

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p u_p}{r} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k u_{p+k} + \left( \frac{2}{r e^{i\theta}} \right) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^{m+n} \frac{\alpha_k \gamma_\nu u_{p+k+\nu+1}}{2p + 2\nu + 1}$$

if  $E$  has the form II; and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p u_p}{r} + \left( \frac{2}{r e^{i\theta}} \right) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left( \frac{\alpha_k \beta_\nu}{2p + 2\nu + 1} \right) u_{p+k+\nu+1} \\ + \gamma_0 u_p + \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \left( \gamma_k - \frac{2}{r e^{i\theta}} \gamma_{k-1} \right) u_{p+k} \end{aligned}$$

if  $E$  has the form III.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AT MILWAUKEE

## ON GAUSS' AND TCHEBYCHEFF'S QUADRATURE FORMULAS

J. GERONIMUS

The well known Gauss' Quadrature Formula

$$(1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_k(x) d\psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^{(n)} G_k(\xi_i^{(n)})$$

is valid for every polynomial  $G_k(x)$ , of degree  $k \leq 2n-1$ , the  $\{\xi_i^{(n)}\}$  being the roots of the polynomial  $P_n(x)$ , orthogonal with respect to the distribution  $d\psi(x)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n; n=1, 2, \dots$ ).<sup>1</sup> If the sequence  $\{P_n(x)\}$  is that of Tchebycheff (trigonometric) polynomials, then the Christoffel numbers  $\rho_i^{(n)}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , are equal, and the two quadrature formulas of Gauss and Tchebycheff coincide:

$$(2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_k(x) d\psi(x) = \rho_n \sum_{i=1}^n G_k(\xi_i^{(n)}), \quad k \leq 2n-1; n=1, 2, \dots$$

The converse—that this is the only case of coincidence of these formulas—was proved by R. P. Bailey [1a] and, under more restrictive conditions, by Krawtchouk [1b] (cf. also [2]).<sup>2</sup>

We shall give here four distinct proofs of this statement, without imposing any restrictions on  $\psi(x)$ .

Received by the editors June 1, 1943.

<sup>1</sup>  $\psi(x)$  is a bounded non-decreasing function, with infinitely many points of increase, for which all moments exist:  $c_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\psi(x); n=0, 1, 2, \dots$

<sup>2</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

Consider the sequence of complex numbers  $\{c_n\}$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , subject to the conditions

$$(3) \quad \Delta_{n+1} \equiv |c_{i+k}|_{i,k=0}^n \neq 0; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider also the Stieltjes linear functional  $\sigma$ , with

$$(4) \quad \sigma(x^k) = c_k; \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and the polynomials  $\{P_n(x)\}$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , orthogonal relative to the sequence  $\{c_n\}$ , that is [3, 4]

$$(5) \quad \sigma\{P_n(x)P_m(x)\} = \begin{cases} 0, & m \neq n, \\ h_n \equiv \Delta_{n+1}/\Delta_n \neq 0, & m = n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\{\xi_i^{(n)}\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the roots, distinct or not, of  $P_n(x)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ .

I. The first method of proving our statement consists in proving the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *From the validity of the formula*

$$(6) \quad \rho_n \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(n)})^k = c_k, \quad n \geq \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right],$$

for  $k \leq 2$ , it follows that the  $\{P_n(x)\}$  are the Tchebycheff polynomials, so that (6) holds for all integral  $k$ .

Introduce the mean of order  $\nu$  of the numbers  $\{\xi_i^{(n)}\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ :

$$(7) \quad \mu_\nu^{(n)} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(n)})^\nu \right]^{1/\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots; n = 1, 2, \dots$$

On equating the coefficients of  $x^{n-1}$  and  $x^{n-2}$  on both sides of the recurrence relation

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_n(x) &= (x - \alpha_n)P_{n-1}(x) - \lambda_n P_{n-2}(x), \\ \lambda_n &\neq 0, \quad P_{-1} \equiv 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(9) \quad \delta_1^{(n)} = - \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k, \quad \delta_2^{(n)} = - \sum_{k=2}^n \lambda_k + \sum_{r=2}^n \alpha_r \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \alpha_k,$$

where we let

$$(9') \quad P_n(x) = x^n + \delta_1^{(n)} x^{n-1} + \delta_2^{(n)} x^{n-2} + \dots + \delta_n^{(n)}.$$

On putting  $k=0, 1, 2$  in (6) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \rho_n = c_0/n; \quad \mu_1^{(n)} = c_1/c_0, \quad n \geq 1; \quad \mu_2^{(n)} = c_2/c_0, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Since, by (9) and (9'),

$$(11) \quad n\mu_1^{(n)} = -\delta_1^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k,$$

$$n(\mu_2^{(n)})^2 = (\delta_1^{(n)})^2 - 2\delta_2^{(n)} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k\right)^2 + 2\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k - 2\sum_{r=2}^n \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \alpha_k,$$

we find:

$$\alpha_1 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)/2 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)/3 = \dots,$$

whence we have the fundamental result:

$$(12) \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha,$$

and similarly,

$$(12') \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \dots = \lambda_2/2 = \lambda.$$

Here  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are independent of  $n$ . The solution of (8) under these conditions is

$$(13) \quad P_n(x) = [(x - \alpha + ((x - \alpha)^2 - 4\lambda)^{1/2})^n + (x - \alpha - ((x - \alpha)^2 - 4\lambda)^{1/2})^n]/2^n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which shows that the  $\{P_n(x)\}$  are the Tchebycheff polynomials, whence the validity of (6) for  $k=3, 4, \dots$  follows.

We have found incidentally the following property of the Tchebycheff polynomials.

*COROLLARY. If the arithmetic mean and the root-mean-square of the roots  $\{\xi_i^{(n)}\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , of the orthogonal polynomials  $P_n(x)$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , do not depend on  $n$ , the means of all orders  $\nu \leq 2n-1$  possess the same property, and the  $\{P_n(x)\}$  are the Tchebycheff polynomials (13).*

II. Define

$$R_{n-1}(y) = \sigma\{(P_n(y) - P_n(x))/(y - x)\},$$

a polynomial of degree  $n-1$ ;  $n=1, 2, \dots$ . Our second proof consists in showing that (6) implies

$$(14) \quad R_{n-1}(y) \equiv (c_0/n)P_n'(y), \quad n \geq 2.$$

From (6), wherein  $\rho_n$  necessarily equals  $c_0/n$ , we get, by virtue of (4),

$$\sigma(x^k) = \frac{c_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i^{(n)})^k; \quad \sigma(G_k(x)) = \frac{c_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_k(\xi_i^{(n)}), \quad n \geq \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right].$$

The desired relation

$$R_{n-1}(y) = \frac{c_0}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{P_n(y) - P_n(\xi_i^{(n)})}{y - \xi_i^{(n)}} = \frac{c_0}{n} P_n(y) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y - \xi_i^{(n)}} \equiv \frac{c_0}{n} P_n'(y)$$

follows. Now there are different methods of showing that (14) implies (13).

II<sub>1</sub>. This statement is proved in a note [2] as a particular case of more general theorems.

II<sub>2</sub>. Introduce the polynomials  $\{P_n^{(i)}(x)\}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ —the denominators of the convergents of order  $n$  of the continued fractions<sup>3</sup>

$$(15) \quad \frac{\lambda_i}{|x - \alpha_i|} - \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{|x - \alpha_{i+1}|} - \dots, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots.$$

We have  $P_n^{(1)}(x) \equiv P_n(x)$ ;  $P_n^{(2)}(x) \equiv (1/c_0)R_n(x)$ ,  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

It is easy to show that

$$(16) \quad P_n(x) = (x - \alpha_1)P_{n-1}^{(2)}(x) - \lambda_2 P_{n-2}^{(3)}(x), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots.$$

On the other hand

$$(17) \quad R_{n-1}(x) = (x - \alpha_n)R_{n-2}(x) - \lambda_n R_{n-3}(x), \quad n = 3, 4, \dots.$$

Using (8), (14) and (17), we find that

$$(18) \quad P_{n-1}(x) = (x - \alpha_n)P_{n-2}^{(2)}(x) - 2\lambda_n P_{n-3}^{(2)}(x), \quad n = 3, 4, \dots,$$

which gives, in conjunction with (16),

$$(19) \quad (\alpha_1 - \alpha_n)P_{n-2}^{(2)}(x) = 2\lambda_n P_{n-3}^{(2)}(x) - \lambda_2 P_{n-3}^{(3)}(x), \quad n = 3, 4, \dots.$$

Hence

$$(20) \quad \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \dots = \alpha_1 = \alpha; \quad \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \dots = \lambda_2/2 = \lambda; \\ P_{n-3}^{(2)}(x) \equiv P_{n-3}^{(3)}(x).$$

This identity, for  $n=4$ , gives  $\alpha_2 = \alpha$ , and thus we have arrived again at (12, 12').

II<sub>3</sub>. On putting

---

<sup>3</sup> They were introduced by Stieltjes [5]; cf. also Perron [6].

$$(21) \quad R_n(y) = c_0(y^n + d_1^{(n)} y^{n-1} + \cdots + d_n^{(n)}),$$

we find from (17) the relations

$$(22) \quad d_1^{(n-1)} = - \sum_{k=2}^n \alpha_k, \quad d_2^{(n-1)} = \sum_{k=3}^n \alpha_k \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \alpha_r - \sum_{k=3}^n \lambda_k$$

analogous to (9). From (14) we find

$$(23) \quad n d_1^{(n-1)} = (n-1) \delta_1^{(n)},$$

whence again  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha$ ; and in the same way, the condition

$$(24) \quad n d_2^{(n-1)} = (n-2) \delta_2^{(n)}$$

again implies  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \cdots = \lambda_2/2 = \lambda$ .

We have found incidentally the following property of the Tchebycheff polynomials.

**COROLLARY.** *If the three highest coefficients of the polynomials  $\{nR_{n-1}(x)\}$  and  $\{c_0P'_n(x)\}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \cdots$ , coincide, then these polynomials are identical, and the  $\{P_n(x)\}$  are the Tchebycheff polynomials (13).*

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. a. R. P. Bailey, *Convergence of sequences of linear operators*, Duke Math. J. vol. 2 (1936) pp. 285-303. b. M. Krawtchouk, *Sur une question algébrique dans le problème des moments*, Journal de l'Institut mathématique, Académie des sciences d'Ukraine, vol. 2 (1934) pp. 87-92.
2. J. Besikovitch, *Calculus of finite differences* (in Russian), Leningrad, 1939.
3. J. Geronimus, *On polynomials orthogonal with regard to a given sequence of numbers and on Hahn's theorem*, Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS. vol. 4 (1940) pp. 215-228.
4. ——— *On polynomials orthogonal with regard to a given sequence of numbers*, Transactions of the Kharkoff Mathematical Society vol. 17 (1940) pp. 3-18.
5. T. Stieltjes, *Recherches sur les fractions continues*, Oeuvres, vol. 2, pp. 402-566.
6. O. Perron, *Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen*, Leipzig, 1939.

KHARKOFF STATE UNIVERSITY