

**AN INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS TO STATISTICAL ESTIMATION FOR PROBABILISTIC FUNCTIONS OF MARKOV PROCESSES AND TO A MODEL FOR ECOLOGY**

BY LEONARD E. BAUM AND J. A. EAGON

Communicated by R. C. Buck, November 21, 1966

**1. Summary.** The object of this note is to prove the theorem below and sketch two applications, one to statistical estimation for (probabilistic) functions of Markov processes [1] and one to Blakley's model for ecology [4].

**2. Result.**

**THEOREM.** Let  $P(x) = P(\{x_{ij}\})$  be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients homogeneous of degree  $d$  in its variables  $\{x_{ij}\}$ . Let  $x = \{x_{ij}\}$  be any point of the domain  $D: x_{ij} \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij} = 1, i=1, \dots, p, j=1, \dots, q_i$ . For  $x = \{x_{ij}\} \in D$  let  $\mathfrak{J}(x) = \mathfrak{J}\{x_{ij}\}$  denote the point of  $D$  whose  $i, j$  coordinate is

$$\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij} = \left( x_{ij} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{ij}} \Big|_{(x)} \right) / \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{ij}} \Big|_{(x)}.$$

Then  $P(\mathfrak{J}(x)) > P(x)$  unless  $\mathfrak{J}(x) = x$ .

*Notation.*  $\mu$  will denote a doubly indexed array of nonnegative integers:  $\mu = \{\mu_{ij}\}, j=1, \dots, q_i, i=1, \dots, p$ .  $x^\mu$  then denotes  $\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}}$ . Similarly,  $c_\mu$  is an abbreviation for  $c_{\{\mu_{ij}\}}$ . The polynomial  $P(\{x_{ij}\})$  is then written  $P(x) = \sum_\mu c_\mu x^\mu$ .

In our notation:

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij} = \left( \sum_\mu c_\mu \mu_{ij} x^\mu \right) / \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \sum_\mu c_\mu \mu_{ij} x^\mu.$$

We wish to prove

$$(2) \quad P(x) = \sum_\mu c_\mu x^\mu \leq \sum_\mu c_\mu \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}}.$$

**PROOF.**

$$P(x) = \sum_\mu \left\{ c_\mu \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}} \right\}^{1/d+1} \\ \times \left\{ c_\mu^{d/d+1} x^\mu \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \left( \frac{1}{\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}} \right)^{\mu_{ij}/d+1} \right\}.$$

We apply Hölder’s inequality [6, p. 21] to obtain

$$(3) \quad P(x) \leq \left\{ \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}} \right\}^{1/d+1} \times \left\{ \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \left( \frac{x_{ij}}{\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}} \right)^{\mu_{ij}/d} \right\}^{d/d+1}.$$

(In the last braces we have used  $(x^{\mu})^{d+1/d} = x^{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij}^{\mu_{ij}/d}$ .) Since  $\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \mu_{ij}/d \equiv 1$  by homogeneity of  $P$ , we can apply the inequality of geometric and arithmetic means [6, p. 16] to the double products of the second brace of (3) to conclude:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^{q_i} \left( \frac{x_{ij}}{\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}} \right)^{\mu_{ij}/d} \leq \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \frac{\mu_{ij}}{d} \frac{x_{ij}}{\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}}.$$

We now substitute the definition (1) of  $\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}$  in the expression on the right of (4) and interchange the order of summation to obtain:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \frac{\mu_{ij}}{d} \frac{x_{ij}}{\mathfrak{J}(x)_{ij}} \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} \mu_{ij} x_{ij} \\ & \cdot \left( \sum_{j_0=1}^{q_i} \sum_{\mu'} c_{\mu'} \mu'_{ij_0} x^{\mu'} \right) / \left( \sum_{\mu'} c_{\mu'} \mu'_{ij} x^{\mu'} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij} \left[ \left( \sum_{\mu} \mu_{ij} c_{\mu} x^{\mu} \right) / \left( \sum_{\mu'} \mu'_{ij} c_{\mu'} x^{\mu'} \right) \right] \\ & \cdot \sum_{j_0=1}^{q_i} \sum_{\mu'} c_{\mu'} \mu'_{ij_0} x^{\mu'}. \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\langle i, j \rangle$  the expression within the brackets is  $= 1$  and by hypothesis for each  $i$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{q_i} x_{ij} = 1$ . Hence the whole last expression of (5) reduces to  $(1/d) \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j_0=1}^{q_i} \sum_{\mu'} c_{\mu'} \mu'_{ij_0} x^{\mu'}$ . But this is just  $(1/d) \sum_{ij_0} x_{ij_0} \cdot (\partial P / \partial x_{ij_0})$  so by the Euler theorem for homogeneous functions it is equal to  $\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu}$ .

Finally, if we use this upper bound  $\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu}$  for the expression within the second braces in (3), raise both sides of (3) to the  $(d+1)$ st power, and divide both sides of the resulting inequality by  $(\sum_{\mu} c_{\mu} x^{\mu})^d$  we obtain the desired inequality (2).

That  $P(\mathfrak{J}\{x_{ij}\}) > P\{x_{ij}\}$  if  $\{x_{ij}\} \neq \{\mathfrak{J}\{x_{ij}\}\}$  follows from (4) and the strictness of the inequality of geometric and arithmetic means if all summands are not equal.

