

## ON RINGS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

LIPMAN BERS

Let  $D$  be a domain in the complex plane (Riemann sphere) and  $R(D)$  the totality of one-valued regular analytic functions defined in  $D$ . With the usual definitions of addition and multiplication  $R(D)$  becomes a commutative ring (in fact, a domain of integrity). A one-to-one conformal transformation  $\zeta = \phi(z)$  of  $D$  onto a domain  $\Delta$  induces an isomorphism  $f \rightarrow f^*$  between  $R(D)$  and  $R(\Delta): f(z) = f^*[\phi(z)]$ . An anti-conformal transformation

$$\zeta = \overline{\phi(z)}$$

also induces an isomorphism:

$$\overline{f(z)} = f^*[\overline{\phi(z)}].$$

The purpose of this note is to prove the converses of these statements.

**THEOREM I.** *If  $R(D)$  is isomorphic to  $R(\Delta)$ , then there exists either a conformal or an anti-conformal transformation which maps  $D$  onto  $\Delta$ .<sup>1</sup>*

**THEOREM II.** *If  $D$  and  $\Delta$  possess boundary points, then every isomorphism between  $R(D)$  and  $R(\Delta)$  is induced by a conformal or an anti-conformal transformation of  $D$  onto  $\Delta$ .*

Theorem I may be regarded as a complex variable analogue of theorems characterizing a topological space in terms of the family of its continuous functions. If  $R(D)$  is made into a topological ring by defining  $f_n \rightarrow f$  to mean that  $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$  uniformly in every bounded closed subset of  $D$ , then Theorem II implies that, except for a trivial special case, every isomorphism between  $R(D)$  and  $R(\Delta)$  is of necessity a homeomorphism.

To prove the theorems we consider a fixed isomorphism between  $R(D)$  and  $R(\Delta)$ . It takes a function  $f(z)$ ,  $z \in D$ , into a function  $f^*(\zeta)$ ,  $\zeta \in \Delta$ , a set  $S \subset R(D)$  into a set  $S^* \subset R(\Delta)$ . Let  $c$  be a complex constant.

---

Presented to the Society, November 2, 1946; received by the editors May 27, 1947.

<sup>1</sup> After this paper was completed the author learned about a closely related unpublished result which was obtained by C. Chevalley and S. Kakutani several years ago. Chevalley and Kakutani proved that if to each boundary point  $W$  of  $B$  there exists a bounded analytic function defined in  $B$  and possessing at  $W$  a singularity then  $B$  is determined (modulo a conformal transformation) by the ring of all bounded analytic functions. The author is indebted to Professor Chevalley for the opportunity of reading a draft of the paper containing the proof.

For the sake of brevity we denote the element of  $R(D)$  corresponding to the functions  $f(z) \equiv c$  (or the element of  $R(\Delta)$  corresponding to the function  $g(\zeta) \equiv c$ ) by the letter  $c$ . We call a complex number rational if its real and imaginary parts are rational.

LEMMA 1. *Either  $i^* = i$  and for every rational complex constant  $r: r^* = r$ , or  $i^* = -i$  and  $r^* = \bar{r}$ .*

The proof is clear.

LEMMA 2. *If  $c$  is a constant, so is  $c^*$ .*

PROOF. If  $c$  is rational the assertion is contained in the preceding lemma. Irrational constants  $c$  are characterized by the existence of the inverse of the element  $c - r$  for every rational constant  $r$ .

LEMMA 3. *All elements of  $R(D)$  are constants if and only if  $D$  is the whole complex plane including the point at infinity.*

The proof is clear.

Lemmas 2 and 3 contain the proof of Theorem I for the case when  $D$  is the domain  $0 \leq |z| \leq \infty$ . In what follows we consider only domains possessing boundary points. Without loss of generality we assume that neither  $D$  nor  $\Delta$  contains the point at infinity. We also assume that  $i^* = i$ ; the case  $i^* = -i$  can be treated in the same way.

We denote the set of all functions belonging to  $R(D)$  and vanishing at a point  $a \in D$  by  $I_a$ . The set  $I_\alpha \subset R(\Delta)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , is defined similarly.

LEMMA 4. *There exists a one-to-one mapping  $z \rightarrow z' = \phi(z)$  of  $D$  onto  $\Delta$  such that  $I_a^* = I_{\phi(a)}$ .*

PROOF. Every element of  $R(D)$  generates a principal ideal  $(f)$ , that is, the set of all elements of the form  $fh$ ,  $h \in R(D)$ .  $(f)$  is said to be a maximal principal ideal if  $(f) \neq R(D)$  and if  $(f) \subset (g) \neq R(D)$  implies that  $(f) = (g)$ . It is clear that  $(f)^* = (f^*)$  and that  $(f^*)$  is a m. p. ideal if and only if  $(f)$  is. Hence Lemma 4 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

LEMMA 5.  *$(f)$  is a m. p. ideal if and only if  $(f) = I_a$ .*

PROOF.  $I_a$  is the principal ideal generated by the function  $z - a$ . If  $I_a \subset (g) \neq R(D)$ , then  $g(z)$  must possess zeros in  $D$ . Since  $z - a = g(z)h(z)$ ,  $h \in R(D)$ ,  $g(a) = 0$  and  $g \in I_a$ . On the other hand, if  $f(z)$  has no zeros in  $D$ , then  $(f) = R(D)$ , and if  $f(a) = f'(a) = 0$ , or if  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ ,  $a \neq b$ , then  $(f)$  is contained in and different from the

principal ideal generated by the function  $z-a$ . It follows that  $(f)$  is a m.p. ideal if and only if  $f(z) = (z-a)e^{h(z)}$ ,  $a \in D$ ,  $h \in R(D)$ .

