

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

The purpose of this department is to provide early announcement of significant new results, with some indications of proof. Although ordinarily a research announcement should be a brief summary of a paper to be published in full elsewhere, papers giving complete proofs of results of exceptional interest are also solicited.

POLYHEDRAL HOMOTOPY-SPHERES

BY JOHN R. STALLINGS¹

Communicated by Edwin Moise, July 18, 1960

It has been conjectured that a manifold which is a homotopy sphere is topologically a sphere. This conjecture has implications, for example, in the theory of differentiable structures on spheres (see, e.g., [3, p. 33]).

Here I shall sketch a proof of the following theorem:

Let M be a piecewise-linear manifold of dimension $n \geq 7$, which has the same homotopy-type as the n -sphere S^n . Then there is a piecewise-linear equivalence of $M - \{\text{point}\}$ with euclidean n -space; in particular, M is topologically equivalent to S^n .

This theorem is not the best possible, for C. Zeeman has been able to refine the method presented here so as to prove the same theorem for $n \geq 5$.

A *piecewise-linear n -manifold* is a polyhedron with a linear triangulation satisfying the condition that the link of each vertex is combinatorially equivalent to the standard $(n-1)$ -sphere; all the manifolds with which I am concerned here have no boundary. In general, all the spaces in this paper will be polyhedra, finite or infinite, and each map will be polyhedral, i.e., induced by a simplicial map of linear triangulations.

Let K be a finite subpolyhedron of the finite polyhedron L ; let K' be a finite subpolyhedron of the finite polyhedron L' ; let $f: L \rightarrow L'$ be a polyhedral map. f is called a *relative equivalence* $(L, K) \Rightarrow (L', K')$, if $f(K) \subset K'$ and $L - K$ is mapped by f in a 1-1 manner onto $L' - K'$.

Recall J. H. C. Whitehead's definition of contraction [7, p. 247]: If the simplicial complex A has a simplex σ^p which is the face of just one simplex τ^{p+1} , and B is the simplicial complex obtained from A by removing the open simplexes σ^p and τ^{p+1} , then $A \rightarrow B$ is called an *elementary contraction* at σ^p . A finite sequence of elementary contractions is a *contraction*.

If K is a finite subpolyhedron of the finite polyhedron L , then it is said that L *contracts onto* K , if there is a linear triangulation A of L ,

¹ The author has a fellowship of the National Science Foundation, U.S.A.

such that a subcomplex B of A triangulates K , and such that $A \rightarrow B$ is a contraction.

LEMMA 1. *If L contracts onto K and $(L, K) \Rightarrow (L', K')$ is a relative equivalence, then L' contracts onto K' .*

This can be shown by the methods of Whitehead [cf. 6, Theorem 1; 7, Theorem 6 and Theorem 7].

LEMMA 2. *Let M be a piecewise-linear manifold, and let L be a subpolyhedron which contracts onto $K \subset L$, and let U be a neighborhood of K in M . Then there is a piecewise-linear equivalence $h: M \rightarrow M$, such that $h(U)$ is a neighborhood of L [cf. 7, Theorem 23].*

The proof can be reduced to the case where $B \subset A \subset C$ are triangulations of $K \subset L \subset M$ respectively, and $A \rightarrow B$ is an elementary contraction at σ^p . It can then be reduced, due to the local euclidean nature of M and the local nature of an elementary contraction, to the case when M is euclidean space; the proof in this case is obvious.

An n -element E is a polyhedron equivalent to the standard geometric n -simplex. $\text{Int } E$ will denote the subset of E which corresponds to the interior of the n -simplex.

The following lemma was noticed, for $n=3$, by Moise [4].

LEMMA 3. *Let the polyhedron M contain two n -elements E_1 and E_2 , such that $M = \text{Int } E_1 \cup \text{Int } E_2$. Then $M - \{\text{point}\}$ is piecewise-linearly equivalent to euclidean n -space; in particular M is topologically a sphere.*

This can be proved by the methods of B. Mazur [2] or M. Brown [1].

If K is a finite polyhedron and Q is the nonsingular join of K to a point x , then Q is called the cone on K with vertex x . If L is a finite subpolyhedron of K , then the cone Q_1 on L with vertex x is called a subcone of Q .

LEMMA 4. *A cone Q contracts onto any subcone Q_1 .*

LEMMA 5. *If P is a finite subpolyhedron of the cone Q , and $\dim P \leq p$, then there is a subcone Q_1 of Q , such that $P \subset Q_1$ and $\dim Q_1 \leq p+1$.*

"dim" denotes dimension. The proofs of Lemmas 4 and 5 are elementary.

Let K be a finite polyhedron, M an n -manifold, and $f: K \rightarrow M$ a polyhedral map. Then K is the union of a finite number of convex sets $\{\gamma_i\}$, on each of which f is linear. f is said to be in *general position* if there exists such $\{\gamma_i\}$ that for all i, j ,

- (1) If $\dim \gamma_i + \dim \gamma_j < n + \dim \gamma_i \cap \gamma_j$, then $f|_{\gamma_i \cup \gamma_j}$ is 1-1;
 (2) If $\dim \gamma_i + \dim \gamma_j \geq n + \dim \gamma_i \cap \gamma_j$, then $\dim (\gamma_i \cap f^{-1}f\gamma_j) \leq \dim \gamma_i + \dim \gamma_j - n$.

The *singular set* of $f: K \rightarrow M$, $S(f)$, is the closure in K of the set $\{x \in K \mid f^{-1}fx \text{ contains more than one point}\}$. The following lemma follows from property 2 of general position.

LEMMA 6. *If K is k -dimensional, and $f: K \rightarrow M$ is in general position, where M is an n -dimensional manifold, then $\dim S(f) \leq 2k - n$.*

LEMMA 7. *If K is a subpolyhedron of L , and M is a manifold, and $f: L \rightarrow M$ is a map such that $f|_K$ is in general position, then there is a map in general position $g: L \rightarrow M$ such that $g|_K = f|_K$.*

The proof is obtained by localizing to the well-known proof for the case that M is a euclidean space (cf. [5]).

LEMMA 8 (PENROSE-WHITEHEAD-ZEEMAN [5]). *Let A be a subpolyhedron of the manifold M , with $2(\dim A + 1) \leq \dim M = n$, and let A be contractible to a point in M . Then A is contained in the interior of an n -element in M .*

The proof consists in embedding the cone on A , Q , in M . There exists a map $f: Q \rightarrow M$, such that $f|_A = \text{inclusion}$; by Lemma 7, assume f is in general position. By Lemma 6, f will be nonsingular except in the case $2(\dim A + 1) = \dim M$, when there will be 0-dimensional singularities, which can be removed by a trick. Hence $Q \subset M$; Q contracts to a point; a point in M is contained in the interior of an n -element. By Lemma 2, Q (and hence A) is contained in the interior of an n -element.

Let T be a linear triangulation of an n -manifold M ; T_p will denote the p -skeleton. T^* will denote the dual cell complex; T_q^* its q -skeleton.

LEMMA 9. *Let T be a linear triangulation of the n -manifold M ; let U and V be neighborhoods of T_p and T_q^* respectively, where $p + q \geq n - 1$. Then there is a polyhedral equivalence $g: M \rightarrow M$, such that $M = U \cup gV$.*

This is proved by embedding M nicely in the join of T_p and T_q^* and applying a similar, elementary, lemma to that join.

PROOF OF THEOREM.

(a) CASE. $n = 2k + 1$, $n \geq 7$.

Let T be a linear triangulation of M . Let Q be the cone on T_k . Let $f: Q \rightarrow M$ be a map in general position such that $f|_{T_k}$ is the inclusion of T_k into M ; such a map exists by Lemma 7 and the fact that M is k -connected.

By Lemma 6, since $\dim Q = k + 1$, and $\dim M = 2k + 1$, it follows that $\dim S(f) \leq 1$. By Lemma 5, there is a subcone $Q_1 \subset Q$, such that $S(f) \subset Q_1$ and $\dim Q_1 \leq 2$.

By the theorem of Penrose, Whitehead, and Zeeman (Lemma 8), since $\dim fQ_1 \leq 2$ and $\dim M \geq 6$, there is an n -element $E \subset M$ containing fQ_1 in its interior.

Q contracts into Q_1 (Lemma 4); since $S(f) \subset Q_1$, f defines a relative equivalence $(Q, Q_1) \Rightarrow (fQ, fQ_1)$; by Lemma 1, fQ contracts onto fQ_1 . Since $\text{Int } E$ is a neighborhood of fQ_1 , by Lemma 2, there is a piecewise-linear homeomorphism $h: M \rightarrow M$ such that $fQ \subset h(\text{Int } E)$.

Hence $\Delta_0 = hE$ is an n -element which is a neighborhood of fQ . $T_k \subset fQ$; hence Δ_0 is a neighborhood of T_k .

Similarly, an n -element Δ_0^* may be found, which is a neighborhood of T_k^* .

By Lemma 9, there is a piecewise-linear homeomorphism $g: M \rightarrow M$ such that $M = \text{Int } \Delta_0 \cup \text{Int } g\Delta_0^*$. Let $\Delta_1 = g\Delta_0^*$. M is the union of the interiors of the two n -elements Δ_0 and Δ_1 ; hence by the Mazur-Brown Theorem (Lemma 3), the complement of a point of M is polyhedrally equivalent to euclidean n -space. In particular M is topologically a sphere.

(b) CASE. $n = 2k$, $n \geq 8$.

The proof is very similar; the same notation is used. In this case, however, $\dim S(f) \leq 2$; $\dim Q_1 \leq 3$. The Penrose-Whitehead-Zeeman Theorem applies to fQ_1 since $\dim fQ_1 \leq 3$, and $\dim M \geq 8$. The rest of the proof is word for word the same.

REFERENCES

1. M. Brown, *A proof of the generalized Schoenflies theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 66 (1960) pp. 74-76.
2. B. Mazur, *On embeddings of spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 65 (1959) pp. 59-65.
3. J. Milnor, *Differentiable manifolds which are homotopy spheres*, mimeographed, Princeton, 1959.
4. E. E. Moise, *Affine structures in 3-manifolds. VI. Compact spaces covered by two euclidean neighborhoods*, Ann. of Math. vol. 58 (1953) p. 107.
5. R. Penrose, J. H. C. Whitehead and E. C. Zeeman, *Embedding of manifolds*, to appear in Ann. of Math.
6. J. H. C. Whitehead, *On subdivisions of complexes*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. vol. 31 (1935) pp. 69-75.
7. ———, *Simplicial spaces, nuclei and m -groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) vol. 45 (1939) pp. 243-327.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, OXFORD, ENGLAND