**3. Application 1.** The first application of this theorem is to statistical estimation for (probabilistically) lumped Markov chains. Let  $S$  be the finite state space of a Markov chain. Let  $f$  be a function from  $S$  to  $R$ . Let  $y \in R^T$ ,  $T$  an integer, be an observation. In [1] the problem is considered of estimating the transition probabilities  $a_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in S$ , given  $y$ .

Let  $X = (f^T)^{-1}(y)$ .  $X \subseteq S^T$ . For  $x \in X$ ,  $i, j \in S$ , let  $\nu_{ij}(x)$  be the number of times the pattern  $\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, i, j, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot$  occurs in  $x$ . The function  $P(\{a_{ij}\}) = \sum_{x \in X} \prod_{i, j \in S} a_{ij}^{\nu_{ij}(x)}$  may be interpreted as the "probability of observing  $y$  given the transition probabilities  $\{a_{ij}\}$ ." Note that  $P$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $T$  with nonnegative (integer) coefficients in the variables  $a_{ij}$ .

An iterative procedure for estimating the transition probabilities  $\{a_{ij}\}$  given  $y$  is suggested in [1]. If  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is an *a priori* estimate, let  $A'_{ij} = (\sum_{x \in X} \nu_{ij}(x) \prod_{k, l \in S} a_{kl}^{\nu_{kl}(x)} / P(\{a_{ij}\}))$ .  $A'_{ij}$  may be interpreted as the "*a posteriori* expected value of the frequency of transition from state  $i$  to state  $j$  given  $y$  and the *a priori* probabilities  $\{a_{ij}\}$ ." Thus  $A'_{ij} / \sum_j A'_{ij}$  may be thought of as an "*a posteriori* estimate of the transition probabilities given  $y$ ." Since

$$A'_{ij} / \sum_j A'_{ij} = a_{ij}(\partial P / \partial a_{ij}) / \sum_j a_{ij}(\partial P / \partial a_{ij})$$

by our theorem applied to the transformation  $\mathfrak{I}\{a_{ij}\} = \{A'_{ij} / \sum_j A'_{ij}\}$  we conclude that  $P(\mathfrak{I}\{a_{ij}\}) \geq P(\{a_{ij}\})$ . In other words the *a posteriori* estimate of transition probabilities increases the likelihood of the given observation  $y$ .

Various results on the convergence of hill climbing iteration procedures [2], [3], [5] may be adduced to show that for almost all starts successive iterations will converge to a connected component of the local maximum set of  $P$ . If  $P$  has only finitely many local maxima then successive iterates converge to a point.

This is the usual case in the more general situation considered in [1] in which the observation  $y_t$  at time  $t$  is obtained from the Markov state  $x_t$  at time  $t$  according to  $P(y_t = k | x_t = j) = b_{jk}$  where  $b_{jk}$  is an  $s \times r$  stochastic matrix which is also to be estimated. Here the identifiability problem does not arise since, according to a theorem of Ted Petrie [7], "in general" no other  $(a_{ij}), (b_{jk})$  yields the same  $y$  probabilities as a given  $(a_{ij}^0), (b_{jk}^0)$  (save for the  $s!$  relabellings of states).

The second application is to some results of Blakley and Dixon [2], [3], [4]. Let  $\Gamma$  be a symmetric  $p$ -linear form on  $R^n$  that has nonnegative coefficients with respect to the standard basis for  $R^n$ . Let  $g(\eta) = \Gamma(\eta, \eta, \dots, \eta)$  where  $\eta$  is a vector in  $R^n$ . Since  $g$  is then just a  $p$ th degree homogeneous polynomial with nonnegative coeffi-

cients of the components of  $\eta$  we may apply the theorem of this note to it. In Blakley's model  $g$  is the adaptation (rate of growth) of a population. The transformation in Blakley's model  $\sigma(\eta) = \eta_i(\partial g(\eta)/\partial \eta_i)/p g(\eta)$  is the same as the transformation  $\mathcal{J}\{x_{ij}\}$  where  $x_{ij} = \eta_j$ ,  $i = 1, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

In Blakley's model if  $\eta$  is the distribution of genotypes at time  $t$ , then  $\sigma(\eta)$  is the distribution at time  $t+1$ . Thus it follows from the theorem in this note with  $i=1$  that adaptation is nondecreasing with time when evolution of the genotypes at a single locus is considered. Our theorem with  $i>1$  yields the same conclusion under natural hypotheses for evolution of the genotypes at several loci. This non-decreasing of the adaptation with time is clearly a desirable feature of the model.

#### REFERENCES

1. L. E. Baum, *A statistical estimation procedure for probabilistic functions of Markov processes*, IDA-CRD Working Paper No. 131.
2. G. R. Blakley, *Homogeneous non-negative symmetric quadratic transformations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 712-715.
3. G. R. Blakley and R. D. Dixon, *The sequence of iterates of a non-negative non-linear transformation. III, The theory of homogeneous symmetric transformations and related differential equations*, (to appear).
4. G. R. Blakley, *Natural selection in ecosystems from the standpoint of mathematical genetics*, (to appear).
5. Wolfgang Hahn, *Theory and application of Liapunov's direct method*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1963, pp. 139-150.
6. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959.
7. Ted Petrie, *Classification of equivalent processes which are probabilistic functions of finite Markov chains*, IDA-CRD Working Paper No. 181, IDA-CRD Log No. 8694.

INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES