

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF BOUNDED MEAN OSCILLATION

BY CHARLES FEFFERMAN

Communicated by M. H. Protter, December 14, 1970

BMO (bounded mean oscillation) is the Banach space of all functions $f \in L^1_{loc}(R^n)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - \text{av}_Q f| \, dx \right) < \infty,$$

where the sup ranges over all cubes $Q \subseteq R^n$, and $\text{av}_Q f$ is the mean of f over Q . See [5]. For convenience, we identify f and f' in BMO if $f - f'$ is constant.

THEOREM 1. *BMO is the dual of the Hardy space $H^1(R^n)$. The inner product is given by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{R^n} f(x)g(x) \, dx$ for $f \in \text{BMO}$ and g belonging to the dense subspace of C^∞ rapidly decreasing functions in H^1 .*

Here, we regard H^1 as the space of $f \in L^1(R^n)$ whose Riesz transforms $R_j(f)$ are all in L^1 . See [7].

THEOREM 2. *A function belongs to BMO if and only if it can be written in the form $g_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n R_j(g_j)$ with $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n \in L^\infty(R^n)$.*

Note that the usual definition

$$R_j(g)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0; M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\epsilon < |x-y| < M} K_j(x-y)f(y) \, dy$$

with $K_j(y) = cy_j/|y|^{n+1}$ need not make sense for all $g \in L^\infty$. (Consider $g(x) = \text{sgn}(x)$ on the line.) Therefore, we define

$$R_j(g)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon < |x-y|} [K_j(x-y) - K_j^0(-y)]g(y) \, dy,$$

where $K_j^0(y) = K_j(y)$ for $|y| > 1$ and $K_j^0(y) = 0$ for $|y| \leq 1$. This makes sense for all $g \in L^\infty$, and agrees with the usual definition up to an additive constant if g has compact support. See [3, p. 105].

The main idea in proving Theorems 1 and 2 is to study the Poisson integral of a function in BMO. Recall that any function f satisfying

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 3067, 4635.

Key words and phrases. Bounded mean oscillation, Riesz transforms, maximal function, Poisson integral.

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x)|}{(|x| + 1)^{n+1}} dx < \infty$$

has a Poisson integral $u(x, t) = \text{P.I.}(f)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 3. *A function f belongs to BMO if and only if (*) holds and $\iint_{|x-x_0|<\delta; 0<t<\delta} |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 dx dt \leq C\delta^n$ for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$.*

Theorems 1–3 and their proofs can be used to study H^1 . For example,

THEOREM 4. *Let $F = (u_0(x, t); u_1(x, t), \dots, u_n(x, t))$ be an $(n+1)$ -tuple of harmonic functions on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann equations of [7]. If the nontangential maximal function $u_0^*(x) \equiv \sup_{|x'|<t; t>0} |u_0(x-x', t)|$ belongs to L^1 , then F is in H^1 .*

Different techniques enable us to replace L^1 and H^1 by L^p and H^p , $0 < p < \infty$. This generalizes a one-dimensional result of D. Burkholder, R. Gundy, and M. Silverstein (see [1] and [2]).

Further applications of Theorems 1–3 appear in [4] and [6]. [4] contains detailed proofs of the results stated here.

REFERENCES

1. D. Burkholder and R. Gundy, *Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales*, Acta Math. **124** (1970), 249–304.
2. D. Burkholder, R. Gundy and M. Silverstein, *A maximal function characterization of the class H^p* (to appear).
3. A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On the existence of certain singular integrals*, Acta Math. **88** (1952), 85–139. MR **14**, 637.
4. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, (in prep.)
5. F. John and L. Nirenberg, *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (1961), 785–799.
6. E. M. Stein, *L^p boundedness of certain convolution operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1971), 404–405.
7. E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on euclidean spaces*, Princeton, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637