

The corresponding expression for what I call the type A derivative—based on another, but equally logical definition—is merely the first term of the above expression.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

---

## ON THE ASYMPTOTIC LINES OF A RULED SURFACE

GUIDO FUBINI

Many mathematicians have studied the surfaces every *asymptotic curve* of which belongs to a linear complex. I will here be content with the results given on pages 112–116 and 266–288 of a treatise<sup>1</sup> written by myself and Professor A. Cech. This treatise gives (p. 113) a very simple proof of the following theorem:

*If every non-rectilinear asymptotic curve of a ruled surface  $S$  belongs to a linear complex, all these asymptotic curves are projective to each other.*

We will find all the ruled surfaces, the non-rectilinear asymptotic curves of which *are projective to each other*, and prove conversely that *every one of these asymptotic curves belongs to a linear complex*. If  $c$ ,  $c'$  are two of these asymptotic curves and if  $A$  is an arbitrary point of  $c$ , we can find on  $c'$  a point  $A'$  such that the straight line  $AA'$  is a straight generatrix of  $S$ . The projectivity, which, according to our hypothesis, transforms  $c$  into  $c'$ , will carry  $A$  into a point  $A_1$  of  $c'$ . We will prove that *the two points  $A'$  and  $A_1$  are identical*; but this theorem is not obvious and therefore our demonstration cannot be very simple. The generalization to nonruled surfaces seems to be rather complicated: and we do not occupy ourselves here with such a generalization.

If the point  $x = x(u, v)$  generates a ruled surface  $S$ , for which  $u = \text{const.}$  and  $v = \text{const.}$  are asymptotic curves, we can suppose (loc. cit., p. 182)

$$(1) \quad x = y + uz$$

in which  $y$  and  $z$  are functions of  $v$ . More clearly, if  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  are homogeneous projective coordinates of a point of  $S$ , we can find eight functions  $y_i$  and  $z_i$  of  $v$  such that

$$(1_{\text{bis}}) \quad x_i = y_i(v) + uz_i(v), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

From the general theory of surfaces, it is known (loc. cit., p. 90) that

---

<sup>1</sup> *Geometria Proiettiva Differenziale*, Bologna, Zanichelli.

we can find five functions  $\theta, \beta, \gamma, p_{11}, p_{22}$  of  $u, v$  such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} x_{uu} = \theta_u x_u + \beta x_v + p_{11} x, \\ x_{vv} = \gamma x_u + \theta_v x_v + p_{22} x, \\ x_u = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}, \quad \theta_u = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial u}, \quad x_{uu} = \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial u^2}, \quad \dots; \\ x = x_i; \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4; \quad x = y + uz. \end{cases}$$

Since now  $x_{uu} = 0$ , the former of these equations becomes

$$0 = \theta_u x_u + \beta x_v + p_{11} x,$$

and therefore (since the points  $x, x_u, x_v$  are independents):

$$\theta_u = \beta = p_{11} = 0.$$

Equation (2) becomes

$$y_{vv} + uz_{vv} = \gamma z + \theta_v(y_v + uz_v) + p_{22}(y + uz).$$

And, by differentiating two times with respect to  $u$ ,

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2 p_{22}}{\partial u^2} y + \frac{\partial^2(\gamma + up_{22})}{\partial u^2} z.$$

Therefore

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2 p_{22}}{\partial u^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} (\gamma + up_{22})$$

and we can write

$$(3) \quad p_{22} = A + Bu, \quad \gamma + up_{22} = C + Du,$$

$$(4) \quad \gamma = (C + Du) - \mu(A + Bu),$$

in which  $A, B, C, D$  are functions only of  $v$ . We can multiply the  $x_i$  or, what is the same, the  $y_i$  and the  $z_i$  by a factor of proportionality (function *only of*  $v$ ) such that  $\theta = \text{const.}$ , and  $\theta_v = 0$  (or that the determinant of the  $y_i, z_i, y'_i = \partial y_i / \partial v, z'_i = \partial z_i / \partial v$  becomes a constant). The second equation of (2) becomes

$$x_{vv} = \gamma z + px, \quad p = p_{22} = A + Bu,$$

and, by differentiating with respect to  $u$ ,

$$z_{vv} = Dz + By = (D - uB)z + Bx.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma z &= x_{vv} - px, & p &= A + Bu = p_{22}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} \frac{x_{vv} - px}{\gamma} - (D - uB) \frac{x_{vv} - px}{\gamma} - Bx &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad x'''' - 2 \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} x'''' + \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma''}{\gamma} - A - D \right] x'' + 2 \frac{pq' - p'q}{\gamma} x' \\ + \left[ 2 \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \frac{p'q - pq'}{\gamma} + \frac{pq'' - p''q}{\gamma} + AD - BC \right] x = 0, \\ p = A + Bu, \quad q = C + Du, \quad \gamma = q - up, \\ p' = \frac{\partial p}{\partial v}, \quad \gamma' = \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial v}, \quad x' = \frac{\partial x}{\partial v}, \dots \end{aligned}$$

This is the differential equation which defines the asymptotic curves  $u = \text{const.}$  If we put  $x = X\gamma^{1/2}$ , this equation becomes

$$X'''' + lX'' + mX' + nX = 0$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} l &= 2 \frac{\gamma''}{\gamma} - \frac{5}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^2 - (A + D), & n &= -\frac{35}{16} \left( \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^4 + \frac{r}{\gamma^3}, \\ m &= 2 \frac{\gamma'''}{\gamma} - 7 \frac{\gamma'\gamma''}{\gamma^2} + 5 \left( \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \right)^3 + 2 \frac{pq' - qp'}{\gamma} - (A + D) \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

( $r$  is a polynomial of the variable  $u$ ). The projective invariants (or covariants) of the curve defined by this equation are

$$Udv^3, \quad V_1dv^2, \quad Wdv^4.$$

We have put

$$\begin{aligned} U = l' - m = \frac{\epsilon}{\gamma}, \quad [\epsilon = \{(A' - D')C - (A - D)C'\} \\ + 2(CB' - BC')u + \{(A' - D')B - B'(A - D)\}u^2], \end{aligned}$$

$$W = 20l'' - 50m' - 9l^2 + 100n = k \left( \frac{\gamma^s}{\gamma} \right)^4 + \frac{R}{\gamma^3},$$

$$k = \text{const.} = 175 \neq 0; R \text{ a polynomial of } u,$$

and (if  $U \neq 0$ )

$$V_1 = 6[\log U]'' - \left( \frac{U'}{U} \right)^2 - \frac{36}{5} l, \quad U' = \frac{\partial U}{\partial v}.$$

If  $U=0$ , the curve belongs to a linear complex; if  $U \neq 0$ ,

$$\frac{W^3}{U^4} = \frac{(k\gamma'^4 + R\gamma)^3}{\gamma^8\epsilon^4}$$

is a projective invariant. If all the asymptotic curves  $u=\text{const.}$  are projective to each other, this ratio must not be dependent upon  $u$ . And therefore the values of  $u$ , for which  $\gamma=0$ , must also satisfy the equation  $\gamma'=0$ . Therefore

$$\frac{C'}{D'} = \frac{D' - A'}{D - A} = \frac{B'}{B}$$

or

$$\gamma = C + (D - A)u - Bu^2 = V(c + bu + au^2)$$

( $V$  function of  $v$ ;  $a, b, c = \text{const.}$ ) Therefore  $\epsilon=0$ ,  $U=0$  and every asymptotic curve  $u=\text{const.}$  belongs to a linear complex. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} &= \frac{V'}{V}, & \frac{\gamma''}{\gamma} &= \frac{V''}{V}, & B' &= \frac{V'}{V} B, \\ \frac{pq' - p'q}{\gamma} &= \frac{p(q' - up') - p'(q - up)}{\gamma} = p \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma} - p' \\ &= (A + Bu) \frac{V'}{V} - \left( A' + Bu \frac{V'}{V} \right) = \frac{AV' - A'V}{V}. \end{aligned}$$

And analogously

$$\frac{pq'' - p''q}{\gamma} = \frac{AV'' - A''V}{V}.$$

Therefore no one of the coefficients of (5) is dependent upon  $u$ , and consequently we can suppose that the projectivity, which carries an asymptotic curve  $u=\text{const.}$  into another, carries every point of the former into that point of the latter which belongs to the same rectilinear generatrix of the surface (because the corresponding value of  $v$  is not changed by this projectivity).

We have in this manner completely demonstrated the stated theorems.

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY