

## EVERYWHERE DENSE SUBGROUPS OF LIE GROUPS

P. A. SMITH

A recent note by Montgomery and Zippin<sup>1</sup> leads one to speculate concerning the nature of everywhere dense proper subgroups of continuous groups. Such subgroups can easily be constructed. Suppose for example that  $G$  is a non-countable continuous group which admits a countable subset  $G_0$  filling it densely. The group generated by  $G_0$  is everywhere dense in  $G$  but is not identical with  $G$ . In the case of Lie groups, it is easy to see that an abelian  $G$  admits non-countable subgroups of the sort in question; whether or not a non-abelian  $G$  does so, appears to be a more difficult question. We shall, however, show that if  $G$  is simple, proper subgroups of  $G$  cannot, so to speak, fill  $G$  too densely.

Let  $G$  be a simple<sup>2</sup> Lie group of dimension  $r$  with  $r > 1$ , and let  $U$  be a canonical nucleus of  $G$ —that is, a nucleus which can be covered by an analytic canonical coordinate system. An arbitrary point  $x$  of  $U$  is contained in the central of at least one closed proper Lie subgroup of  $G$  with non-discrete central. In fact, through  $x$  there passes a one-parameter subgroup  $\gamma$ ; the closure of  $\gamma$  is an abelian Lie subgroup and this subgroup is proper since  $G$  is simple and  $r > 1$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a simple Lie group of dimension  $r$  greater than one and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a proper subgroup filling  $G$  densely. There exists at least one proper closed Lie subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that those left- (right-) cosets of  $H$  which fail to meet  $\mathfrak{g}$  fill  $G$  densely. For  $H$  one may take any closed proper Lie subgroup of  $G$  whose central is non-discrete and contains an arbitrarily chosen point  $p$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \cap U$ ,  $U$  being any given canonical nucleus of  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $U$ ,  $p$ ,  $H$  be chosen and let us consider only the left-cosets of  $H$ . It will be sufficient to prove that there exists at least one coset, say  $aH$ , which fails to meet  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For, the cosets obtained by multiplying  $aH$  on the left by arbitrary elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  fail to meet  $\mathfrak{g}$  and fill  $G$  densely.

---

Received by the editors July 15, 1941.

<sup>1</sup> Deane Montgomery and Leo Zippin, *A theorem on the rotation group of the 2-sphere*, this Bulletin, vol. 46 (1940), pp. 520–521. Our theorem may be regarded as a generalization of the theorem of Montgomery and Zippin and the proofs of the two theorems may be regarded as being the same in principle.

<sup>2</sup> We use *simple* here in the sense of having a simple Lie algebra. A simple group need not be connected.

Let us assume the contrary, namely that *every* coset of  $H$  meets  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $H^*$  be the totality of cosets of  $H$  and let the elements of  $H^*$  be denoted by  $e^* = H$ ,  $a^* = aH$ ,  $\dots$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the mapping  $x \rightarrow x^*$  ( $x^* = xH$ ) of  $G$  into  $H^*$ . Let  $H^*$  be topologized in the usual way by taking as open in  $H^*$  every set of the form  $\sigma A$  where  $A$  is an open subset of  $G$ . The space  $H^*$  is homogeneously locally euclidean.—Now let  $x^*$  be an element of  $H^*$  and let  $x$  be a representative of the coset  $x^*$ . Then  $xpx^{-1}$  (where  $p$  is defined in the theorem) is independent of  $x$ . For if  $y$  is a second representative of  $x^*$ , then  $x^{-1}y \subset H$  so that  $x^{-1}yp = px^{-1}y$  since  $p$  is in the central of  $H$ . Hence  $xpx^{-1} = ypy^{-1}$ . Thus the formula  $\tau(x^*) = xpx^{-1}$  defines a mapping  $\tau$  of  $H^*$  into  $G$  which, in particular, carries  $e^*$  into  $p$ . Evidently  $\tau$  is continuous. In fact it is easy to see that  $\tau$  is analytic relative to an arbitrarily chosen analytic canonical coordinate system  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  covering  $U$ , and a suitably chosen coordinate system covering a neighborhood of  $e^*$ .

