

A THEOREM ON MATRICES OVER A COMMUTATIVE RING

NEAL H. MCCOY

1. **Introduction.** Let R be an arbitrary commutative ring with unit element 1, and $R[\lambda]$ the ring of polynomials in the indeterminate λ , with coefficients in R . If A is a matrix of order n , with elements in R , the set of all elements $g(\lambda)$ of $R[\lambda]$, such that $g(A) = 0$, is an ideal which we shall call the *minimum ideal* of A . The element $f(\lambda) = |\lambda - A|$ of $R[\lambda]$ is the *characteristic function* of A , and the principal ideal $(f(\lambda))$ may be called the *characteristic ideal* of A .* In a recent note,† it was shown that the minimum ideal of a matrix can be characterized in a manner generalizing Frobenius' characterization of the minimum function of a matrix for the case in which the coefficient domain is a field.‡ It was also shown that, in $R[\lambda]$, the prime ideal divisors of the minimum ideal coincide with those of the characteristic ideal. If R is specialized to be an algebraically closed field, this result yields the familiar theorem to the effect that the distinct linear factors of the characteristic function of A coincide with the distinct linear factors of the minimum function of A . It is the primary purpose of the present note to generalize, in a similar way, the well known theorem of Frobenius concerning the characteristic roots of a polynomial in two or more commutative matrices—or, more precisely, an extension of this theorem which we shall now describe in some detail.

Let K denote an algebraically closed field, and let us say that the matrices A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), with elements in K , have property P , if the characteristic roots of every scalar polynomial $f(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$, with coefficients in K , are all of the form $f(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ where λ_i is a characteristic root of A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$).

In a previous paper,§ the following statements were shown to be equivalent:

I. The matrices A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), have property P .

* The terms *minimum ideal* and *characteristic ideal* are used merely to emphasize that they generalize the usual notions of minimum and characteristic functions, respectively.

† Neal H. McCoy, *Concerning matrices with elements in a commutative ring*, this Bulletin, vol. 45 (1939), pp. 280–284.

‡ For the classical theorems concerning the characteristic and minimum functions and related topics, see C. C. MacDuffee, *The Theory of Matrices*, chap. 2, or J. H. M. Wedderburn, *Lectures on Matrices*, chap. 2.

§ N. H. McCoy, *On the characteristic roots of matrix polynomials*, this Bulletin, vol. 42 (1936), pp. 592–600. Hereafter this will be referred to as M.

II. All matrices $A_i A_j - A_j A_i$, ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), are contained in the radical of the algebra of polynomials in the A 's with coefficients in K .*

If the matrices A_i are commutative in pairs, clearly condition II is satisfied, and thus I is true. Hence Frobenius' theorem, which states that a set of commutative matrices always has property P , is a special case of the equivalence of I and II. Other interesting special cases of the general result stated above, or examples of matrices having property P , have been obtained by Bruton, Ingraham, Roth, and Williamson.†

If now the matrices A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), have elements in an arbitrary commutative ring R with unit element, it is obvious that the above definition of property P no longer has any meaning. However, we shall give below a suitable definition of property P which is equivalent to the above, if R is specialized to be an algebraically closed field. The principal result of the present note is then a proof of the equivalence of I and II in this generalized sense.

2. Preliminary remarks and notation. We first recall a few properties of ideals which will be of importance in the sequel.‡ If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in the commutative ring R , the set of all elements of R , of which some finite power belongs to \mathfrak{a} , is an ideal called the *radical* of \mathfrak{a} . The radical of R is the radical of the null ideal, that is, the set of all nilpotent elements. Clearly \mathfrak{a} and its radical have the same prime ideal divisors. A *minimal prime ideal divisor* of \mathfrak{a} is one containing§ no other prime ideal divisor of \mathfrak{a} . *Each ideal \mathfrak{a} has minimal prime ideal divisors, and the radical of \mathfrak{a} is the intersection of all minimal prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{a} .* Each prime ideal divisor of \mathfrak{a} contains at least one minimal prime ideal divisor, so that, in fact, the radical of \mathfrak{a} is the intersection of *all* prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{a} .

Henceforth R will denote an arbitrary commutative ring with

* In other words, this may be described as follows, using the notation of the present paper. If S is the ring of polynomials in the A 's over the ring R , and \mathfrak{S} denotes the two-sided ideal in S generated by all elements $A_i A_j - A_j A_i$, then II states that all elements of \mathfrak{S} are nilpotent.

