

ON LINKED BINARY REPRESENTATIONS OF PAIRS OF INTEGERS: SOME THEOREMS OF THE ROMANOV TYPE¹

BY G. J. RIEGER

Communicated by P. T. Bateman, March 7, 1963

1. Introduction. Let us denote by N the sequence $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, by p a prime, by (a, b) the greatest common divisor of a and b , by $[a, b]$ the least common multiple of a and b , by $\{*: \dots\}$ resp. $A\{*: \dots\}$ the set resp. number of $*$ with the properties \dots , by μ the Moebius function, by C an absolute positive constant and by $C(*)$ a positive constant depending on $*$ only.

Suppose $N_j \subset N$ ($j=1, 2, 3, 4$) and denote by $y_1 \sim y_2$ an arbitrary relation (= linking) with $y_{1,2} \in N$. For instance, $[y_1 \sim y_2] := [(y_1, y_2) = 1]$ resp. $[y_1 \sim y_2] := [y_1 = y_2]$ can be considered a weak resp. strong linking. By a linked binary representation of a pair m, n with $m \in N$ and $n \in N$ we mean a solution x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 of the Diophantine system $x_1 + x_2 = m \wedge x_3 + x_4 = n \wedge x_j \in N_j$ ($j=1, 2, 3, 4$) $\wedge x_2 \sim x_4$. Various generalizations are obvious (more summands, triples, etc.). We do not intend to give a detailed and general study of the questions arising in this context. We rather prefer to investigate two special problems of this type with \sim being $=$; they are inspired by the following two well-known results of Romanov:

$$E_a := \{m: m = p + v^a \wedge p \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} \quad (1 < a \in N)$$

and

$$F_a := \{m: m = p + a^v \wedge p \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} \quad (a \in N)$$

have positive asymptotic density [1, pp. 63–70].

2. On Romanov's first theorem. Generalizing the result for E_a , we show that the set $\{m, n: m = p_1 + v^a \wedge n = p_2 + v^a \wedge p_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\}$, considered as a set of lattice points in the plane, has positive asymptotic density in the plane:

THEOREM 1. *For $1 < a \in N$ there exist constants $C_1(a)$ and $C_2(a)$ such that $x > C_1(a)$ implies*

$$A_1(x, a) := A\{m, n: m < x \wedge n < x \wedge m = p_1 + v^a \wedge n = p_2 + v^a \wedge p_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N\} > C_2(a) x^2.$$

¹ With support from NSF grant G-16305 to Purdue University.

PROOF. Let $f_1(m, n; a) := A \{p_1, p_2, v: p_1 + v^a = m \wedge p_2 + v^a = n\}$; since $A_1(x, a) = A \{m, n: m < x \wedge n < x \wedge f_1(m, n; a) > 0\}$, the Schwarz inequality yields

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_1(m, n; a) \right)^2 \leq A_1(x, a) \sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_1^2(m, n; a).$$

On the one hand, we find

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_1(m, n; a) &= A \{p_1, p_2, v: p_1 + v^a < x \wedge p_2 + v^a < x\} \\ &\geq A \left\{ p_1: p_1 < \frac{x}{2} \right\} A \left\{ p_2: p_2 < \frac{x}{2} \right\} A \left\{ v: v^a < \frac{x}{2} \right\} \\ &> C_4 \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^2 \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^{1/a} \quad (x > C_3(a)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(x, a) &:= \sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_1^2(m, n; a) \\ &= A \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, v_1, v_2: p_1 + v_1^a = p_2 + v_2^a < x \wedge p_3 + v_1^a = p_4 + v_2^a < x\} \\ &\leq \sum_{v_1 < x^{1/a}} \sum_{v_2 < x^{1/a}} A \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4: p_1 - p_2 = p_3 - p_4 = v_2^a - v_1^a \wedge p_{1,2,3,4} < x\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case of $v_1 = v_2$ resp. $v_1 \neq v_2$ we use

$$A \{p: p < x\} < C_5 \frac{x}{\log x} \quad (x > 2)$$

resp. Brun's sieve method [2, 2. Satz 4.2] and obtain

$$S_1(x, a) < C_7 \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^2 x^{1/a} + 2 \sum_{v_2 < v_1 < x^{1/a}} \left(C_8 \frac{x}{\log^2 x} g(v_1^a - v_2^a) \right)^2 \quad (x > C_6)$$

where

$$g(b) := \prod_{p|b} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) = \sum_{d|b; \mu(d) \neq 0} \frac{1}{d}.$$

It follows

$$S_1(x, a) < C_7 \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^2 x^{1/a} + C_9 \frac{x^2}{\log^4 x} \sum_{u < x} F(u; x, a) g^2(u) \quad (x > C_6)$$

where

$$F(u; x, a) := A \{ v_1, v_2 : v_2 < v_1 < x^{1/a} \wedge v_1^a - v_2^a = u \}.$$

Writing $g(u)$ as a sum and changing the order of summation gives

$$\sum_{u < x} F(u; x, a) g^2(u) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 < x \\ \mu(d_1) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 < x \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} B([d_1, d_2]; x, a)$$

where

$$B(k; x, a) := \sum_{u < x; u \equiv 0 \pmod k} F(u; x, a) < 2x^{2/a} k^{-1/a} a^{w(k)} \quad (\mu(k) \neq 0)$$

[1, p. 66] with

$$w(k) := A \{ p : p \mid k \} < C_{10} \frac{\log k}{\log \log k}.$$

Since $\mu(d_1) \neq 0 \wedge \mu(d_2) \neq 0$ imply $\mu([d_1, d_2]) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$S_1(x, a) < C_7 \frac{x^{2+1/a}}{\log^2 x} + \frac{x^{2+2/a}}{\log^4 x} C_{11}(a) \sum_{\substack{d_1 < x \\ \mu(d_1) \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 < x \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0}} (d_1 d_2)^{-1} [d_1, d_2]^{-1/2a} \quad (x > C_6).$$

Using $[d_1, d_2]^2 \geq d_1 d_2$, we find

$$(3) \quad S_1(x, a) < C_{12}(a) \frac{x^{2+2/a}}{\log^4 x} \quad (x > C_6).$$

(1), (2), and (3) give the desired result.

It is not difficult to determine a dependence of $C_{1,2}(a)$ on a explicitly. Since $A_1(x, a) \leq A \{ m, n : m < x \wedge n < x \}$, Theorem 1 is best possible with respect to the order of magnitude in x . Theorem 1 is also correct for $a = 1$ but of no interest.

3. On Romanov's second theorem. In a similar way we generalize the result for F_a :

THEOREM 2. For $1 < a \in N$ there exist constants $C_{13}(a)$ and $C_{14}(a)$ such that $x > C_{13}(a)$ implies

$$A_2(x, a) := A \{ m, n : m < x \wedge n < x \wedge m = p_1 + a^v \wedge n = p_2 + a^v \wedge p_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge v \in N \} > C_{14}(a) \frac{x^2}{\log x}.$$

PROOF. Let $f_2(m, n; a) := A \{ p_1, p_2, v : p_1 + a^v = m \wedge p_2 + a^v = n \}$. As

in the preceding proof, we find

$$(4) \quad \sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_2(m, n; a) > C_{16} \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^2 \frac{\log x/2}{\log a} \quad (x > C_{16}(a))$$

and

$$S_2(x, a) := \sum_{m < x} \sum_{n < x} f_2^2(m, n; a) < C_{18} \left(\frac{x}{\log x} \right)^2 \frac{\log x}{\log a} + 2 \sum_{v_2 < v_1 < \log x / \log a} \sum_{\substack{\mu(d_1) \neq 0 \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0}} \left(C_8 \frac{x}{\log^2 x} g(a^{v_1} - a^{v_2}) \right)^2 \quad (x > C_{17}).$$

For $v_1 > v_2$ we have

$$g(a^{v_1} - a^{v_2}) = g(a)g(a^{v_1-v_2} - 1);$$

with $h := v_1 - v_2$ we get

$$S_2(x, a) < C_{19}(a) \frac{x^2}{\log x} + 2 \left(C_8 \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \right)^2 \frac{\log x}{\log a} \sum_{h < \log x / \log a} g^2(a^h - 1) \quad (x > C_{17}).$$

For $(a, d) = 1$, let $e(a, d)$ denote the exponent of $a \pmod d$ (i.e., the certainly existing smallest $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a^t \equiv 1 \pmod d$); then $d \mid (a^h - 1)$ implies $(a, d) = 1 \wedge e(a, d) \mid h$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h < \log x / \log a} g^2(a^h - 1) &= \sum_{h < \log x / \log a} \sum_{\substack{d_1 \mid (a^h - 1) \\ \mu(d_1) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{d_1} \sum_{\substack{d_2 \mid (a^h - 1) \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{d_2} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{d_1 < x \\ \mu(d_1) \neq 0 \\ (d_1, a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 < x \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0 \\ (d_2, a) = 1}} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \sum_{\substack{h < \log x / \log a \\ h \equiv 0 \pmod{e(a, d_1)} \\ h \equiv 0 \pmod{e(a, d_2)}}} 1 \\ &\leq \frac{\log x}{\log a} \sum_{\substack{d_1 < x \\ \mu(d_1) \neq 0 \\ (d_1, a) = 1}} \sum_{\substack{d_2 < x \\ \mu(d_2) \neq 0 \\ (d_2, a) = 1}} \frac{1}{d_1 d_2 [e(a, d_1), e(a, d_2)]} \\ &\leq \frac{\log x}{\log a} \left(\sum_{\substack{d < x \\ \mu(d) \neq 0 \\ (d, a) = 1}} d^{-1} (e(a, d))^{-1/2} \right)^2 < C_{20}(a) \log x, \end{aligned}$$

