_n\}$  has the form (1.2).

The moment problem (1.2) is said to be determined or undetermined according as the function  $\alpha(t)$  is or is not unique (after being normalized by the conditions  $\alpha(0) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(t) = [\alpha(t+) + \alpha(t-)]/2$  for  $t > 0$ ). A consequence of Theorem 2 is that the moment problem (1.2) is not only solvable for any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  of sufficiently rapid growth, but is even undetermined. In fact, if  $\{\mu_n\}$  satisfies (3.1) and if in addition  $\mu_2 \geq (2\mu_1 + 2)^2$ , we define a sequence  $\{\nu_n\}$  by setting  $\nu_1 = \mu_1 + 1$ ,  $\nu_n = \mu_n$  for  $n \neq 1$ . Then  $\{\nu_n\}$  satisfies (3.1); consequently for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\nu_{2n} = \int_0^\infty t^{2n} d\beta(t) = \int_0^\infty u^n d\beta(u^{1/2}) = \int_0^\infty u^n d\gamma(u),$$

say; while

$$\nu_{2n} = \mu_{2n} = \int_0^\infty t^{2n} d\alpha(t) = \int_0^\infty u^n d\delta(u),$$

where  $\gamma(u)$  and  $\delta(u)$  are normalized and non-decreasing. But  $\gamma(u)$  and  $\delta(u)$  are distinct, since

$$\nu_1 = \int_0^\infty u^{1/2} d\gamma(u) = 1 + \int_0^\infty u^{1/2} d\delta(u) = 1 + \mu_1.$$

Hence the moment problem for the sequence  $\{\mu_{2n}\}$  is undetermined.

**4. Linear functionals.** We use the terminology of S. Banach's book.† Let  $R$  be a topological vector space of elements  $x$ , let  $P$  be a

\* G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 1934, p. 34.

† *Théorie des Opérations Linéaires*, 1932.

space of elements  $p$ , and let  $f_p(x)$  be a functional with domain  $R$ , defined for each  $p$  in  $P$ . We say that a general linear functional in  $R$  is  $f_p(x)$ , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $f_p(x)$  is a linear functional for every  $p \in P$ .
- (ii) Every linear functional  $g(x)$  with domain  $R$  is identically equal to some  $f_p(x)$ .

In the application to be made here, the elements of  $P$  are the functions  $p = p(t)$ , of bounded variation on  $(0, \infty)$ , such that

$$\int_0^{\infty} t^n |dp(t)| < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

the elements of  $R$  are measurable functions  $x = x(t)$ , defined on  $(0, \infty)$ ; and

$$(4.1) \quad f_p(x) = \int_0^{\infty} x(t) dp(t),$$

where the integral is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. We have the following result:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R$  be a topological vector space with the following property:\**

(Q): *If  $x \in R$  and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ , then  $a_n x \rightarrow \Theta$ .†*

*Then if  $R$  contains an infinite number of functions  $t^n$ , ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), there is some  $p \in P$  for which (4.1) is not a linear functional on  $R$ .*

In particular, we see that, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, (4.1) is not a general linear functional on  $R$ .

Suppose that (4.1) is, for every  $p \in P$ , a linear functional on a space  $R$  with the specified properties. Let  $S$  be the subspace composed of all finite linear combinations of the elements  $t^n$  which are in  $R$  (with the topology of  $R$ ). If  $f$  is an arbitrary distributive (that is, additive and homogeneous) functional with domain  $S$ , we define a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  by setting  $\mu_n = f(t^n)$  when  $t^n \in R$ , and  $\mu_n = 0$  otherwise. By Theorem 1, there is a  $p \in P$  such that

$$\mu_n = \int_0^{\infty} t^n dp(t), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Since  $f$  is distributive, we then have

\* In particular, a space of type  $F$  has this property.

†  $\Theta$  denotes the zero element of  $R$ .

$$(4.2) \quad f(x) = \int_0^\infty x(t) d\mathcal{P}(t), \quad x \in S.$$

Now (4.1) is a linear functional on  $R$ , and consequently a linear functional on  $S$ . Hence (4.2) states that every distributive functional on  $S$  is linear; but this is impossible unless  $S$  is finite-dimensional,\* which it is not. This contradiction establishes the theorem.

NORTON, MASSACHUSETTS

### ON FUNDAMENTAL SYSTEMS OF SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS†

H. T. ENGSTROM

A set  $S$  of  $n$  polynomials over a field  $K$ , symmetric in  $n$  variables,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , is said to form a fundamental system if any rational function over  $K$ , symmetric in these variables, can be expressed rationally in terms of the polynomials of  $S$ . In this paper we show that any  $n$  algebraically independent symmetric polynomials over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero form a fundamental system if the product of their degrees is less than  $2n!$ .

The result follows from a theorem due to Perron:‡

**THEOREM 1.** *Between  $n + 1$  polynomials (not constant),  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n+1}$ , in  $n$  variables, of degrees  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1}$ , respectively, there is always an identity of the form*

$$\sum C_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_{n+1}} f_1^{\nu_1} f_2^{\nu_2} \dots f_{n+1}^{\nu_{n+1}} \equiv 0,$$

where in each term,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} m_i \nu_i \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} m_i.$$

\* Let every distributive functional on  $S$  be linear, where  $S$  is a topological vector space with the property (Q). If  $S$  is infinite dimensional, let  $\{x_n\}$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), be an infinite set of linearly independent elements. Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1}x_n = \Theta$ , we can choose  $y_n \in S$ , ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), linearly independent, with  $y_n \rightarrow \Theta$ . We set  $f(y_n) = 1$ ,  $f(x) = 0$  when  $x$  is not a finite linear combination of the  $y_n$ ,  $f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y)$  for any  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in S$ ; then  $f$  is a distributive functional on  $S$ , and hence is linear on  $S$ . Since  $y_n \rightarrow \Theta$ ,  $f(y_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; but this contradicts  $f(y_n) = 1$ . Consequently  $S$  is finite dimensional.

† Presented to the Society, February 25, 1939, under the title *A note on fundamental systems of symmetric functions*.

‡ O. Perron, *Bemerkung zur Algebra*, Sitzungsberichte der Bayerischen Akademie, mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Abteilung, 1924, pp. 87–101.