LEMMA 6. *For every point  $z_0 \in D$ ,  $f(z_0)^* = f^*[\phi(z_0)]$ .*

PROOF. If  $c$  is a constant such that  $f(z_0) = c$ , then  $c-f$  belongs to  $I_{z_0}$ , so that  $c^* - f^*$  belongs to  $I_{\phi(z_0)}$  and  $f^*[\phi(z_0)] = c^*$ .

The following two lemmas are immediate consequences of Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. *If  $z_0 \in D$  and  $f(z_0)$  is a rational number, then  $f(z_0) = f^*[\phi(z_0)]$ .*

LEMMA 8. *If  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $D$ , then  $f^*(\zeta)$  is univalent in  $\Delta$ .*

LEMMA 9. *Let  $f(z)$  be a univalent function defined in  $D$ , let  $f(D)$  be the image of  $D$  under the transformation  $w=f(z)$ , and let  $W$  be the (finite) limit of a convergent sequence of distinct rational points  $\{w_n\}$  belonging to  $f(D)$ .  $W$  is a boundary point of  $f(D)$  if and only if there exists a function  $g(z) \in R(D)$  such that  $g[h(w_n)] = n$ ,  $h(w)$  being the function inverse to  $w=f(z)$ .*

PROOF. If  $W$  is a boundary point of  $f(D)$ , choose an entire function  $F(Z)$  such that  $F[(W-w_n)^{-1}] = n$ . The function  $g(z) = F\{[W-f(z)]^{-1}\}$  satisfies the conditions of the lemma. On the other hand, if  $W$  is an interior point of  $f(D)$ ,  $W=f(a)$ ,  $a \in D$ , and for every  $g(z) \in R(D)$ ,  $\lim g[h(w_n)]$  exists and is finite.

LEMMA 10. *Let  $f(z)$  be a univalent function defined in  $D$ , so that  $f^*(\zeta)$  is a univalent function defined in  $\Delta$ . The domains  $f(D)$  and  $f^*(\Delta)$  are identical.*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 7 that the rational points belonging to  $f(D)$  are identical with the rational points belonging to  $f^*(\Delta)$ .  $f(D)$  is the set of all limit points of its rational points, except those limit points which lie on the boundary of  $f(D)$ . A similar remark applies to  $f^*(\Delta)$ . But Lemmas 7 and 9 imply that if a sequence of rational points from  $f(D)$  converges to a boundary point  $W$ ,  $W$  is a boundary point of  $f^*(\Delta)$ .

Lemma 10 contains the proof of Theorem I for domains possessing boundary points.

The proof of Theorem II depends on the following lemma.

LEMMA 11. *If  $D$  possesses boundary points, then  $c^* = c$  for every constant  $c$ .*

We prove this lemma in several steps. Let  $B$  be any domain. By

$m[B]$  we denote the set of all complex numbers  $d$  such that the translation  $Z = z + d$  maps  $B$  onto itself.

LEMMA 12. *For every univalent function  $f \in R(D)$  and for every constant  $c$  the difference  $c - c^*$  belongs to  $m[f(D)]$ .*

PROOF. The function  $f_1 = f + c$  is univalent in  $D$ , and the functions  $f^*$  and  $f_1^* = f^* + c^*$  are univalent in  $\Delta$  (Lemma 8). By virtue of Lemma 10,  $f(D)$  is identical with  $f^*(\Delta)$ , and  $f_1(D)$  is identical with  $f_1^*(\Delta)$ . But a translation by  $c$  takes  $f(D)$  into  $f_1(D)$  and a translation by  $-c^*$  takes  $f_1^*(\Delta)$  into  $f^*(\Delta)$ . Thus the translation by  $c - c^*$  leaves  $f(D)$  invariant.

LEMMA 13. *If  $D$  possesses finite boundary points, then there exists a univalent function  $f \in R(D)$  such that  $m[f(D)]$  is a discrete set.*

PROOF. Let  $f$  be a fixed univalent function defined in  $D$ . The set  $m[f(D)]$  is closed and a modul, that is, it contains  $d_1 - d_2$  whenever it contains  $d_1$  and  $d_2$ . Assume that  $m[f(D)]$  is not discrete. Then it either contains all points, or all points of a straight line. If  $W$  is a finite boundary point of  $f(D)$ , every point  $W + d$ ,  $d \in m[f(D)]$ , is a boundary point. It follows that the boundary of  $f(D)$  contains a finite straight segment  $S$ . Let  $Z(w)$  be the function which maps the domain exterior to  $S$  conformally onto  $|Z| < 1$ . The function  $g(z) = Z[f(z)]$  is univalent and bounded in  $D$ . It follows that  $m[g(D)]$  contains only the point 0.

Now we can prove Lemma 11 under the hypothesis of Lemma 13. Let  $f \in R(D)$  be univalent and such that  $m[f(D)]$  is discrete. For every positive number  $t$  set  $f_t = tf$ . By Lemma 12 the difference  $c - c^*$  belongs to  $m[f_t(D)]$ , that is, the number  $(c - c^*)/t$  belongs to  $m[f(D)]$ . It follows that  $c - c^* = 0$ .<sup>2</sup>

It remains to establish Lemma 11 for the case of the whole finite plane.

LEMMA 14. *If  $D$  is the domain  $|z| < \infty$  and  $\Delta$  the domain  $|\zeta| < \infty$ , then  $c_n \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $c_n^* \rightarrow \infty$ .*

PROOF. Set  $f(z) = z$ . Then  $f^*(\zeta)$  is univalent in  $\Delta$ , that is,  $f^*(\zeta) = A\zeta + B$ ,  $A, B = \text{const.}$ ,  $A \neq 0$ . For this  $f$  and for  $z_0 = c$ , Lemma 6 yields  $c^* = A\phi(c) + B$ . Hence  $c_n^* \rightarrow \infty$  whenever  $\phi(c_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . But  $c_n \rightarrow \infty$

<sup>2</sup> An alternative argument was suggested to the author by C. Loewner. Assume that  $c - c^* = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $r \neq 0$ . It is easy to see that for any univalent  $f$  and for any domain  $D$  satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 13 there exists a (finite or semi-infinite) straight segment  $S$  whose interior points belong to  $f(D)$  and whose end points are boundary points of  $f(D)$ . By a linear transformation we can achieve that  $S$  be the semi-infinite segment  $z = te^{i\theta}$ ,  $t > 0$ . It follows that  $c - c^*$  does not belong to  $m[f(D)]$ .

implies the existence of a function  $g \in R(D)$  such that  $g(c_n)$  is rational and  $g(c_n) \rightarrow \infty$ . By Lemma 7,  $g^*[\phi(c_n)] \rightarrow \infty$ , from which it follows that  $\phi(c_n) \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to prove Lemma 11 under the hypothesis of Lemma 14 we note that the transformation  $c \rightarrow c^*$  is an automorphism of the complex field. This automorphism is continuous by virtue of Lemma 14. Hence  $c^* = c$ , for we assumed that  $i^* = i$ .

Lemma 11 being established, Lemma 6 yields the following lemma.

LEMMA 15. *If  $D$  possesses boundary points, then  $f(z_0) = f^*[\phi(z_0)]$  for every  $z_0 \in D$ .*

This lemma would contain Theorem II if we would know that  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in  $D$ . To show this we select for  $f$  the function  $f(z) = z$ . Lemma 15 shows that  $\phi$  is the function inverse to the univalent (analytic) function  $f^*$ .

In the statement of Theorem I the ring of *all* analytic functions cannot be replaced by the subring  $B(D)$  of all bounded analytic functions defined in  $D$ , even if  $B(D)$  is treated as a normed ring (with  $\|f\| = \text{l.u.b. } |f(z)|$ ), and the isomorphism between  $B(D)$  and  $B(\Delta)$  is required to be norm preserving.<sup>3</sup> In fact, let  $D$  be the domain  $|z| < 1$ , and let  $\Delta$  be the domain  $0 < |z| < 1$ . The normed rings  $B(D)$  and  $B(\Delta)$  are identical.<sup>4</sup>

Neither is it possible to replace  $R(D)$  by the linear space  $L(D)$  of all analytic functions defined in  $D$ , even if  $L(D)$  is considered to be a topological space (with the topology defined above) and the isomorphism between  $L(D)$  and  $L(\Delta)$  is required to be a homeomorphism.

In fact, let  $D$  be the domain  $0 < r < |z| < 1$ , and let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  denote the domains  $|z| < 1$  and  $|z| > r$ , respectively. Every function  $f \in L(D)$  admits a unique Laurent decomposition:  $f(z) = g(z) + h(z)/z$ ,  $g \in L(D_1)$ ,  $h \in L(D_2)$ . It is easy to see that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  if and only if  $g_n \rightarrow g$  and  $h_n \rightarrow h$ . On the other hand, the linear subspace of  $L(D_2)$  consisting of all functions of the form  $h(z)/z$ ,  $h \in L(D_2)$ , is topologically isomorphic to  $L(D_2)$ , and  $L(D_2)$  is topologically isomorphic to  $L(D_1)$ . It follows that  $L(D)$  is topologically isomorphic to the direct sum of two spaces  $L(D_1)$ . Thus  $L(D)$  considered as an abstract linear topological space is independent of  $r$ .

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

<sup>3</sup> As a matter of fact, every isomorphism is.

<sup>4</sup> Cf., however, footnote 1.