

A CONJECTURE OF ORE ON CHAINS IN PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS

SAUNDERS MACLANE

In a recent investigation, Ore¹ has given a form of the Jordan-Hölder theorem valid for an arbitrary partially ordered set P . This theorem involves essentially the deformation of one chain into another by successive steps, each step being like that used in the conventional Jordan-Hölder theorem. Ore observes that his first theorem would be slightly easier to apply if it were proved under a weaker hypothesis. The modified theorem runs as follows:²

THEOREM. *If P is a partially ordered set in which every chain joining two elements is finite, then any complete chain between two elements $b < a$ can be deformed into any other complete chain between the same two elements.*

The proof rests on this lemma:

LEMMA. *Under the hypothesis of the theorem, if C is a complete chain from b to a which cannot be deformed into the complete chain D from b to a , there exist in P elements $b' < a'$ and complete chains C' and D' from b' to a' such that C' cannot be deformed into D' and such that $b \leq b'$, $a' \leq a$ where either $b < b'$ or $a' < a$.*

PROOF. *Case 1.* C and D have in common the element e , $b < e < a$. Then either C_b^e cannot be deformed into D_b^e , or C_e^a cannot be deformed into D_e^a . In these two cases, set $b' = b$, $a' = e$ or $b' = e$, $a' = a$, respectively.

Case 2. C and D have no elements in common. Since C cannot be deformed into D , they cannot together constitute a simple cycle. There will then exist, say, elements c in C and d in D with $b < c < a$, $b < d < a$ and an element m in P with $c \leq m < a$, $d \leq m < a$. Because of the hypothesis that every chain in P joining two elements is finite, there will exist in P finite complete chains E_m^a , F_c^m , G_d^m . Then b is joined to a by four complete chains,

$$\begin{array}{cc} C_b^c + C_c^a, & C_b^c + F_c^m + E_m^a, \\ D_b^d + G_d^m + E_m^a, & D_b^d + D_d^a. \end{array}$$

Received by the editors December 15, 1942.

¹ Oystein Ore, *Chains in partially ordered sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 49 (1943) pp. 558-566.

² Terminology and notation follow the paper of Ore.

Since C cannot be deformed into D , one of the following three deformations must be impossible:

$$\begin{aligned} C_c^a \rightarrow F_c^m + E_m^a, & \quad C_b^c + F_c^m \rightarrow D_b^d + G_d^m, \\ G_d^m + E_m^a \rightarrow D_d^a. \end{aligned}$$

In the first case we set $a' = a$, $b' = c$; in the second case, $a' = m$, $b' = b$; in the third case $a' = a$, $b' = d$. In each case we have the conclusion of the lemma.

To prove the theorem, suppose that P were to contain two complete chains C and D joining b to a in such wise that C cannot be deformed into D . By induction on n , the lemma gives in P elements $a = a_0 \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$ and $b = b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_n \leq a_n$ such that for each i either $a_{i-1} > a_i$ or $b_{i-1} < b_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and such that there are complete chains C_n, D_n joining b_n to a_n with C_n not deformable into D_n . This construction can be carried on indefinitely, using the axiom of choice to select at each stage a definite pair a_{n+1}, b_{n+1} . This produces two sequences of elements a_i, b_i with

$$b_0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq \cdots \leq a_2 \leq a_1 \leq a_0.$$

Furthermore, the inequality sign holds an infinite number of times here, so that we obtain an infinite chain joining $b = b_0$ to $a = a_0$, contrary to the hypothesis of the theorem.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY