

FINITELY GENERATED SUBMODULES OF DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS. II

BY B. ROTH

Communicated by François Trèves, July 24, 1972

1. **Introduction.** Let $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ denote the space of real-valued infinitely differentiable functions on an open set Ω in \mathcal{R}^n equipped with the topology of uniform convergence of all derivatives on all compact subsets of Ω . Throughout we assume that Ω is connected.

Let $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ denote the Cartesian product of $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ with itself p -times equipped with the product topology. Then $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ is a Frechet space and a $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ -module. In [3], the finitely generated submodules of $[\mathcal{E}^m(\Omega)]^p$ which are closed in $[\mathcal{E}^m(\Omega)]^p$ are characterized for $m < \infty$ and we are here concerned with the same problem for $m = \infty$.

2. **The main result.** Consider the finitely generated submodule $M = \{g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_q f_q : g_1, \dots, g_q \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)\}$ of $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ where $f_j = (f_{1j}, \dots, f_{pj}) \in [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$. Let F be the $p \times q$ matrix $(f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}$. Then $F: [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^q \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ and $\text{im}(F) = M$. In [2, pp. 21–25], Malgrange shows that $M = \text{im}(F)$ is closed in $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ if each f_{ij} is real analytic on Ω . A zero of a function is said to be a *zero of finite order* if some derivative of the function fails to vanish there. Our main result is

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $F = (f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}$, $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, and let $r = \max\{\text{rank}(F(x)) : x \in \Omega\}$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, if the finitely generated submodule $\text{im}(F)$ is closed in $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$, then for every $x \in \Omega$ with $\text{rank}(F(x)) < r$ there exists an $r \times r$ submatrix A of F such that x is a zero of finite order of $\det(A)$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^1$, the converse also holds.*

For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, $n > 1$, the converse fails to hold [1, p. 89]. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^1$, the fact that the zeros of finite order condition is sufficient follows from Malgrange's characterization of the closure of a submodule of differentiable functions [1, Corollary 1.7, p. 25]. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, the necessity of the zeros of finite order condition can be demonstrated in the following manner. Assuming that $\text{im}(F)$ is closed in $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$, we have by the closed range theorem for Frechet spaces that $\text{im}(F') = [\ker(F)]^\perp$ where $F': [\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)]^p \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)]^q$ is the transpose of F . Assuming that the set Z_∞ of $x \in \Omega$ for which x is a zero of infinite order of $\det(A)$ for every $r \times r$

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46E25, 46E40; Secondary 34A30, 35G05, 46F10.

Key words and phrases. Spaces of differentiable functions, modules of differentiable functions, finitely generated submodules, spaces of distributions, systems of linear differential equations.

submatrix A of F is nonempty, we find that there exists $(T_1, \dots, T_q) \in [\ker(F)]^\perp$ such that for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq q, \text{supp}(T_j) = \{a\} \subset \text{bd}(Z_\infty)$. Therefore $F'(S_1, \dots, S_p) = (T_1, \dots, T_q)$ for some $(S_1, \dots, S_p) \in [\mathcal{E}'(\Omega)]^p$ which leads to a distribution equation of the form $g_1 S_1 + \dots + g_p S_p = T$ where each g_i has a zero of infinite order at a and $\text{supp}(T) = \{a\}$, which is impossible. A complete proof of Theorem 1 will appear elsewhere.

3. Applications. For $F = (f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}, f_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, define $F: [\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)]^q \rightarrow [\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)]^p$ by

$$F(S_1, \dots, S_q) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^q f_{1j} S_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^q f_{pj} S_j \right)$$

and let $F': [\mathcal{D}(\Omega)]^p \rightarrow [\mathcal{D}(\Omega)]^q$ be the transpose of F .

