

## INDECOMPOSABLE CONNEXES<sup>1</sup>

PAUL M. SWINGLE

DEFINITION. A connected set  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe if and only if, for every two connected subsets  $H$  and  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $M = H + K$ , either  $H$  and  $M$  or  $K$  and  $M$  have the same closure.<sup>2</sup>

Any connected subset  $N$  of an indecomposable continuum  $W$ , which is dense in  $W$ , such as any set of composants of  $W$  or  $W$  itself, is an indecomposable connexe, as is also a widely connected set.<sup>3</sup>

EXAMPLE A.<sup>4</sup> Let, in a euclidean plane,  $U$  be the points of a square,  $Q$ , plus its interior. Let  $U_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be a set of mutually exclusive arcs each contained in  $U$  and having one and only one point, an end point, common with  $Q$ . Let the  $U_i$ 's be taken so that every plane region of  $U$  is joined to every linear region of  $Q$  by at least one  $U_i$ . Let  $M = U - (U_1 + U_2 + \dots)$ . Then  $M$  is connected<sup>5</sup> and such that, if  $H$  and  $K$  are connected and their sum is  $M$ , either  $H$  and  $M$  or  $K$  and  $M$  have the same closure. Hence  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe.

EXAMPLE B. Let, in a euclidean plane,  $U$  be the points of a triangle plus its interior, one vertex of which is the point  $a$ . Let  $U_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be a set of arcs, mutually exclusive, except for having the common end point  $a$ , and whose sum is dense in  $U$ . Let further the  $U_i$ 's be taken so that each two plane regions of  $U$  are joined by at least one  $U_i$ . Let  $M = U - (U_1 + U_2 + \dots)$ . It can be shown without difficulty that  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe.

---

<sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society November 23, 1940.

<sup>2</sup> See S. Eilenberg, *Topology du plan*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 26, p. 81, for a definition of an indecomposable connected space. This definition is seen to be equivalent to the above for the types of spaces considered in these two papers.

<sup>3</sup> For definition and example see P. M. Swingle, *Two types of connected sets*, this Bulletin, vol. 37 (1931), pp. 254-258.

<sup>4</sup> E. W. Miller communicated this interesting example to me by letter in 1937 calling attention to its relation to a widely connected set. The method of construction is somewhat similar to the well known boring process used to obtain a plane indecomposable continuum. See K. Yoneyama, *Theory of continuous sets of points*, *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 12 (1917), p. 60. That either  $H$  and  $M$  or  $K$  and  $M$  have the same closure is seen above by supposing that neither  $H$  nor  $K$  is dense in  $M$ , from which it readily follows that  $H$  and  $K$  can each have at most one point common with  $Q$  itself.

<sup>5</sup> E. W. Miller, *Some theorems on continua*, this Bulletin, vol. 46 (1940), p. 153, Theorem 3.

It is proposed to give here a generalization of some of the well known theorems on indecomposable continua<sup>6</sup> by means of indecomposable connexes and the following definitions. The imbedding space will be one satisfying R. L. Moore's Axioms 0 and 1.<sup>7</sup>

**DEFINITIONS.** *A connected subset  $K$  of a connected set  $M$  will be called a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  if and only if  $M$  and  $K$  do not have the same closure. A connected set  $M$  is an irreducible connexe closure between two points  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $M$  contains  $a+b$  and there does not exist a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  containing  $a+b$ . A connected set  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure between  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if there exists a subset  $N$  of  $M$  such that both  $N$  and  $N+a+b$  are connected and, for all such  $N$ 's,  $M$  and  $N$  have the same closure.*

Both a continuum and a connected set, irreducible between two points, are irreducible connexe closures between these two points. Also a widely connected set is an irreducible connexe closure between any two of its points. It is seen readily that if  $M$  is an irreducible connexe closure between  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure between  $a$  and  $b$ .

**EXAMPLE C.** In a euclidean plane let  $B$  be a biconnected set with dispersion point  $a$  and containing the point  $b$  distinct from  $a$ . Let  $W$  be an arc-wise connected set such that (a) if  $x$  and  $y$  are any two points of  $W$  then  $W+a$  contains arcs  $ax$  and  $ay$  such that one of these contains the other, (b) for each  $x$  there exists but one arc  $ax$ , (c) the closure of  $W+a-ax$  contains  $B$ , and (d) the product of  $ax$  and the closure of  $B$  is  $a$ . Then  $M=W+B-a-b$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure from  $a$  to  $b$ , since each connected subset  $N$  of  $M$ , such that  $N+a+b$  is connected, contains  $W$ . However  $M+a+b$  is not an irreducible connexe closure from  $a$  to  $b$ , since  $M+a+b$  contains  $B$ , which contains  $a+b$ , and  $B$  and  $M$  do not have the same closure.

**DEFINITIONS.** *A connected subset  $K$  of a connected set  $M$  is a connexe of condensation of  $M$  if and only if every point of  $K$  is a limit point of  $M-K$ . If  $M$  is connected a composant of  $M+$  is a set of points  $K_p$ , which consists of a point  $p$ , of the closure of  $M$  but not necessarily of  $M$ , and of all points  $x$  of  $M$  such that there exists a proper connexe subclosure containing  $p+x$  and contained in  $M$  excepting perhaps for  $p$ .*

<sup>6</sup> Brouwer, *Zur Analysis situs*, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 68 (1910), p. 426, gave the first example and definition of indecomposable continuum. For theorems on these sets see Z. Janiszewski and C. Kuratowski, *Sur les continus indécomposables*, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 1, p. 215.

<sup>7</sup> *Foundations of Point Set Theory*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 13, 1932.

And  $K_p$  is a composant of  $M$  if and only if  $p$  is also contained in  $M$ , i.e., if  $K_p$  is a component of  $M+$  but is contained entirely in  $M$ .

In a widely connected set  $M$  each composant of  $M$  consists of but one point, and each composant of  $M+$  may consist of but one point. Hence it is not true that if  $K$  is such a composant of  $M$  every point of  $M$  is a limit point of  $K$ , which is however a useful theorem on indecomposable continua.<sup>8</sup>

**THEOREM 107'.** *Every composant of  $M+$ , where  $M$  is connected and its closure is compact, is the sum of a countable number of proper connexe subclosures, each contained in  $M$  except perhaps for one point of the closure of  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $a$  be a point of the closure of  $M$  and let  $K$  denote the composant of  $M+$  consisting of  $a$  and all points  $x$  of  $M$  such that  $M+a$  is not an irreducible connexe closure from  $a$  to  $x$ . Then there exists<sup>9</sup> a countable set  $G$  of domains such that if  $q$  is any point of the closure of  $M$  and  $D$  is any domain containing  $q$  there exists a domain of  $G$ , containing  $q$ , and contained wholly in  $D$ . For each domain  $R$  of  $G$ , which does not contain  $a$ , let  $M_R$  denote the maximal connected subset, containing  $a$ , of  $(M+a) \cdot (S-R)$ ,  $S$  being the imbedding space. Let  $H$  denote the collection of all sets  $M_R$  and let  $T$  denote the sum of all these proper connexe subclosures of  $M+a$  which are elements of  $H$ . The set  $H$  is countable. If  $q$  is a point of  $M-T$  then  $M+a$  is an irreducible connexe closure from  $a$  to  $q$ . For if there exists a proper connexe subclosure  $N$  of  $M+a$ , containing  $a+q$ , there exists a domain  $g$  of  $G$  such that the product of the closures of  $g$  and  $N$  is vacuous, where  $g$  contains a point of  $M$ . Thus  $N$  would have been contained in an  $M_R$  above and so  $N$ , and thus  $q$ , would be contained in  $T$ . Therefore  $K$  is  $T$ . Hence  $K$  is the sum of a countable number of proper connexe subclosures as the theorem states.

**COROLLARY 107'.** *If  $M$  is connected and its closure is compact, then every composant of  $M$  is the sum of a countable number of proper connexe subclosures of  $M$ .*

**LEMMA A.** *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe and  $N$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$ , then  $M - M \cdot \overline{N}$  is connected.<sup>10</sup>*

<sup>8</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 106, p. 75. Below, the theorems are numbered to correspond to similar theorems on indecomposable continua, given by Moore, pp. 75-78. It is to be noted the methods of proof are somewhat similar.

<sup>9</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 19, p. 14.

<sup>10</sup> By  $\overline{N}$  is meant the closure of  $N$ .

PROOF. Suppose  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  is the sum of the two mutually separated sets  $H$  and  $K$ . Then  $M$  is the sum of two proper connexe<sup>11</sup> subclosures  $H + \bar{N} \cdot M$  and  $K + \bar{N} \cdot M$  and so  $M$  is not indecomposable.

