

MODEL THEORIES WITH TRUTH VALUES IN A UNIFORM SPACE

BY C. C. CHANG AND H. JEROME KEISLER

Communicated by J. W. Green, November 25, 1961

In recent years the ultraproduct construction has been applied, e.g. in [4] and [2], to obtain a series of results in the theory of models for the ordinary two-valued first-order predicate logic. Most of the results in [4] and [2] have been generalized in [1] to predicate logic with truth values in the closed real unit interval. In this note we shall see that many of the methods and results of [4] and [2] and [1] can actually be extended to a very wide class of many-valued predicate logics, with truth values in any reasonably well-behaved compact Hausdorff uniform space.

We shall give a detailed statement of the definitions and two representative theorems. A complete account of the theory, including a number of generalizations of theorems from [2] and [1], as well as proofs, will appear in a future publication.

Let L be a formal system with the following symbols: a denumerable set V of individual variables, a set P of finitary predicates, a set C of finitary sentential connectives, a set Q of quantifier symbols, and distinguished symbols $e \in P$, $\& \in C$, $\exists \in Q$, where e and $\&$ are binary. Let the set F of formulas be the least set H such that

- (i) $\{p(v_1, \dots, v_n) \mid p \in P, p \text{ is } n\text{-ary}, v_1, \dots, v_n \in V\} \subseteq H$;
- (ii) $\{c(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k) \mid c \in C, c \text{ is } k\text{-ary}, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in H\} \subseteq H$;
- (iii) $\{qv(\phi) \mid q \in Q, v \in V, \phi \in H\} \subseteq H$.

Free variables are defined as usual. ϕ is a sentence if $\phi \in F$ and ϕ has no free variables.

Given sets X , Y , and Z , $S(X)$ shall denote the set of all subsets of X and $f: Y \rightarrow Z$ shall mean f is a function on Y into Z .

If X is a uniform space with uniformity \mathfrak{u} (see [3]), a set function $g: S(X) \rightarrow X$ is *uniformly continuous* if for each $U \in \mathfrak{u}$, there exists $U' \in \mathfrak{u}$ such that whenever $Y \subseteq X \cap U'[Z]$ and $Z \subseteq X \cap U'[Y]$, then $(g(Y), g(Z)) \in U$. $\mathfrak{X} = (X, f, t, \hat{c}, \hat{q})_{c \in C, q \in Q}$ is a *model theory* if

- (i) X is a compact Hausdorff uniform space;
- (ii) $f, t \in X$ and $f \neq t$;
- (iii) for each k -ary $c \in C$, $\hat{c}: X^k \rightarrow X$ and \hat{c} is continuous;
- (iv) for each $q \in Q$, $\hat{q}: S(X) \rightarrow X$ and \hat{q} is uniformly continuous.

$\mathfrak{A} = (A, p_{\mathfrak{A}})_{p \in P}$ is a *structure over X* if

- (i) $A \neq 0$;
- (ii) for each n -ary $p \in P$, $p_{\mathfrak{A}}: A^n \rightarrow X$;
- (iii) for $a, b \in A$, $e_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, b) = t$ if $a = b$, and $e_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, b) = f$ if $a \neq b$.

Two structures \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are *isomorphic*, in symbols $\mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{B}$, if there is a 1-1 function h on A onto B such that for every n -ary $p \in P$ and all elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $p_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = p_{\mathfrak{B}}(ha_1, \dots, ha_n)$.

For each $\phi \in F$ and $a: V \rightarrow A$, the value $\text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{A}, a) \in X$ is defined inductively in the following manner:

(i) for each n -ary $p \in P$,

$$\text{Val}(p(v_1, \dots, v_n), \mathfrak{A}, a) = p_{\mathfrak{A}}(a(v_1), \dots, a(v_n));$$

(ii) for each k -ary $c \in C$, and each $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in F$,

$$\text{Val}(c(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k), \mathfrak{A}, a) = c(\text{Val}(\phi_1, \mathfrak{A}, a), \dots, \text{Val}(\phi_k, \mathfrak{A}, a));$$

(iii) for each $q \in Q$, $\phi \in F$, and $v \in V$, $\text{Val}(qv(\phi), \mathfrak{A}, a) = \hat{q}(Y)$ where

$$Y = \{ \text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{A}, b) \mid b: V \rightarrow A \text{ and } b(u) = a(u) \text{ whenever } u \neq v \}.$$

Two structures \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} are *equivalent*, in symbols $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$, if for every sentence ϕ , $\text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{A}) = \text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{B})$.