Suppose P_1, \dots, P_q are constant coefficient linear differential operators and consider the system of variable coefficient linear differential equations

$$(1) \quad f_{i1} P_1 S_1 + \dots + f_{iq} P_q S_q = T_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p,$$

where each $T_i \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. In order that there exist a solution $(S_1, \dots, S_q) \in [\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)]^q$ to (1), it is necessary that $(T_1, \dots, T_p) \in [\ker(F')]^\perp$ since $\text{im}(F) \subset [\ker(F')]^\perp$. Equivalently, it is necessary that every "relation" between the rows of $(f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}$ be a "relation" between (T_1, \dots, T_p) , that is, if $\psi_1 f_1 + \dots + \psi_p f_p = (0, \dots, 0)$ where $f_i = (f_{i1}, \dots, f_{iq})$ and $\psi_i \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, then $\psi_1 T_1 + \dots + \psi_p T_p = 0$. When is this condition also sufficient? A partition of unity argument, the closed range theorem, and Theorem 1 give

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $F = (f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}, f_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, and let $r = \max\{\text{rank}(F(x)): x \in \Omega\}$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, if there exists a solution $(S_1, \dots, S_q) \in [\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)]^q$ to (1) for every $(T_1, \dots, T_p) \in [\ker(F')]^\perp$, then for every $x \in \Omega$ with $\text{rank}(F(x)) < r$ there exists an $r \times r$ submatrix A of F such that x is a zero of finite order of $\det(A)$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^1$, the converse also holds.*

When can (1) be solved for every $(T_1, \dots, T_p) \in [\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)]^p$? Using the fact that $F': [\mathcal{D}(\Omega)]^p \rightarrow [\mathcal{D}(\Omega)]^q$ is one-to-one if and only if the set of $x \in \Omega$ for which $\text{rank}(F(x)) = p$ is dense in Ω , it is easy to see that the analog of Theorem 2 in this case involves the following condition: For every $x \in \Omega$ with $\text{rank}(F(x)) < p$ there exists a $p \times p$ submatrix A of F such that x is a zero of finite order of $\det(A)$.

Theorem 1 can also be applied to systems of variable coefficient linear differential equations of the form

$$(2) \quad f_{i1} P_1 g_1 + \dots + f_{iq} P_q g_q = h_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p,$$

where each $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, each P_j is a constant coefficient linear differential

operator, and each $h_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$. In order that there exist a solution $(g_1, \dots, g_q) \in [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^q$ to (2), it is necessary that (h_1, \dots, h_p) be "pointwise" in $\text{im}(F)$ where $F = (f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}: [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^q \rightarrow [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$, that is, for each $x \in \Omega$, $T_x(h_1, \dots, h_p) \in T_x(\text{im}(F))$ where T_x is the natural mapping of $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$ onto $[\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p/[J_x]^p$ and J_x is the ideal in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ consisting of all functions in $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ which vanish at x together with all derivatives. When is this condition also sufficient? Malgrange's characterization of the closure of a submodule of differentiable functions and Theorem 1 give

THEOREM 3. *Suppose $F = (f_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq p; 1 \leq j \leq q}$, $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$, and let $r = \max\{\text{rank}(F(x)): x \in \Omega\}$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$, if there exists a solution $(g_1, \dots, g_q) \in [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^q$ to (2) for every (h_1, \dots, h_p) which is pointwise in $\text{im}(F)$, then for every $x \in \Omega$ with $\text{rank}(F(x)) < r$ there is an $r \times r$ submatrix A of F such that x is a zero of finite order of $\det(A)$. For $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^1$, the converse also holds.*

When can (2) be solved for every $(h_1, \dots, h_p) \in [\mathcal{E}(\Omega)]^p$? It is easy to see (even without Theorem 3) that the analog of Theorem 3 in this case involves the following condition: $\text{rank}(F(x)) = p$ for all $x \in \Omega$. And assuming that $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^n$ is P_j -convex for $1 \leq j \leq q$ (which is always the case for $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}^1$), this condition is both necessary and sufficient.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Studies in Math., no. 3, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1967. MR 35 # 3446.
2. ———, *Division des distributions*, Séminaire Schwartz 1959/60, Faculté des Sci., Paris, 1960, pp. 21–25. MR 23 # A2275.
3. B. Roth, *Finitely generated submodules of differentiable functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1972), 433–439.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING, LARAMIE, WYOMING 82070