LEMMA A'. *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe and  $N$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$ , then  $M - N$  is connected.*

PROOF. By Lemma A  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  is connected. Also  $\bar{N} \cdot M$  is connected since  $N$  is. As  $M$  is the sum of these two sets and  $M \cdot \bar{N}$  is a proper connexe subclosure,  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  cannot be proper.

Suppose  $M - N$  is the sum of the mutually separate sets  $U$  and  $V$ . But  $M - N$  contains the connected set  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  and so either  $U$  or  $V$  contains it also. Say  $U$  does. Then  $M$  and  $U$  must have the same closure. But then points of  $V$  are limit points of  $U$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $M - N$  is connected.

THEOREM A. *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe and  $W$  a connected subset of  $M$  such that  $M$  and  $W$  have the same closure, then  $W$  is an indecomposable connexe.*

PROOF. Let  $N = M - W$  and suppose  $W = H + K$ ,  $H$  and  $K$  proper connexe subclosures of  $W$ . As  $N$  is contained in  $\bar{W} = \bar{H} + \bar{K}$ , let  $\bar{H} \cdot N = H'$  and  $\bar{K} \cdot N = K'$ . Thus  $H + H'$  and  $K + K'$  are connected sets.<sup>12</sup> But as  $\bar{H}$  contains the closure of  $H + H'$  and  $\bar{K}$  the closure of  $K + K'$ ,  $M = W + N$  is the sum of these two proper connexe subclosures and so  $M$  is not indecomposable.

COROLLARY A. *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe and  $N$  is both a proper connexe subclosure and a connexe of condensation of  $M$ , then  $M - N$  is an indecomposable connexe.*

PROOF. By Lemma A  $M - N$  is connected and by definition of connexe of condensation  $M$  and  $M - N$  have the same closure. Thus the corollary follows from Theorem A.

COROLLARY A'. *If  $M + f$  is an indecomposable connexe,  $M$  connected and  $f$  finite, then  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe.*

Theorem A and its corollaries treat the case where an indecomposable connexe is given and the subtraction of points gives an indecomposable connexe. This suggests the following addition problem: Let  $M$  be an indecomposable connexe and  $p$  a point of  $\bar{M} - M$ . Is  $M + p$  an indecomposable connexe? This problem is left unsolved here.

<sup>11</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 47, p. 33.

<sup>12</sup> R. L. Moore, Theorem 27, p. 17.

**THEOREM 108'.** *Let  $M$  be connected. Then in order that  $M$  be an indecomposable connexe it is necessary and sufficient that every proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  be a connexe of condensation of  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** The condition is sufficient. For suppose  $M$  is not indecomposable. Then  $M$  is the sum of two proper connexe subclosures  $H$  and  $K$ . Thus there exists a point  $q$  of  $H$  which is not a limit point of  $K$ . But  $K$  contains  $M-H$ . Thus  $q$  is not a limit point of  $M-H$  and so  $H$  is not a connexe of condensation of  $M$ .

The condition is necessary. For suppose  $N$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  but that not every point of  $N$  is a limit point of  $M-N$ . By Lemma A'  $M-N$  is connected but the closures of  $M$  and  $M-N$  are not the same. Hence  $M$  is the sum of two proper connexe subclosures  $N$  and  $M-N$  which is a contradiction.

**THEOREM 108''.** *Let  $M$  be connected. Then in order that  $M$  be an indecomposable connexe it is necessary and sufficient that the closure of every proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  be a continuum of condensation of the closure of  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** The condition is sufficient. For suppose  $H$  and  $K$  are as in the proof above and that  $q$  is a point of  $\bar{H}$  which is not a limit point of  $K$ . As  $\bar{M} = \bar{H} + \bar{K}$  and  $q \cdot \bar{K} = 0$   $q$  is not a limit point of  $\bar{M} - \bar{H}$  contained in  $\bar{K}$ . Thus  $\bar{H}$  is not a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{M}$ .

The condition is necessary. As  $M$  is indecomposable, by Lemma A,  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  is connected, where  $N$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$ . Hence  $M$  is the sum of the two connected sets  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  and  $M \cdot \bar{N}$ , the latter being a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$ . Hence  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  is not proper and so every point of  $M \cdot \bar{N}$ , and so of  $N$ , is a limit point of  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$ . Thus every point of  $\bar{N}$  is a limit point of  $M - M \cdot \bar{N} = (M + \bar{N}) - \bar{N}$ . Therefore every point of  $\bar{N}$  is a limit point of  $\bar{M} - \bar{N}$  and so  $\bar{N}$  is a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{M}$ .