† G. S. Bruton, *Certain aspects of the theory of equations for a pair of matrices*, this Bulletin, abstract 38-9-196; M. H. Ingraham, *A study of certain related pairs of square matrices*, *ibid.*, abstract 38-9-197; W. E. Roth, *On the characteristic values of the matrix $f(A, B)$* , Transactions of this Society, vol. 39 (1936), pp. 234-243; J. Williamson, *The simultaneous reduction of two matrices to triangle form*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 57 (1935), pp. 281-293.

‡ For definitions and fundamental theorems, see W. Krull, *Idealtheorie*, particularly p. 9.

§ In the set-theoretic sense.

unit element, and A_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), fixed matrices of order n with elements in R . Let R_n denote the ring of all matrices of order n over R , and S the subring of R_n generated by the elements A_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), together with the unit element of R_n .^{*} We shall denote by \mathfrak{s} the two-sided ideal in S generated by the elements $A_{ij} = A_i A_j - A_j A_i$, ($i, j=1, 2, \dots, m$). Each element of \mathfrak{s} is, therefore, expressible as a finite sum of terms of the form $g A_i j h$, where g and h are elements of S .

If y is any element of S , let \bar{y} be the corresponding element of the ring S/\mathfrak{s} under the homomorphic correspondence $S \rightarrow S/\mathfrak{s}$. We now introduce the ring $R' = R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m]$ of polynomials in the commutative indeterminates x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m over R . To each polynomial $g(A) = g(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$ in the A 's we may, therefore, make correspond the element $g(x) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$ of R' , obtained by formally replacing A_i by x_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$).[†] For example, if $g(A) = A_1 A_2 A_1$, then $g(x) = x_1^2 x_2$. Since $A_i A_j \equiv A_j A_i \pmod{\mathfrak{s}}$, it follows that multiplication is commutative in S/\mathfrak{s} . Thus the correspondence

$$f(x) = \sum a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \dots x_m^{i_m} \rightarrow \sum \bar{a}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \bar{A}_1^{i_1} \bar{A}_2^{i_2} \dots \bar{A}_m^{i_m},$$

which we may abbreviate in the form $f(x) \rightarrow \bar{f}(\bar{A})$, is a homomorphism between R' and S/\mathfrak{s} , and thus

$$S/\mathfrak{s} \cong R'/\mathfrak{m},$$

where \mathfrak{m} is the ideal in R' of all elements $f(x)$ such that $\bar{f}(\bar{A}) = 0$. Clearly, \mathfrak{m} contains the minimum ideal of each of the individual matrices A_i , ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$).

Let \mathfrak{p}_α [‡] denote an arbitrary minimal prime ideal divisor of \mathfrak{m} , and \mathfrak{r} the radical of \mathfrak{m} , so that \mathfrak{r} is the intersection of all \mathfrak{p}_α . With each \mathfrak{p}_α we may associate, by means of a given polynomial $f(A)$, a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}'_α in $R[\lambda]$, whose elements are the polynomials $t(\lambda)$, such that $t[f(x)] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_\alpha}$. For convenience, we may indicate the intersection of all \mathfrak{p}'_α by \mathfrak{f} . We remark that $h[f(x)] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{r}}$, if and only if $h(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$.

^{*} Elements of S are, therefore, expressible as polynomials in the A_i , that is, as finite sums of terms of the form $a A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_k}$, where a is in R and each A_{i_j} is some one of the matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m . It may happen that no A_i appears in a term, in which case the term will be simply of the form a , as we shall not distinguish between the unit element of R and the unit element of R_n .

[†] This use of the symbols " A " and " x " will cause no confusion, as they do not appear without subscripts in any other connection. However, λ will always denote a single indeterminate.

[‡] The use of a subscript is not meant to imply that the number of minimal prime ideal divisors is finite or even enumerable. The range of α may be an arbitrary set.

Let \mathfrak{n} be the minimum ideal of the matrix $f(A)$, that is, the set of all polynomials $g(\lambda)$ such that $g[f(A)] = 0$. We now make the following definition:

DEFINITION. *The matrices A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), with elements in R are said to have property P , if for every polynomial $f(A)$, the radical of \mathfrak{n} is \mathfrak{f} .*

Before proceeding, we pause to point out briefly the meaning of property P , if R is specialized to be an algebraically closed field. Let $f(A)$ be a given polynomial in the A_i . Since \mathfrak{m} contains the characteristic function $g_j(x_j)$ of A_j , ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), it follows* that each prime ideal divisor of \mathfrak{m} is necessarily of the form

$$\mathfrak{p}_\alpha = (x_1 - \lambda_1^{(\alpha)}, x_2 - \lambda_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, x_m - \lambda_m^{(\alpha)}),$$

where $\lambda_j^{(\alpha)}$ is a characteristic root of A_j , ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), and further that each \mathfrak{p}_α is minimal. By a Taylor's series expansion we see at once that

$$\mathfrak{p}'_\alpha = (\lambda - f(\lambda_1^{(\alpha)}, \lambda_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \lambda_m^{(\alpha)}),$$

and that the prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{f} are precisely these \mathfrak{p}'_α . Now the prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{n} are of the form $\lambda - a_j$, where a_j varies over the distinct characteristic roots of $f(A)$. Thus, if the given matrices have property P according to the definition above, the radical of \mathfrak{n} is \mathfrak{f} and, therefore, the prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{n} coincide with the prime ideal divisors of \mathfrak{f} . This means that the characteristic roots of $f(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$ are all of the form $f(\lambda_1^{(\alpha)}, \lambda_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, \lambda_m^{(\alpha)})$, and thus that the matrices have property P as defined in the introduction. Conversely, it is not difficult to show,† although we shall omit the proof, that if the matrices have property P as defined in the introduction, they also have property P as defined here. Thus, if R is an algebraically closed field, the two definitions are equivalent.

3. The main theorem. We now prove the following theorem which is the principal result of this note:

THEOREM. *Let A_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), be matrices of order n with elements in an arbitrary commutative ring R with unit element, and denote by S the ring of polynomials in the A_i with coefficients in R . If \mathfrak{s} is the two-sided ideal in S generated by the matrices $A_i A_j - A_j A_i$,*

* For theorems on polynomial ideals see van der Waerden, *Moderne Algebra*, vol. 2.

† Cf. M, pp. 598-599.

($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then a necessary and sufficient condition that the given matrices have property P is that all elements of \mathfrak{S} be nilpotent.

First, we assume that all elements of \mathfrak{S} are nilpotent. If $f(A)$ is an arbitrary polynomial in the A_i , we shall show that \mathfrak{f} is the radical of \mathfrak{n} . Let $g(\lambda)$ be any element of \mathfrak{f} . Then it follows that $g[f(x)] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{r}}$, where \mathfrak{r} is the radical of \mathfrak{m} . Thus, for some positive integer k , $\{g[f(x)]\}^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$. This means, however, that $\{g[f(A)]\}^k \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{S}}$, and, since all elements of \mathfrak{S} are nilpotent, there exists a positive integer l such that $\{g[f(A)]\}^{kl} = 0$. This implies that $[g(\lambda)]^{kl} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$, that is, that $g(\lambda)$ is in the radical of \mathfrak{n} . Thus \mathfrak{f} is contained in the radical of \mathfrak{n} .

Now let $h(\lambda)$ be an arbitrary element of the radical of \mathfrak{n} , that is, $[h(\lambda)]^\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$, for some positive integer α . Then $\{h[f(A)]\}^\alpha = 0$, from which it follows that $\{\bar{h}[\bar{f}(\bar{A})]\}^\alpha = 0$, and thus that

$$\{h[f(x)]\}^\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

This means that $h[f(x)] \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{r}}$, and this, in turn, implies that $h(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$. We have therefore shown that if all elements of \mathfrak{S} are nilpotent, the radical of \mathfrak{n} is \mathfrak{f} .

Conversely, let us now assume that for every polynomial $f(A)$, the radical of \mathfrak{n} is \mathfrak{f} . Select any element of \mathfrak{S} and write it, in any way, in the form of a sum of terms

$$F(A)(A_i A_j - A_j A_i)G(A),$$

and denote by $f(A)$ the polynomial thus obtained. Then, clearly, $f(x) = 0$, and thus $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{r}}$. Hence $\lambda \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ and therefore, by hypothesis, there exists a positive integer β such that $\lambda^\beta \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{n}}$. It follows that $[f(A)]^\beta = 0$, and thus that $f(A)$ is nilpotent. The theorem is therefore established.

SMITH COLLEGE