

THE VANISHING OF A THETA CONSTANT IS A PECULIAR PHENOMENON

BY H. E. RAUCH¹

Communicated by E. Calabi, November 28, 1966

1. Introduction. The phenomenon in question is the following: (i) if a theta constant θ vanishes at a point t of Torelli space then its gradient (with respect to the coordinates on Torelli space) vanishes there, too; (ii) on the other hand, the locus $\theta=0$ through t is, generically, a hypersurface with tangent plane defined at t , in particular $\theta \neq 0$ on Torelli space.

The reconciliation of (i) and (ii) results from (iii) near t one has $\theta = \Phi^k$, $k > 1$ integral, and Φ analytic with nonvanishing gradient at t .

I would speculate that $k=2$, generically, i.e., the locus $\theta=0$ is really the locus $\sqrt{\theta}=0$.

In the next section I shall prove (i). (ii) is in the thesis of Dr. Farkas [1], while (iii) is an immediate consequence of (i) and (ii) and some standard algebra in several variables. The speculation on the value 2 for k stems from the appearance of those period relations that are known (Schottky). § 2 is a revision of the remarks in [3, pp. 35–37].

2. Definitions and proof of (i). Given a symmetric $g \times g$ complex matrix A with negative definite real part, one can form the Riemann theta function

$$\theta(u, A) = \sum_n \exp(n \cdot An + 2n \cdot u),$$

where n ranges over all integral column g -vectors, u is a column g -vector of complex numbers, and the dot signifies the usual inner product. If, in addition, one is given two column g -vectors ϵ and ϵ' whose entries are 0 or 1, one defines the first order theta function with binary characteristic

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}$$

by

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (u, A) = \theta(u + e, A) \exp \left(\frac{\epsilon \cdot A \epsilon}{2} + 2 \frac{\epsilon \cdot u}{2} + 2\pi i \frac{\epsilon \cdot \epsilon'}{2} \right),$$

¹ Research partially sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, U. S. Air Force, under AFOSR Grant No. AF-AFOSR-1077-66.

where $e = \pi i \epsilon' / 2 + A \epsilon / 2$. Here e , and with it

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}$$

is defined to be even or odd according as $\epsilon \cdot \epsilon' \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{2}$, and

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (u, A)$$

is an even or odd function of u according as e is even or odd. In particular if e is even then

$$\partial \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (0, A) / \partial u_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, g.$$

Now define the first order theta constant with characteristic

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} \text{ by } \theta_{\epsilon\epsilon'} = \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (0, A).$$

Only the even case is of interest, since all odd theta constants are, of course, identically 0 in A .

Let S be a compact Riemann surface of genus g and let (γ, δ) be a canonical homology basis on S . Further, let $d\xi_i, i = 1, \dots, g$ be a set of abelian differentials of first kind with Riemann's normalization with respect to (γ, δ) :

$$\int_{\gamma_k} d\xi_k = \pi i \delta_{kk}.$$

Observe that $d\xi_j = \pi i d\zeta_j, j = 1, \dots, g$, when the $d\zeta$ are the conventional normal differentials of first kind as defined, e.g., in [3].

Now define, given $P \in S$ fixed and $Q \in S$,

$$\theta_{\epsilon\epsilon'}(Q) = \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (u, A),$$

$$A = (a_{ij}), \quad a_{ij} = \int_{\delta_j} d\xi_i,$$

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_g \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_i = \int_P^Q d\xi_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, g,$$

where the path from P to Q is arbitrary but fixed and the same for each i . This is multivalued, but a zero is well defined.

If e is even, then the Riemann vanishing theorem (in view of the vanishing of the first partials of

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (u, A)$$

at $u=0$) ([2, Theorem 6 or 8]) implies that

$$(1) \quad \epsilon_{ee'} = 0 \Rightarrow \epsilon_{ee'}(Q) \equiv 0.$$

In particular if Q lies in some parameter disk about P and has parameter value z (P has the value $z=0$) one finds, setting $P=Q$ ($z=0$),

$$(2) \quad 0 = \frac{d^2\theta\epsilon\epsilon'(P)}{dz^2} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (0, A)}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \frac{d\xi_i(P)}{dz} \frac{d\xi_j(P)}{dz},$$

where I recall that $P \in S$ is arbitrary.

On the other hand, S and (γ, δ) specify the point $t = \{S, S, 1\} \in \mathcal{T}^g(S)$ where the transition to any other Torelli space and/or equivalent point on it is easily made by the rules in [3]. I should now like to compute the gradient of $\theta_{ee'}$ at t . Using Prescription II of [3] with the obvious changes wrought by the substitution of a_{ij} and $d\xi_i$ for π_{ij} and $d\xi_i$ one finds

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial\theta_{ee'}}{\partial c_\alpha} \right|_{c=0} &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i \leq j} \left. \frac{\partial\theta_{ee'}}{\partial a_{ij}} \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial c_\alpha} \right|_{c=0} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{i \leq j} \frac{\partial\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (0, A)}{\partial a_{ij}} \left(\frac{d\xi_i}{dz} \frac{d\xi_j}{dz}, \mu_\alpha \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \left(\sum_{i \leq j} \frac{\partial\theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix} (0, A)}{\partial a_{ij}} \frac{d\xi_j}{dz} \frac{d\xi_j}{dz}, \mu_\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

But I claim that the sum on the right side of (2) is 4 times the sum in parenthesis in the last expression in (3). If one grants this then (1) and (2) imply immediately that

$$(4) \quad \theta_{ee'} = 0 \Rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial\theta_{ee'}}{\partial c_\alpha} \right|_{c=0} = 0, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, 3g - 3,$$

which is statement (i) of §1.

To establish the remaining link I invoke the "heat equations"

$$2 \frac{\partial \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}}{\partial a_{ij}}(u, A) = \frac{\partial^2 \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}}{\partial u_i \partial u_j}(u, A), \quad i \neq j,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \theta \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ \epsilon' \end{bmatrix}}{\partial u_i^2}(u, A), \quad i = j,$$

in which I put $u=0$, and then I split the sum $\sum_{i,j}$ in (2) into $2 \sum_{i < j} + \sum_{i=j}$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. Farkas, *Special divisors, theta nulls, and analytic subloci of Teichmüller space*, Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 881–901.
2. J. Lewittes, *Riemann surfaces and the theta function*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 37–61.
3. H. E. Rauch, *A transcendental view of the space of algebraic Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 1–39.

BELFER GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, YESHIVA UNIVERSITY