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1. Introduction. In this note we prove that if $B$ is the unit ball of a complex Hilbert space then $B$ and $B \times B$ are holomorphically inequivalent. This answers a question of Burghelea. We also announce some results on the automorphism groups of bounded domains in a Hilbert space.

2. The ball and the bi-ball. Let $H$ be a complex Hilbert space. Let $B = \{ z \in H \mid \|z\| < 1 \}$. Here $\| \cdots \|$ is the Hilbert norm, and we denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner product on $H$.

**Theorem 2.1.** $B$ and $B \times B$ are holomorphically inequivalent. (That is, there is no diffeomorphism $f: B \to B \times B$ so that $df(z)$ is complex linear for each $z \in B$.)

**Proof.** Suppose that $f: B \to B \times B$ is a holomorphic equivalence. We derive a contradiction. We first assert that we may assume that $f(0) = (0, 0)$. Indeed, suppose that $f(z) = (0, 0, z)$, $z \in B$. Define for $w \in B$, $w = w_1 + \lambda z$, $(w_1, z) = 0$,

$$h(w) = \frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)^{1/2} w_1 + (\lambda + 1)z}{\lambda \|z\|^2 + 1}.$$  

It is not hard to check that $h: B \to B$ is a holomorphic self-equivalence and $h(0) = z$. Replace $f$ by $f \circ h$. Then $f(0) = (0, 0)$.

Let $z \in B$. Then

$$f(\lambda z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}) d^k f(0) z^k$$  

for $|\lambda| \leq 1$. (Here $d^k f(0)$ is the $k$th derivative of $f$, $z^k$ is the $k$-tuple $(z, \cdots, z)$.)

Set

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta f(e^{i\theta} z)} d\theta.$$  
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Since \( G(z) \) is a limit of convex combinations of elements of \( B \times B \) bounded away from the boundary of \( B \times B \), \( G(z) \in B \times B \) for \( z \in B \). Thus, by (1), \( df(0)B \subseteq B \times B \). Similarly, setting \( T = df(0) \), we have \( T^{-1}(B \times B) \subseteq B \). Hence \( T \) is a continuous linear bijection of \( H \) with \( H \times H \) so that \( T : B \rightarrow B \times B \) is a bijection. Let \( z \in H \) be a unit vector. Then \( (z, 0) \) is in the boundary of \( B \times B \). Clearly \( T^{-1}(z, 0) = v \) is in the boundary of \( B \). Hence \( ||v|| = 1 \). Let \( w \in B \) then \( (z, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(z, w) + \frac{1}{2}(z, -w) \), \( (z, w), (z, -w) \in (B \times B)^{-} \). Hence if \( v_1 = T^{-1}(z, w) \), \( v_2 = T^{-1}(z, -w) \) then \( v_1, v_2 \in B \) and \( v = \frac{1}{2}v_1 + \frac{1}{2}v_2 \). This is the desired contradiction. Q.E.D.

3. Automorphism groups of bounded domains in Hilbert space.

In this section we announce several of the results of [1]. Let \( H \) be a complex Hilbert space. Let \( L(C^n, H) \) be the space of all complex linear maps from \( C^n \) to \( H \). Then \( L(C^n, H) \) is a Hilbert space relative to the inner product \( (Z | W) = \text{tr} W^*Z \). (Here we give \( C^n \) the standard Hilbert space structure; adjoints are denoted by an upper asterisk.)

Let \( D_n(H) \) be the set of all \( Z \in L(C^n, H) \) so that \( I - Z^*Z \) is positive definite. If \( \dim H < \infty \) the \( D_n(H) \) exhaust the Cartan domains of type I in the sense of Hua [3]. Furthermore, \( D_1(H) = B \).

Let \( Q \) be the quadratic form on \( H \times C^n \) defined by \( Q(z, w) = ||z||^2 - ||w||^2 \), \( z \in H, w \in C^n \). Let \( U(H, n) \) be the space of continuous linear maps of \( H \times C^n \) to \( H \times C^n \), \( T \), so that \( Q(T(z, w)) = Q(z, w), Q(T^*(z, w)) = Q(z, w) \). It is not hard to show that the elements of \( U(H, n) \) are invertible. Hence \( U(H, n) \) is a subgroup of the general linear group of \( H \times C^n \). If \( g \in U(H, n) \), and

\[
g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C^* & D \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( A : H \rightarrow H, B, C : C^n \rightarrow H, D : C^n \rightarrow C^n \), then for each \( Z \in D_n(H) \), \( C^*Z + D \) is invertible.

We may define

\[
g \cdot Z = (AZ + B) \circ (C^*Z + D)^{-1}.
\]

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( f : D_n(H) \rightarrow D_n(H) \) be a holomorphic self-equivalence. Then there is a \( g \in U(H, n) \) so that \( f(z) = g \cdot Z \) for all \( Z \in D_n(H) \).

Theorem 3.1 is proved using a generalized Schwarz lemma (a slight generalization of a result of Harris [2]) for bounded, convex, circled domains in Banach spaces and some elementary Hilbert space theory to reduce many of the difficulties to finite dimensional problems. The proof in [1] also gives a direct proof of this result in the case \( \dim H < \infty \).
THEOREM 3.2. $D_{n_1}(H) \times \cdots \times D_{n_k}(H)$ is holomorphically equivalent with $D_{m_1}(H) \times \cdots \times D_{m_r}(H)$ if and only if $r = k$ and there is a permutation $\sigma$ of $(1, \cdots, k)$ so that $m_i = n_{\sigma(i)}$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$.

A similar result is true allowing the Hilbert spaces in the products to be different. It must only be kept in mind that $D_n(\mathbb{C}^k) = D_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$.

4. $D^\infty$. Let $H = l^2$ be the space of all square summable complex sequences. Let $D^\infty = \{ \{z_n\} \subseteq l^2 \mid \sup_n |z_n| < 1 \}$.

**Lemma 4.1.** $D^\infty$ is not holomorphically equivalent to a bounded domain in $H$.

The importance of this result is that it shows that Siegel domains of type II (even I! that is, tubes over open convex cones without straight lines) are not necessarily equivalent to bounded domains.

The details of the results announced in §3, 4 will appear in [1].
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