Given structures $\mathfrak{A}_i = (A_i, p_i)_{p \in P}$, with $i \in I$, and an ultrafilter D on I , the set $A = \prod_{i \in I} A_i / D$ is defined as usual. Namely, for each function $f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$, we write

$$f/D = \left\{ g \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i \mid \{ i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i) \} \in D \right\},$$

and we define

$$\prod_{i \in I} A_i / D = \left\{ f/D \mid f \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i \right\}.$$

The *ultraproduct* $\mathfrak{A} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{A}_i / D$ of the structures \mathfrak{A}_i , with $i \in I$, is defined as follows: for each n -ary $p \in P$ and elements $h_1/D, \dots, h_n/D$, $p_{\mathfrak{A}}(h_1/D, \dots, h_n/D)$ is the unique $x \in X$ such that for each neighborhood N of x , $\{ i \mid p_i(h_1(i), \dots, h_n(i)) \in N \} \in D$. We let \mathfrak{A}^I / D denote the ultrapower of A . The following generalizes a theorem in [4] and [1].

COMPACTNESS THEOREM. *Let \mathfrak{K} be a model theory. For each $i \in I$, let $x_i \in X$ and ϕ_i be a sentence. Let $J = \{ j \mid j \subseteq I \text{ and } j \text{ is finite} \}$. Suppose that whenever $i \in j \in J$, $\text{Val}(\phi_i, \mathfrak{A}_j) = x_i$. Then there exists an ultrafilter D on J such that, for each $i \in I$, $\text{Val}(\phi_i, \prod_{j \in J} \mathfrak{A}_j / D) = x_i$.*

A model theory \mathfrak{K} is *good* if

- (i) $\hat{\&}(x, y) = t$ if and only if $x = y = t$;
- (ii) $t \in \hat{\&}(Y)$ if and only if t is in the closure of Y ;
- (iii) if $x \neq y$ and $\phi \in F$, then there exists $\psi \in F$ such that

$$\{ (\mathfrak{A}, a) \mid \text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{A}, a) = x \} \subseteq \{ (\mathfrak{A}, a) \mid \text{Val}(\psi, \mathfrak{A}, a) = t \}$$

and

$$\{(\mathfrak{A}, a) \mid \text{Val}(\phi, \mathfrak{A}, a) = y\} \cap \{(\mathfrak{A}, a) \mid \text{Val}(\psi, \mathfrak{A}, a) = t\} = 0.$$

Examples of good model theories: (1) The two element Boolean algebra with the sup operator, $(\{0, 1\}, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \text{Sup})$, with the discrete topology. (2) The MV -algebra on the closed real unit interval with the sup operator, $([0, 1], +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, \text{Sup})$, with the usual topology.

We now generalize a theorem in [2; 1].

Assume the generalized continuum hypothesis.

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. *Let \mathfrak{K} be a good model theory, and $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ be structures over \mathfrak{K} . Then $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A}^I/D \cong \mathfrak{B}^I/D$ for some set I and ultrafilter D on I .*

REFERENCES

1. C. C. Chang, *Theory of models of infinite valued logic*. I, II, III, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1961), 68–69.
2. H. J. Keisler, *Ultraproducts and elementary classes*, Doctoral dissertation, Univ. of California, Berkeley, Calif., 1961. Also to appear in Indag. Math.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
4. Anne C. Morel, D. Scott and A. Tarski, *Reduced products and the compactness theorem*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1958), 674–675.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, AND
INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES, PRINCETON