Let  $B$  be a composant of an indecomposable continuum  $K$ , where  $K-B$  contains an arc  $A$ . Let  $c$  and  $d$  be two points of  $A$  such that  $A - c - d = A' + A'' + A'''$ , where  $A'$ ,  $A''$ , and  $A'''$  are mutually separated sets, but  $A' + c + A''$  and  $A'' + d + A'''$  are connected. Let  $M = B + A' + A'' + A'''$ . Then  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe. The composant of  $M+$  containing  $c$  is  $A' + c + A''$  and the one containing  $d$  is  $A'' + d + A'''$ . Thus two composants of  $M+$  are not necessarily mutually exclusive.

**THEOREM 109'.** *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe, whose closure is compact, then no two composants of  $M$  have a point in common.*

PROOF. For each point  $p$  of  $M$  let  $M_p$  denote the set of points  $x$  such that  $M$  is not an irreducible connexe closure from  $p$  to  $x$ . If  $b$  is a point of  $M_a$  then  $M_b = M_a$ . For suppose not and that  $x$  is any point of  $M_a$  and  $y$  is of  $M_b$ . Then there exist proper connexe subclosures  $N_{ax}, N_{by}, N_{ab}$  of  $M$ . Suppose  $\overline{N_{ab}} + \overline{N_{ax}} = \overline{M}$ . But  $N_{ab}$  and  $N_{ax}$  are continua of condensation of  $\overline{M}$  by Theorem 108''. This is a contradiction.<sup>13</sup> Therefore  $N_{ab} + N_{ax}$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  as is similarly  $(N_{ab} + N_{ax}) + N_{by}$ . Hence  $N_{ab} + N_{ax} + N_{by}$  is contained in both  $M_a$  and in  $M_b$  and so  $M_a = M_b$ . Hence if two composants have a point in common they are the same composant.

Since a composant of an indecomposable continuum is itself an indecomposable connexe it is not true that an indecomposable connexe contains uncountably many composants. A composant of  $M+$  however may consist of a single point. Thus we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 110'.** *If  $M$  is an indecomposable connexe whose closure is compact and, for every point  $p$  of  $\overline{M} - M$ ,  $M+p$  is an indecomposable connexe, then there exist an uncountable number of composants of  $M+$ .*

PROOF. Suppose there exist but a countable number of composants of  $M+$ . Then by Theorem 107'  $M$  is contained in a countable number of proper connexe subclosures of  $\overline{M}$ . Say these are the elements of the set  $(N)$ . An  $N$  of  $(N)$  contains at most one point  $p$  of  $\overline{M} - M$  and by hypothesis  $M+p$  is an indecomposable connexe. Hence by Theorem 108''  $\overline{N}$  is a continuum of condensation of  $\overline{M+p} = \overline{M}$ . But  $\overline{M}$  is the sum of the  $\overline{N}$ 's of  $(N)$ , since  $M$  is the sum of the  $N$ 's. As this is a contradiction<sup>14</sup> the theorem is true.

**THEOREM 111'.** *If  $M$  is connected and its closure is compact then in order that  $M$  be an indecomposable connexe it is necessary and sufficient that there exist three distinct points such that  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure between any two of them.*

PROOF. The condition is sufficient. For if  $M$  is the sum of the connexes  $H$  and  $K$ , one of these has at least two of the three points as limit points and so it and  $M$  have the same closure.

The condition is necessary. For if  $M$  contains three points  $x, y$ , and  $z$  such that each of these is in a different composant,  $M$  is an irreducible connexe closure between any two of these points. Consider the case where  $M$  contains only the one composant  $T$ , containing a

<sup>13</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 15, p. 11.

<sup>14</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 15, p. 11.

point  $x$ . Then by Corollary 107'  $M$  is the sum of the elements of a countable class  $(N)$ , each element of which is a proper connexe subclosure. Then by Theorem 108'' every  $\bar{N}$  of  $(N)$  is a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{M}$ . But if  $\bar{M}$  is the sum of the  $\bar{N}$ 's this is a contradiction.<sup>15</sup> Hence  $\bar{M} - M$  contains points  $y$  and  $z$  which are not contained in any  $\bar{N}$  of  $(N)$ . Thus if the connected set  $H$  of  $T$  contains  $x$  and has  $z$  as a limit point,  $H$  and  $M$  have the same closure. Thus  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure from  $x$  to  $z$  and similarly from  $x$  to  $y$ . Suppose  $M$  contains a proper connexe subclosure  $N'$  which has  $y$  and  $z$  as limit points. Because of the nature of  $H$  above,  $N'$  does not contain  $x$ . From the manner of constructing the sets  $N$  of  $(N)$  in Theorem 107', using  $x$  for the point  $a$  there, it is seen that  $N'$  is contained in an  $N$  of  $(N)$  and so does not have  $y$  or  $z$  as a limit point. Therefore  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure between  $y$  and  $z$  also. In case  $M$  is the sum of two composants,  $y$  and  $z$  can be taken as above and the proof completed.<sup>16</sup>

**THEOREM 112'.** *If  $a$  is a point of an indecomposable connexe  $M$  whose closure is compact and  $K$  is the set of all points  $x$  such that  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure from  $a$  to  $x$ , then  $K$  is dense in  $M$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that there exists a region  $R$ , containing a point of  $M$ , such that  $R$  does not contain a point of  $K$ . Let  $N$  be a maximal connected subset of  $R \cdot M$ . Then by Lemma A  $M - M \cdot \bar{N}$  is connected as  $N$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$ . Thus  $\bar{N}$  is a continuum of condensation of  $\bar{M}$ . Hence<sup>17</sup> the locally compact closed set  $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{R}$  is not the sum of the closures of a countable number of composants of  $M \cdot R$ . Hence by Theorem 107'  $\bar{M} \cdot \bar{R}$  is not contained in the sum of the closures of the countable number of proper connexe subclosures

<sup>15</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 15, p. 11.

<sup>16</sup> The question arises whether the condition in Theorem 111' might be changed to "there exist three points  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  such that  $M+x+y+z$  is an irreducible connexe closure between any two of these." That three points might be taken so that  $M+y$ , say, is not an irreducible connexe closure between  $y$  and some point of  $M$  is seen by the following example. Let interior to the square  $Q$ , of Example A above,  $(V)$  be the set of straight line intervals joining a Cantor ternary set, on a line  $t$ , to a point  $y$  not on  $t$ . Take the  $U_i$ 's as in Example A, except that no  $U_i$  has a point common with a  $V$  of  $(V)$ . Let  $B+y$  be a biconnected subset of the sum of the elements of  $(V)$ ,  $B$  being totally disconnected. Let  $M = U - (U_1 + U_2 + \dots)$  - (points of the elements of  $(V)$ ) +  $B$ . Then  $M$  is indecomposable but  $M+y$  is not an irreducible connexe closure between  $y$  and a point of  $B$ . See Example C above. Whether  $M$  could be taken so that each point of  $\bar{M} - M$  is as  $y$  and  $M+y$  is not an irreducible connexe closure between any two points is a question.

<sup>17</sup> R. L. Moore, loc. cit., Theorem 15, p. 11.

of the composant of  $M$  which contains  $a$ . Therefore by a proof similar to that of Theorem 111'  $M$  is an irreducible joining connexe closure between  $a$  and some point of  $(\overline{M} - M) \cdot R$ . Thus  $K$  is dense in  $M$ .

If  $T$  is the sum of a countable number of proper connexe subclosures of an indecomposable connexe  $M$ , since  $M$  may be a composant of an indecomposable continuum, it is readily seen that  $M - T$  may be disconnected. However, by repeated use of Lemma A, Theorem 108', and Theorem A, the following theorem is seen to be true.

**THEOREM 113'.** *If  $T$  is the sum of a finite number of mutually exclusive proper connexe subclosures of an indecomposable connexe  $M$ , then  $M - T$  is a non-vacuous indecomposable connexe.*

The two following theorems are proven in a manner similar to that used for the corresponding theorems on continua.

**THEOREM 114'.** *If  $a$  is a point of a decomposable connexe  $M$ , there exists a domain  $D$  containing  $a$  such that  $M$  is not an irreducible connexe closure from  $a$  to any point of  $D$ .*

**THEOREM 115'.** *If  $a$  and  $b$  are two distinct points,  $M$  is an irreducible connexe closure from  $a$  to  $b$ , and  $T$  is a proper connexe subclosure of  $M$  containing  $b$ , then  $M - M \cdot T$  is connected.*

NEW MEXICO STATE COLLEGE