The mapping  $\tau$  carries  $H^*$  into a subset of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For, by our assumption on the cosets of  $H$ , an element  $y^*$  of  $H^*$  can be written in the form  $y^* = gH$  where  $g \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Hence we have  $\tau(y^*) = gpg^{-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ .—Moreover, any given neighborhood  $V^*$  of  $e^*$  contains at least one point  $x^*$  such that  $\tau(x^*) \neq p$ . For otherwise we have  $\tau(yH) = p$  for every  $y$  in a certain nucleus  $V$  of  $G$ , that is, for every  $y$  in  $V$  and  $h$  in  $H$  we have  $yhpyh^{-1} = p$  or  $ypty^{-1} = p$ . But then the one-parameter subgroup of  $G$  determined by  $p$  would be invariant, contrary to the hypothesis that  $G$  is simple.

Let  $W$  be a nucleus of  $G$  such that  $W^{-1}WW \subset U$ . It follows from the last two paragraphs that there exists in  $H^*$  a point  $z^*$  near  $e^*$  such that the linear segment  $e^*z^*$  is carried by  $\tau$  into an analytic arc contained in  $\mathfrak{g} \cap W$  and consisting of more than a single point. A suitably chosen piece of this arc, when multiplied on the left by the inverse of one of its points, furnishes an analytic 1-cell  $K$  contained in  $\mathfrak{g} \cap W$  and containing  $e$ , the identity of  $G$ . Starting with  $K$  we shall construct a dimensionally increasing sequence of analytic continua, subsets of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In what follows, let it be understood that all functions are real, single-valued and analytic over the domains indicated.

We may suppose that  $K$  is defined parametrically, say by  $x_i = f_i(t)$  where  $-1 < t < 1$  and  $f(0) = e$ . The set  $KK$  is in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and is defined by equations of the form  $x_i = g_i(s, t)$  where  $-1 < s, t < 1$ . Suppose that  $\dim KK > \dim K$ ; that is, suppose  $\dim KK = 2$ . Then being an analytic locus,  $KK$  contains points at which it is locally euclidean 2-dimensional. If  $b$  is such a point, then  $b^{-1}KK$  (a subset of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) is locally euclidean at  $e$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g} \cap W$  contains a 2-cell  $K_2$  defined say by  $x_i = h_i(u, v)$  where  $-1 < u, v < 1$  and  $h(0, 0) = e$ . We next consider the

set  $K_2K_2$  and suppose that its dimension exceeds that of  $K_2$ . On continuing in this manner, we finally obtain a  $k$ -cell  $E$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \cap W$  defined say by  $x_i = h_i(u_1, \dots, u_k)$  where  $-1 < u_i < 1$  and  $h(0, \dots, 0) = e$ , and such that  $\dim EE = \dim E = k$ . We assert that  $E$  contains subsets  $E^*$  and  $F$  such that (1)  $E^*$  and  $F$  are  $k$ -cells; (2)  $e \in F \subseteq E^*$ ; (3)  $FF \subseteq E^*$ .

To prove this, we first note that by the theory of implicit functions,  $E$  contains a  $k$ -dimensional sub-cell  $E^*$  definable, after renaming the coordinates  $x_i$  if necessary, by equations

$$(1) \quad x_i = X_i(x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad i = k + 1, \dots, r,$$

where  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  ranges over the cube  $C_\delta$ :  $-\delta < x_i < \delta$ , and where  $X_i(0, \dots, 0) = e_i = 0$ . On replacing  $\delta$  by a smaller number if necessary, it is easy to see that  $C_\delta$  contains a cube  $C_\mu$ :  $-\mu < x_i < \mu$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ) such that if  $F$  is the  $k$ -cell defined by (1) with  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  restricted to the cube  $C_\mu$ , and if  $q$  is an arbitrary point of  $F$ , then  $qF$ , like  $F$ , is definable by equations of the form (1):

$$x_i = X_i^q(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

where  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  ranges over a certain open subset  $A^q$  of  $C_\delta$ . Now  $EE$  is the union of  $k$ -cells  $qE$  ( $q \subseteq E$ ), hence is  $k$ -dimensional at every point. Being an analytic locus, the points  $q$  at which  $EE$  is locally euclidean  $k$ -dimensional fill it densely. Consider such a point  $q$ . The  $k$ -cells  $F$  and  $qF$  intersect at  $q$ . But since both are contained in  $EE$  which is locally euclidean  $k$ -dimensional at  $q$ , they coincide identically in the neighborhood of  $q$ . Hence the functions  $X_i$  and  $X_i^q$  are identically equal over an open subset of  $A^q$ ; hence, by the theory of analytic functions, they are equal over the whole of  $A^q$ . Hence  $qF \subseteq E^*$ , and this is true for a set of points  $q$  filling  $F$  densely. By continuity this relation holds for arbitrary  $q$  in  $F$ . Hence  $FF \subseteq E^*$ , proving our assertion.

It is easy to see that on replacing  $F$  by a smaller  $k$ -cell if necessary, we have also  $F^{-1} \subseteq E^*$ . In short  $F$  is a  $k$ -dimensional local Lie subgroup of  $G$ ; hence it is an open subset of a  $k$ -dimensional linear subspace  $L$  of the linear space of the canonical coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_r$ . If  $k < r$ , there exists in  $W$  an element  $a$  such that the linear subspace  $L'$  determined by  $F' = aFa^{-1}$  is different from  $L$ ; otherwise the Lie subalgebra represented by  $L$  is invariant. Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is everywhere dense in  $G$ , we may assume, so far as the relation  $L \neq L'$  is concerned, that  $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $FF' \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, it is evident that  $\dim FF' > k$ . We can now repeat the construction described above starting with a suitably chosen analytic cell of dimension exceeding  $k$  in  $FF'$ . We obtain

finally an analytic  $r$ -cell contained in  $\mathfrak{g} \cap W$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  contains a nucleus of  $G$  and hence  $\mathfrak{g} = G$ , a contradiction which proves the theorem.<sup>3</sup>

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

<sup>3</sup> We have proved, incidentally, that if an everywhere dense subgroup  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a simple Lie group  $G_r$  ( $r > 1$ ) contains an analytic arc, then  $\mathfrak{g} = G$ .

## VECTOR SPACES OVER RINGS

C. J. EVERETT<sup>1</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\mathfrak{M} = u_1K + \cdots + u_mK$  be a vector space (linear form modul [5, p. 111]) over a ring  $K = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \cdots; \epsilon \text{ unit element}\}$ . By a *submodul*  $\mathfrak{N} \leq \mathfrak{M}$  is meant an "admissible" submodul:  $\mathfrak{N}K \leq \mathfrak{N}$ . Elements  $v_1, \cdots, v_n$  of a submodul  $\mathfrak{N}$  form a *basis* for  $\mathfrak{N}$  (notation:  $\mathfrak{N} = v_1K + \cdots + v_nK$ ) in case  $\sum v_i \alpha_i = 0$  implies  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ , and if every element of  $\mathfrak{N}$  is expressible in the form  $\sum v_i \alpha_i$ ,  $\alpha_i \in K$ . The equivalent formulations of the ascending chain condition for submoduls of a vector space, and for right ideals of a ring will be used without further comment [5, §§80, 97].

**2. Basis number, linear transformations.** We remark that the following holds.

(A) *The ascending chain condition is satisfied by the submoduls of a vector space  $\mathfrak{M}$  over  $K$  if and only if it is satisfied by the right ideals of  $K$ .*

An infinite chain of right ideals  $r_1 < r_2 < \cdots$  in  $K$  yields an infinite chain of submoduls  $u_1 r_1 < u_1 r_2 < \cdots$  in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . The other implication is proved in [5, p. 87].

[By using a lemma due to N. Jacobson (*Theory of Rings*, in publication) Theorem (A) and the corresponding theorem for descending chain condition are easily proved in a unified manner.]

Linear transformations of  $\mathfrak{M}$  on  $\mathfrak{M}$  are given by  $u_j \rightarrow u'_j = \sum u_i \alpha_{ij}$ . Write  $(u'_1, \cdots, u'_m) = (u_1, \cdots, u_m)A$ ,  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ . Under  $u_j \rightarrow u'_j$ , let  $\mathfrak{M}_0 \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{M}_0 \cong \mathfrak{M}A \leq \mathfrak{M}$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = 0$  if and only if  $Av = 0$  implies  $v = 0$ ,  $v$  an  $m \times 1$  matrix over  $K$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}A = \mathfrak{M}$  if and only if there exists an  $m \times m$  matrix  $R$  with  $AR = I$ , the identity matrix.

Possibilities (i)  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{M}A = \mathfrak{M}$ ; (ii)  $\mathfrak{M}_0 > 0$  and  $\mathfrak{M}A < \mathfrak{M}$ ; (iii)  $\mathfrak{M}_0 = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{M}A < \mathfrak{M}$  are familiar. The possibility of (iv)  $\mathfrak{M}_0 > 0$

Presented to the Society, September 5, 1941; received by the editors May 27, 1941.

<sup>1</sup> The results presented here were obtained while the author was Sterling Research Fellow in mathematics, Yale University, 1940-1941. Thanks are due to Professors Oystein Ore, R. P. Dilworth, and the referee for helpful suggestions.