since $[a, b]^2 \geq ab$ and since, for an arbitrary positive increasing function f ,

$$\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{df(d)} < \infty$$

implies

$$\sum_{(d,a)=1; \mu(d) \neq 0} \frac{1}{df(e(a, d))} < C_{21}(a, f)$$

[3, Satz 3]. Hence, we have

$$(5) \quad S_2(x, a) < C_{22}(a) \frac{x^2}{\log x} \quad (x > C_{17}).$$

(4), (5), and (1) with index 2 instead of 1 give the desired result.

It is not difficult to give an explicit dependence of $C_{18}(a)$ and $C_{14}(a)$ on a . Again, since

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x, a) &\leq A\{p_1, p_2, v: p_{1,2} < x \wedge a^v < x\} \\ &< \left(C_5 \frac{x}{\log x}\right)^2 \frac{\log x}{\log a} \quad (x > 2), \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is best possible in x .

4. Generalization to algebraic number fields K . For convenience, let K be a totally real algebraic number field. Denote by n the degree of K , by $J(K)$ the ring of all integers of K , by small Greek letters elements of $J(K)$, by $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}$ the conjugates of ξ , and by $\xi < x$ the system $|\xi^{(j)}| < x$ ($j=1, \dots, n$). π is called a prime if π generates a prime ideal of $J(K)$. Combining the method used above with ideas of [4], we arrive at direct generalizations of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2:

THEOREM 1'. For $1 < a \in N$ there exist constants $C_{28}(K, a)$ and $C_{24}(K, a)$ such that $x > C_{28}(K, a)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} A\{\sigma, \tau: \sigma = \pi_1 + \nu^a \wedge \tau = \pi_2 + \nu^a \wedge \pi_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge \pi_{1,2} < x \wedge \nu < x^{1/a}\} \\ > C_{24}(K, a)x^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2'. For $0 \neq \alpha \in J(K)$ and not a root of unity there exist constants $C_{25}(K, \alpha)$ and $C_{26}(K, \alpha)$ such that $x > C_{25}(K, \alpha)$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} A\{\sigma, \tau: \sigma = \pi_1 + \alpha^v \wedge \tau = \pi_2 + \alpha^v \wedge \pi_{1,2} \text{ prime} \wedge \pi_{1,2} \\ < x \wedge v \in N \wedge \alpha^v < x\} \\ > C_{26}(K, \alpha) \frac{x^{2n}}{\log x}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the estimates are best possible in x .

REFERENCES

1. E. Landau, *Ueber einige neuere Fortschritte der additiven Zahlentheorie*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1937.
2. Karl Prachar, *Primzahlverteilung*, Springer, Berlin, 1957.
3. G. J. Rieger, *Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von Romanov und anderes*, Math. Nachr. 20 (1959), 107–122.
4. ———, *Verallgemeinerung zweier Sätze von Romanov aus der additiven Zahlentheorie*, Math. Ann. 144 (1961), 49–54.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY AND
UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH

 THE COHOMOLOGY OF CERTAIN ORBIT SPACES¹

BY P. A. SMITH

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, March 11, 1963

Let (G, X) be a topological transformation group—or action—in which G is finite and X is locally compact. An important part of the cohomology of the orbit space X/G lies, so to speak, in the free part of the action (i.e. the union of orbits of cardinality $[G:1]$). The cohomology of f/G can be regarded as an $H(G)$ -module. We shall exhibit a complete set of generators and relations for this module assuming G to be the direct product of cyclic groups of prime order p and X to be a generalized sphere over Z_p (see [4, p. 404]). H will always denote cohomology with values in Z_p . A useful device consists in relating the generators of $H(G)$ to those of G .

Dimension functions. From now on let $G = Z_p \times \cdots \times Z_p$, r factors, and let g_i be the collection of subgroups of order p^i ; g_0 consists of the identity only. Let g, h, \cdots always denote subgroups of G and g_i, h_i, \cdots elements of g_i . In particular $g_0 = \{1\}$ and $g_r = G$.

By a *dimension function* of the pair (G, p) we shall mean an integer-valued function $n(g)$ of constant parity with values ≥ -1 and such that for each g different from G

$$(1) \quad n(g) = n(G) + \sum_h (n(h) - n(G))$$

summed over those h 's which lie in g_{r-1} and contain g ; when $p=2$, constant parity is not required.

For a given dimension function $n(g)$ let Ω be the totality of se-

¹ This work has been supported by the Office of Naval Research.