

# L<sup>p</sup> BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

BY E. B. FABES AND M. JODEIT, JR.

Communicated by A. Friedman, July 3, 1968

1. In this note we state some results on existence, uniqueness, and a priori estimates, which have been obtained with parabolic singular integral operators as a main tool.

Let  $Lu(x, y, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2b} a_\alpha(x, y, t) D_{x,y}^\alpha u(x, y, t) - D_t u(x, y, t)$ , where  $x \in R^n$ ,  $y > 0$ ,  $0 < t < T$ . Here  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+1})$ ,  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  is an integer,  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n+1}$ ,  $D_{x,y}^\alpha = \partial^{|\alpha|} / \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}}$ ,  $D_t = \partial / \partial t$ .

(1.1) DEFINITION. For  $\delta \geq 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}_0^{p,2b,1}(R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T))$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(R^{n+1} \times (0, \infty))$  with respect to the norm  $\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2b} \cdot \|D_{x,y}^\alpha u\|_{L^p} + \|D_t u\|_{L^p}$  where the  $L^p$ -norms are taken over  $R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T)$ .

(1.2) THEOREM. Let  $L$  be uniformly parabolic in the Petrowsky sense. Assume that the coefficients,  $a_\alpha$ , of  $L$  are bounded and measurable for  $|\alpha| < 2b$  and for  $|\alpha| = 2b$ , uniformly Hölder continuous in  $R^{n+1} \times [0, T]$ . For  $1 < p < \infty$  there exists a function  $u(x, y, t)$  satisfying

(1.3) for each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{L}_0^{p,2b,2}(R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T))$  and  $Lu = 0$  in  $R^{n+1} \times (0, T)$

(1.4)  $D_y^{l+j} u(x, 0, t) = \phi_j(x, t)$  in the sense of  $\mathfrak{L}_{2b-1-l-j}^p(S_T)$  where  $S_T = R^n \times (0, T)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, b-1$ , and  $l$  is a fixed number satisfying  $0 \leq l \leq b$ . (1.4) means  $\|D_y^{l+j} u(\cdot, y, \cdot) - \phi_j\|_{\mathfrak{L}_{2b-1-l-j}^p(S_T)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow 0^+$ .

In §3 we define  $\mathfrak{L}_k^p(S_T)$  and characterize it in terms of spatial derivatives of order  $\leq k$  and a (fractional) time derivative of order  $k/2b$  belonging to  $L^p(S_T)$ . We observe that for  $l=0$  and for  $l=b$  Theorem (1.2) is an existence and uniqueness theorem respectively for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems.

We will later state an extension of Theorem (1.2) by replacing (1.4) with a system  $\{B_j\}$  of boundary operators

$$B_j(x, t, D_{x,y}) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq r_j} b_{j,\beta}(x,t) D_{x,y}^\beta, \quad 1 \leq j \leq b, \quad 0 \leq r_j \leq 2b - 1.$$

(1.5) DEFINITION. If  $k < 2b$  is an integer,  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq 1$ , a function  $b$  defined on  $\bar{S}_T$  is in the class  $C(k + \delta_1, k/2b + \delta_2)$  if for some  $C > 0$ ,

- (i) for  $|\alpha| \leq k$ ,  $D_x^\alpha b$  is bounded, uniformly continuous in  $\bar{S}_T$ ;
- (ii) for  $|\alpha| = k$ ,  $|D_x^\alpha b(x, t) - D_x^\alpha b(z, t)| \leq C|x - z|^{\delta_1}$ ;
- (iii)  $|b(x, t) - b(x, s)| \leq C|t - s|^{(k/2b) + \delta_2}$ .

(1.6) DEFINITION.  $\{B_j\}$  covers  $L$  if for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ ,  $B_0 > 0$  and for

$$(1.7) \quad H(z, s; x, \tau) = \det \left( |x|^{2b} - i\tau \right)^{(2b-j-r_k)/2b} \oint_{B_k^0} \frac{B_k^0(z, s; -ix, -i\zeta)(-i\zeta)^{j-1}}{A(z, 0, s; ix, i\zeta) + i\tau} d\zeta$$

(i)  $H(z, s; x, \tau) \neq 0$  when  $\text{Im } \tau > -\delta_0 |x|^{2b}$ ,  $(x, \tau) \neq 0$ ,  
 (ii)  $|H(z, s; x, \tau)| \geq B_0 > 0$  for  $-\delta_0 |x|^{2b} < \text{Im } \tau \leq 0$ ,  
 where  $B_k^0$  denotes the principal part of  $B_k$ , and with  $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0)$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad A(x, y, t; i\xi, i\eta) = \sum_{|\alpha|=2b} a_\alpha(x, y, t)(i\xi)^\alpha (i\eta)^{\alpha+1}.$$

The contour integrals are taken over a closed curve lying in the lower half  $\zeta$ -plane, enclosing all roots  $\zeta$  of  $A(z, 0, s; ix, i\zeta) + i\tau = 0$  lying there.  $H(z, s; x, \tau)$  is the symbol of the matrix of parabolic singular integral operators corresponding to the system  $\{B_j\}$ , relative to  $L$ .

(1.9) THEOREM (EXISTENCE). *If the system  $\{B_j\}$  covers  $L$  in (1.2), and  $b_{k\beta}$  is uniformly continuous if  $r_k = 2b - 1$ , while  $b_{k\beta} \in C(2b - 1 - r_k + \epsilon, (2b - 1 - r_k + \epsilon)/2b)$  if  $r_k < 2b - 1$ , then (1.2) holds with (1.4) replaced by (1.4)'  $B_j(x, t; D_{x,y})u(x, 0, t) = \phi_j(x, t)$  in the sense of  $\mathfrak{L}_{2b-1-r_k}^p(S_T)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq b$ .*

(1.10) THEOREM (UNIQUENESS). *If  $L$ ,  $\{B_j\}$  are as in (1.9) and  $\psi \in C^\infty(R^{n+1})$  is nonnegative and equals  $(|x|^2 + y^2)^{1/2}$  for  $|x|^2 + y^2 \geq 1$ , then the conditions*

(i)  $u(x, y, t)e^{-c\psi(x,y)} \in \mathfrak{L}_0^{p,2b,1}(R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T))$  for some  $c \geq 0$  and each  $\delta > 0$ ,

(ii)  $Lu = 0$ ,  $x \in R^n$ ,  $y > 0$ ,  $0 < t < T$ ,

(iii)  $(B_k u)e^{-c\psi} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathfrak{L}_{2b-1-r_k}^p$  as  $y \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  
 imply that  $u(x, y, t) = 0$  for  $y > 0$ .

Finally we state an a priori estimate for functions in  $\mathfrak{L}_0^{p,2b,1}(R^n \times (0, \infty) \times (0, T))$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2b + 1$ . This was done for  $p = 2$  by Agranovic and Visik in [1] and for  $p$  large enough by Solonnikov in [8].

(1.11) DEFINITION.  $B_0^{p,\alpha}(S_T)$  is the closure of  $C_0^\infty(R_+^{n+1})$  in the norm

$$\|f\|_{B_{p,\alpha}(S_T)} = \|f\|_{L^p(S_T)} + \left( \int_{R^n} \|f(\cdot + h, \cdot) - f\|_{L^p(S_T)}^p \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\alpha p}} \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_{R^n} \int_{0 < t, t+h < T} \frac{|f(x, t+h) - f(x, t)|^p}{|h|^{1+\alpha p/2b}} dt dh dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

(1.12) THEOREM. *If the  $L$ ,  $\{B_j\}$  of (1.2), (1.9) have respectively coefficients  $a_\alpha$  bounded and measurable for  $|\alpha| < 2b$ , uniformly continuous in  $\bar{S}_T$  for  $|\alpha| = 2b$ , and coefficients  $b_{\beta k}$  in  $C(2b - r_k - (1/p) + \epsilon, (2b - r_k - (1/p) + \epsilon)/2b)$  on  $R^n \times [0, T]$ , with in addition, for some  $c > 0$ ,*

$$|D_x^\alpha b_{\beta, k}(x, t) - D_x^\alpha b_{\beta k}(x, s)| \leq c |t - s|^{(1 - (1/p) + \epsilon)/2b}$$

*then there exists  $\mu$ ,  $0 < \mu \leq T$ , depending on the bounds of the coefficients of  $L$ , the modulus of continuity of  $a_\alpha$  for  $|\alpha| = 2b$ , and the parameter of parabolicity, such that for  $p \neq 2b + 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  we have for each  $u \in \mathcal{L}_p^{2b, 1}(R^n \times (0, \infty) \times (0, T))$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^{2b, 1}(R_+^{n+1} \times (0, \mu))} &\leq C \|Lu\|_{L^p(R_+^{n+1} \times (0, \mu))} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^b \|\Lambda^{2b-1-r_k} B_k u(\cdot, 0, \cdot)\|_{B_{p, 1-(1/p)}(S_\mu)}; \end{aligned}$$

$\Lambda^{2b-1-r_k}$  is defined in §3.

2. A parabolic singular integral operator (p.s.i.o.) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} Sf(x, t) &= a(x, t)f(x, t) \\ (2.1) \quad &+ L^p - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{t-\epsilon} \int_{R^n} K(x, t; x - z, t - s)f(z, s) dz ds + Jf(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where

- (i)  $a(x, t)$  is bounded and uniformly continuous,
- (ii)  $K(x, t; z, s) = 0$  for  $s < 0$ ,  $K(x, t; \lambda z, \lambda^{2b}s) = \lambda^{-n-2b}K(x, t; z, s)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\int_{R^n} K(x, t; z, 1) dz \equiv 0$ ; further conditions on  $K$  are given in terms of  $\mathcal{F}_z(K(x, t; z, 1))$  (the partial Fourier transform in the  $z$  variable), and may be found in [3],
- (iii)  $J$  is in the class  $\mathcal{g}(R_+^{n+1})$  of linear operators on  $L^p(S_T)$  satisfying (a)  $f(x, t) = 0$  for  $t > s \Rightarrow Jf = 0$  for  $t > s$ , (b)  $\|\chi_{(a, a+\epsilon)} J \chi_{(a, a+\epsilon)} f\|_{L^p(R_+^{n+1})} \leq \omega(\epsilon) \|\chi_{(a, a+\epsilon)} f\|_{L^p(R_+^{n+1})}$  where  $\chi_{(a, b)}$  is the characteristic function of  $\{(x, t) : a < t < b\}$  and  $\omega(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(2.2) DEFINITION. If  $S$  has the form (2.1), the symbol of  $S$  is

$$\sigma(S)(x, t; z, s) \equiv a(x, t) + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow \infty} \int_\epsilon^R \int_{R^n} K(x, t; w, r) e^{i(w \cdot z + rs)} dw dr.$$

The main theorem used here to prove existence (see [4] and [6]) is:

(2.3) THEOREM. If  $T = (T_{kj})$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix of p.s.i.o.'s then  $T$  is invertible on each  $\Pi_1^N L^p(S_R)$  if for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ ,  $B_0 > 0$ ,

- (i)  $\det(\sigma(T_{kj})(s, t; z, \zeta)) \neq 0$  for  $(z, \zeta) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $\text{Im } \zeta > -\delta_0 |z|^{2b}$ ,
- (ii)  $|\det(\sigma(T_{kj})(x, t; z, \zeta))| \geq B_0 > 0$  for  $|z| = 1$ ,  $-\delta_0 \leq \text{Im } \zeta \leq 0$ .

3. The spaces  $\mathcal{L}_k^p(S_T)$ . These are similar to Bessel potential spaces (see [2], [7]). Put  $L_0 = (-1)^b \Delta^b + D_t$  where  $\Delta$  is the spatial Laplace operator. Let  $\mathfrak{F}\Omega_0(x) = \exp(-|x|^{2b})$ , and put

$$\Gamma_0(x, t) = \Omega_0(xt^{-1/2b})t^{-n/2b} \text{ if } t > 0, \quad 0 \text{ elsewhere.}$$

For  $k > 0$  let  $\Lambda^{-k}(x, t) = \Gamma(k/2b)t^{(k/2b)-1}\Gamma_0(x, t)$  ( $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the gamma function). In the spaces  $\mathcal{S}'$  of tempered distributions in  $x, t$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}\Lambda^{-k} = (|x|^{2b} - it)^{-k/2b}$ ,  $0 < k \leq 2b$ . For  $g \in L^p(S_T)$  put  $\Lambda^{-k}g = \Lambda^{-k} * g$ , and let  $\Lambda^0 g = g$ .

(3.1) DEFINITION.  $\mathcal{L}_k^p(S_T)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , denotes the space of functions  $f$  such that  $f = \Lambda^{-k} * g$  for some  $g \in L^p(S_T)$ .  $g$  is unique, and  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^p(S_T)} = \|g\|_{L^p(S_T)}$  makes  $\mathcal{L}_k^p$  into a Banach space.

(3.2) THEOREM. Let  $f \in L^p(S_T)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .  $f \in \mathcal{L}_k^p(S_T)$ , where  $0 < k \leq 2b$  if and only if  $D_{x^\alpha} f$ ,  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , and  $D_t \Lambda^{-2b+k} f \in L^p(S_T)$ . Also,

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_k^p(S_T)}^p \sim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|D_{x^\alpha} f\|_{L^p(S_T)}^p + \|D_t \Lambda^{-2b+k} f\|_{L^p(S_T)}^p.$$

An inverse  $\Lambda^k$  to  $\Lambda^{-k}$  may be defined using differentiation and parabolic singular integrals, and is used in (1.12); the Fourier transform of  $\Lambda^k$  is  $(|x|^{2b} - it)^{k/2b}$ .

4. An indication of the methods of proof. With  $A$  given by (1.8), we set

$$\Gamma_{z, \eta, s}(x, y, t) = \mathfrak{F}_{\xi, \nu}(\exp[A(x, \eta, s; i\xi, i\nu)t])(x, y)$$

( $\mathfrak{F}_{\xi, \nu}$  denotes the Fourier transform in the variables  $\xi, \nu$ ) and

$$T_j(z, s; x, y, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Lambda^{1-j}(x - w, t - r) D_\nu^{j-1} \Gamma_{z, 0, s}(w, y, r) dw dr;$$

$y \neq 0$  and  $j = 1, \dots, b$ . Essentially we smooth  $y$ -derivatives in  $x, t$ . Using each  $T_j$  as a parametrix, we construct (see Chapter IX of [5] and Chapter 3 of [3]) fundamental solutions

$$\Gamma_j(x, y, t; z, \eta, s) = T_j(z, s; x - z, y - \eta, t - s) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \Gamma_{w, v, r}(x - w, y - v, t - r) \Phi_j(w, v, r; z, \eta, s) dw dv dr$$

and set, for  $f_j \in L^p(S_T)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,

$$u_j(x, y, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_j(x, y, t; z, 0, s) f_j(z, s) dz ds.$$

(4.1) THEOREM. For each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $u_j \in \mathcal{L}_p^{2b,1}(R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T))$  and  $Lu_j = 0$  for  $y > 0$ . Moreover if  $|\gamma| = r < 2b$ , there is a constant  $C$  independent of  $y$  such that

$$\|D_{x,y}^\gamma u_j(\cdot, y, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2b-1-r}^p(S_T)} \leq C \|f_j\|_{L^p(S_T)}$$

and  $L^p - \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{2b-1-r} D_{x,y}^\gamma u_j(x, y, t) = S_{j,\gamma} f_j$  where  $S_{j,\gamma}$  is a p.s.i.o. with symbol

$$-(|x|^{2b-it})^{(2b-1-r)/2b} (-ix)^\alpha \oint \frac{(-i\xi)^{l+j-1}}{A(z, 0, s; ix, i\xi) + it} d\xi$$

(cf. (1.7), (1.8)).

(4.2) COROLLARY. Let  $u_j$  be defined as in (4.1) and set  $u(x, y, t) = \sum_{j=1}^b u_j(x, y, t)$ . Assume  $L$  and  $\{B_j\}$  satisfy the conditions of (1.9). Then for each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $u(x, y, t) \in \mathcal{L}_p^{2b,1}(R^n \times (\delta, \infty) \times (0, T))$ ,  $Lu = 0$  for  $y > 0$  and  $L^p - \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{2b-1-r} [B_k(x, t; D_{x,y}) u(x, y, t)] = \sum_{j=1}^b S_{k,j} f_j$ , where  $S_{k,j}$  is a p.s.i.o. and the matrix  $(\sigma(S_{k,j})(x, t; z, s))_{k,j}$  is given by (1.7).

REFERENCES

1. M. S. Agranovic and M. I. Vishik, *Elliptic problems with a parameter and parabolic problems of general type*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 19 (1964), No. 3 (117), 53-161 = Russian Math. Surveys 19 (1964), 53-157.
2. N. Aronszajn and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials. I: Studies in eigenvalue problems*, Technical report No. 22, University of Kansas, 1959.
3. E. B. Fabes, *Singular integrals and partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Studia Math. 27 (1966), 81-131.
4. E. B. Fabes and N. M. Riviere, *Systems of parabolic equations with uniformly continuous coefficients*, J. Analyse Math. 17 (1966), 305-335.
5. A. Friedman, *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1966.
6. M. Jodeit Jr., "Symbols of parabolic singular integrals" in *Singular integrals*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
7. B. F. Jones Jr., *Lipschitz spaces and the heat equation*, (to appear).
8. V. A. Solonnikov, *Boundary value problems of mathematical physics*. III, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 83 (1965), 3-163 = Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 83 (1965), 1-184.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA AND  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO