

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

The purpose of this department is to provide early announcement of significant new results, with some indications of proof. Although ordinarily a research announcement should be a brief summary of a paper to be published in full elsewhere, papers giving complete proofs of results of exceptional interest are also solicited. Manuscripts more than eight typewritten double spaced pages long will not be considered as acceptable. All research announcements are communicated by members of the Council of the American Mathematical Society. An author should send his paper directly to a Council member for consideration as a research announcement. A list of members of the Council for 1973 is given at the end of this issue.

ON A QUESTION OF DOUGLAS AND FILLMORE

BY JAMES A. DEDDENS¹ AND JOSEPH G. STAMPFLI²

Communicated by Robert G. Bartle, September 27, 1972

Let \mathcal{K} denote the ideal of compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, the bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H , and let ν denote the canonical homomorphism from $\mathcal{B}(H)$ onto the Calkin algebra $\mathcal{B}(H)/\mathcal{K}$. Brown, Douglas, and Fillmore [2], in an elegant and interesting paper, showed that if $\nu(T)$ is normal, if $\sigma_e(T) \equiv \sigma(\nu(T))$ is homeomorphic to a finite graph, and if the Fredholm index $i(T - \lambda) = 0$ for λ in the holes of $\sigma_e(T)$, then T is the sum of a normal operator and a compact operator. It thus becomes natural to ask whether a similar analysis can be carried out when $\sigma_e(T)$ has positive area. Let us consider the simplest case. If $\sigma_e(T) = \Delta$, the closed unit disc, and if $\nu(T)$ is normal, is T of the form normal plus compact? To indicate the limited scope of our knowledge in this area it is not even known whether the operator $S \oplus M$ is normal plus compact, where S is the unilateral shift and M is multiplication by z on $L^2(\Delta, dm)$. In fact R. G. Douglas [4, p. 62] raises this specific question, which had earlier been broached by P. A. Fillmore.

In this note we will give an affirmative answer to the Douglas–Fillmore question. Unfortunately, the techniques employed here do not shed much light on the general problem.

AMS(MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 47B05, 47B15, 47B20.

Key words and phrases. Normal operator, compact operator, weighted shift, subnormal operator.

¹ This author gratefully acknowledges the support of the University of Kansas General Research Fund.

² This author gratefully acknowledges the support of the National Science Foundation.

THEOREM. *The operator $S \oplus M$ is of the form normal plus compact.*

We begin by obtaining a matrix representation for M on $L^2(\Delta)$. We do this in the following rather roundabout manner: Let A^2 denote the analytic functions in $L^2(\Delta)$. Then A^2 is a Hilbert space and has as an orthonormal basis $\{[(n + 1)/\pi]^{1/2}z^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ [5, p. 15]. Clearly A^2 is invariant for M and $B = M|_{A^2}$ is easily seen to be unitarily equivalent to the weighted shift S_1 with weights $\{[n/(n + 1)]^{1/2}\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Since M is the minimal normal extension of the subnormal operator B , the characterization of the minimal normal extension of a subnormal weighted shift obtained in [6] yields the following matricial description of M . The operator M is unitarily equivalent to the matrix

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & D_2 & & \mathbf{0} \\ & S_2 & D_3 & \\ & & S_3 & \ddots \\ \mathbf{0} & & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

where S_n is the weighted shift with weights

$$\left\{ a_k^{(n)} = \left(\frac{kk}{(n + k - 1)(n + k)} \right)^{1/2} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty$$

and D_n is the diagonal operator with entries

$$\left\{ b_k^{(n)} = \left(\frac{(n - 1)(n - 1)}{(n + k - 2)(n + k - 1)} \right)^{1/2} \right\}_{k=1}^\infty.$$

To prove this one need only verify the identities $(b_k^{(n+1)})^2 = (a_k^{(n)})^2 + (b_k^{(n)})^2 - (a_{k-1}^{(n)})^2$ and $a_k^{(n+1)}b_k^{(n+1)} = a_k^{(n)}b_{k+1}^{(n+1)}$ for $k, n = 1, 2, \dots$ ($a_0^{(n)} = 0$ by definition) from [6].

If we can show that there exists a compact operator K' such that $N + K'$ is unitarily equivalent to $S \oplus N$, then we will have shown that there exists a compact operator K such that $M + K$ is unitarily equivalent to $S \oplus M$, thus answering the Douglas–Fillmore question. The existence of such a K' follows from the following facts:

- (I) There exists a compact operator K_1 such that $S_1 + K_1 = S$. (Let

4. R. G. Douglas, *Banach algebra techniques in the theory of Toeplitz operators*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1972.
5. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967. MR 34 #8178.
6. J. G. Stampfli, *Which weighted shifts are subnormal?* Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 367–379. MR 33 #1740.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KANSAS 66044.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA 47401