

## ON PROXIMATE ORDERS OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS

S. M. SHAH

Let  $f(z)$  be an integral function of finite order  $\rho$  and let  $M(r) = \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ . It is possible to find<sup>1</sup> a positive continuous function  $\rho(r)$  having the following properties.

(1)  $\rho(r)$  is differentiable for  $r > r_0$  except at isolated points at which  $\rho'(r-0)$  and  $\rho'(r+0)$  exist;

$$(2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r) = \rho;$$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \rho'(r) \log r = 0;$$

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = 1.$$

Such a function is called a Lindelöf's proximate order for the integral function  $f(z)$ . The proof given by Valiron for the existence of proximate orders is based on some rather deep results due to Blumenthal. The object of this note is to give a particularly simple proof of the existence of proximate orders. The proof given here makes no use of the special properties of  $M(r)$  and is therefore of wider scope.

Let  $\sigma(r) = \log \log M(r) / \log r$ . Either (A)  $\sigma(r) > \rho$  for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity, or (B)  $\sigma(r) \leq \rho$  for all large  $r$ .

In case (A) we define  $\phi(r) = \max_{x \geq r} \{\sigma(x)\}$ . Since  $\sigma(r)$  is continuous,  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(r) = \rho$  and  $\sigma(r) > \rho$  for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity. Therefore  $\phi(r)$  exists.  $\phi(r)$  is a nonincreasing function of  $r$ .

Let  $r_1 > e^{e^e}$  and  $\phi(r_1) = \sigma(r_1)$ . Such values will exist for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity.

Let  $\rho(r_1) = \phi(r_1)$ . Let  $t_1$  be the smallest integer not less than  $1 + r_1$  such that  $\phi(r_1) > \phi(t_1)$ , and let  $\rho(r) = \rho(r_1) = \phi(r_1)$  for  $r_1 < r \leq t_1$ .

Define  $u_1$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &> t_1, \\ \rho(r) &= \rho(r_1) - \log \log \log r + \log \log \log t_1 && \text{for } t_1 \leq r \leq u_1, \\ \rho(r) &= \phi(r) && \text{for } r = u_1, \end{aligned}$$

but  $\rho(r) > \phi(r)$  for  $t_1 \leq r < u_1$ . Let  $r_2$  be the smallest value of  $r$  for which  $r_2 \geq u_1$  and

---

Received by the editors November 10, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> G. Valiron, *Lectures on the general theory of integral functions*, Cambridge, 1923, pp. 64-67.

$$\phi(r_2) = \sigma(r_2).$$

If  $r_2 > u_1$ , then let  $\rho(r) = \phi(r)$  for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . Since  $\phi(r)$  is constant for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ , therefore  $\rho(r)$  is constant for  $u_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ . We repeat the argument and obtain that  $\rho(r)$  is differentiable in adjacent intervals. Further

$$\rho'(r) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{-1}{r \log r \log \log r},$$

and  $\rho(r) \geq \phi(r) \geq \sigma(r)$ , for all  $r \geq r_1$ . Further  $\rho(r) = \sigma(r)$  for an infinity of values of  $r = r_1, r_2, \dots$ ,  $\rho(r)$  is nonincreasing and  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(r) = \rho$ . Hence

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r) = \rho,$$

and since  $\log M(r) = r^{\sigma(r)} = r^{\rho(r)}$  for an infinity of  $r$ ,  $\log M(r) < r^{\rho(r)}$  for the remaining  $r$ , therefore  $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \log M(r) / r^{\rho(r)} = 1$ .

Let  $\sigma(r) \leq \rho$  for all large  $r$  (case (B)). Here there are two possibilities:

$$(B.1) \quad \sigma(r) = \rho$$

for at least a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity;

$$(B.2) \quad \sigma(r) < \rho$$

for all large values of  $r$ .

In case (B.1) we take  $\rho(r) = \rho$  for all values of  $r$ .

In case (B.2) let  $\xi(r) = \max_{X \leq x \leq r} \{\sigma(x)\}$  where  $X > e^e$  is such that  $\sigma(x) < \rho$  whenever  $x \geq X$ .  $\xi(r)$  is nondecreasing. Take a suitably large value of  $r_1 > X$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(r_1) &= \rho, \\ \rho(r) &= \rho + \log \log \log r - \log \log \log r_1 \quad \text{for } s_1 \leq r \leq r_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s_1 < r_1$  is such that  $\xi(s_1) = \rho(s_1)$ . If  $\xi(s_1) \neq \sigma(s_1)$ , then we take  $\rho(r) = \xi(r)$  up to the nearest point  $t_1 < s_1$  at which  $\xi(t_1) = \sigma(t_1)$ .  $\rho(r)$  is then constant for  $t_1 \leq r \leq s_1$ .

If  $\xi(s_1) = \sigma(s_1)$ , then let  $t_1 = s_1$ .

Choose  $r_2 > r_1$  suitably large and let  $\rho(r_2) = \rho$ ,

$$\rho(r) = \rho + \log \log \log r - \log \log \log r_2 \quad \text{for } s_2 \leq r \leq r_2$$

where  $s_2 (< r_2)$  is such that<sup>2</sup>  $\xi(s_2) = \rho(s_2)$ . If  $\xi(s_2) \neq \sigma(s_2)$ , then let

<sup>2</sup>  $s_2$  is given by the largest positive root of  $\xi(s_2) = \rho - \log \log \log r_2 + \log \log \log s_2$ .

$\rho(r) = \xi(r)$  for  $t_2 \leq r \leq s_2$  where  $t_2 (< s_2)$  is the point nearest to  $s_2$  at which  $\xi(t_2) = \sigma(t_2)$ . If  $\xi(s_2) = \sigma(s_2)$ , then let  $t_2 = s_2$ . For  $r < t_2$  let  $\rho(r) = \rho(t_2) + \log \log \log t_2 - \log \log \log r$  for  $u_1 \leq r \leq t_2$  where  $u_1 (< t_2)$  is the point of intersection of  $y = \rho$  with  $y = \rho(t_2) + \log \log \log t_2 - \log \log \log r$ .

Let  $\rho(r) = \rho$  for  $r_1 \leq r \leq u_1$ . It is always possible to choose  $r_2$  so large that  $r_1 < u_1$ . We repeat the procedure and note that

$$\rho(r) \geq \xi(r) \geq \sigma(r)$$

and  $\rho(r) = \sigma(r)$  for  $r = t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ . Hence  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \rho(r) = \rho$ , and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho(r)}} = 1.$$

MUSLIM UNIVERSITY

## A NOTE ON THE SPECTRAL THEOREM

WILLIAM F. EBERLEIN

**1. Introduction.** Although the connections between the spectral resolution of a self-adjoint transformation in Hilbert space, the moment problem, and Riesz' integral representation [1]<sup>1</sup> for linear functionals on the space  $C$  are known (cf. Stone [2], Murray [3], Widder [4], Lengyel [5]), the following elementary derivation of the spectral theorem from the Riesz theorem exhibits the connections in, perhaps, the simplest light. We consider only *bounded* self-adjoint transformations  $H$ ; one can treat an unbounded  $H$  by considering  $(I + H^2)^{-1}$ , which is bounded and self-adjoint [3, p. 95]. Note that the derivation does not involve the separability of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**2. Six lemmas.** Let  $H$  be a self-adjoint transformation with the bounds  $a, b$ —that is,  $a\|f\|^2 \leq (Hf, f) \leq b\|f\|^2$  for all  $f \in H$ , and  $\|H\| = \max(|a|, |b|)$ . Denote by  $C$  the space of continuous real-valued functions defined on the closed interval  $(a, b)$ , with  $\|f(x)\| = \max |f(x)|$  ( $a \leq x \leq b$ ). Let  $p(x) = \sum_0^n c_j x^j$  be any polynomial with real coefficients, and let  $p(H)$  be the corresponding transformation  $p(H) = \sum_0^n c_j H^j$ , where  $H^0 = I$ .

Received by the editors September 6, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper.