

PARAMETRICS AND ESTIMATES FOR THE  $\bar{\partial}_b$   
 COMPLEX ON STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX  
 BOUNDARIES

BY G. B. FOLLAND AND E. M. STEIN

Communicated May 21, 1973

**0. Introduction.** Here we briefly sketch the background of the problem to be considered, and refer to Folland-Kohn [4] for definitions and proofs.

Let  $X$  be the boundary of a strongly pseudoconvex region in a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n+1$ , or more generally a real manifold of dimension  $2n+1$  with a strongly pseudoconvex partially complex structure. We then have the tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda^{0,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \Lambda^{0,1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_b} \Lambda^{0,n} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\Lambda^{0,j}$  is the space of  $j$ -forms of purely antiholomorphic type. If we impose a Riemannian metric on  $X$ , we can form the formal adjoint  $\vartheta_b$  of  $\bar{\partial}_b$  and thence the Laplacian  $\square_b = \bar{\partial}_b \vartheta_b + \vartheta_b \bar{\partial}_b$ .  $\square_b$  is nonelliptic; however, according to a theorem of Kohn, for  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ ,  $\square_b$  satisfies the estimates

$$(1) \quad \|\phi\|_{s+1} \leq c_s (\|\square_b \phi\|_s + \|\phi\|_0), \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

for all  $\phi \in \Lambda^{0,j}$  with compact support. (Here  $\|\cdot\|_s$  is the  $L^2$  Sobolev norm of order  $s$ .) These estimates imply that  $\square_b$  is hypoelliptic; moreover, if  $X$  is compact, the nullspace  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $\square_b$  is finite-dimensional and there is an operator  $G$  on  $\Lambda^{0,j}$  satisfying

$$\|G\phi\|_{s+1} \leq c_s \|\phi\|_s \quad (\phi \in \Lambda^{0,j}, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and

$$G\square_b = \square_b G = I - P$$

where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{N}$ .

Kohn's method unfortunately gives no clue as to how to compute  $G$ . Our purpose here is to construct  $G$  (modulo smoothing operators) as an

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 35B45, 35C15, 35H05, 35N15, 47G05; Secondary 32F15, 43A80, 44A25.

*Key words and phrases.* Tangential Cauchy-Riemann operators, subelliptic operators, regularity of solutions, fundamental solutions, integral operators, analysis on the Heisenberg group,  $L^p$  estimates, Lipschitz estimates.

explicit integral operator and to derive sharp estimates for  $\bar{\delta}_b$  from this representation. Our method will be to construct an exact fundamental solution for  $\square_b$  on a particular manifold—which incidentally yields some interesting examples of hypoelliptic behavior—and then to transfer this solution to a general  $X$ .

**1. Analysis on the Heisenberg group.** Let  $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  be the real hypersurface

$$N = \left\{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}: \sum_1^n |\zeta_j|^2 = \text{Im } \zeta_0 \right\}$$

$N$  is the boundary of the generalized upper half-plane  $\{\zeta: \sum_1^n |\zeta_j|^2 < \text{Im } \zeta_0\}$ , which is holomorphically equivalent to the unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . We take  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, t)$  as coordinates on  $N$  where  $x_j = \text{Re } \zeta_j$ ,  $y_j = \text{Im } \zeta_j$ ,  $t = \text{Re } \zeta_0$ ; we also write  $z_j = x_j + iy_j$  and  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ .

$N$  is strongly pseudoconvex; moreover,  $N$  has a natural identification with a nilpotent Lie group (the Heisenberg group; cf. [7]). The group law is given by

$$(z, t)(z', t') = \left( z + z', t + t' + 2 \text{Im } \sum_1^n z_j \bar{z}'_j \right).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + 2y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - 2x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

form a basis for the Lie algebra of  $N$ . Also, the forms  $d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n$  are a left-invariant basis for the antiholomorphic one-forms on  $N$ .

$\bar{\delta}_b$  is a left-invariant operator on  $N$ , and it is not hard to compute it explicitly. If we set  $Z_j = \frac{1}{2}(X_j - iY_j) = (\partial/\partial z_j) + i\bar{z}_j(\partial/\partial t)$ , then

$$\bar{\delta}_b \left( \sum_J \phi_J d\bar{z}^J \right) = \sum_J \sum_{k=1}^n (Z_k \phi_J) d\bar{z}_k \wedge d\bar{z}^J.$$

Here  $J$  is a multi-index and  $d\bar{z}^J$  denotes a wedge product of  $d\bar{z}$ 's.

We impose the left-invariant metric on  $N$  which makes  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \bar{Z}_1, \dots, \bar{Z}_n, T$  orthonormal. Straightforward computation shows that the action of  $\square_b$  on  $\Lambda^{0,j}$  is given by

$$\square_b \left( \sum_J \phi_J d\bar{z}^J \right) = - \sum_J (\mathcal{L}_{n-2j} \phi_J) d\bar{z}^J$$

where, for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_1^n (Z_k \bar{Z}_k + \bar{Z}_k Z_k) - i\alpha T.$$

The study of  $\square_b$  is therefore reduced to the study of the left-invariant scalar operators  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha=n, n-2, \dots, -n$ .

We introduce the norm function  $\rho(z, t)=(|z|^4+t^2)^{1/4}$  on  $N$ , which arises naturally in the study of singular integrals on  $N$  [6]. In [3] Folland showed that there is a constant  $c_0 \neq 0$  such that  $c_0^{-1}\rho^{-2n}$  is a fundamental solution for  $\mathcal{L}_0$ . From homogeneity and symmetry considerations it is then natural to search for a fundamental solution for  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  of the form  $\phi_\alpha(z, t)=\rho^{-2n}(z, t)f(t/\rho^2)$ . The equation  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha\phi_\alpha=\delta$  (where  $\delta$  is the point mass at 0) leads to an ordinary differential equation for  $f$  which can be solved explicitly, and the candidate for a fundamental solution turns out to be

$$\phi_\alpha(z, t) = (t + i |z|^2)^{-(n+\alpha)/2}(t - i |z|^2)^{-(n-\alpha)/2}.$$

THEOREM 1.

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha\phi_\alpha = c_\alpha\delta \quad \text{where } c_\alpha = \frac{-i^{-\alpha}2^{2-2n}\pi^{n+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n + \alpha))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(n - \alpha))}.$$

COROLLARY.  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is hypoelliptic if and only if  $\pm\alpha \neq n, n+2, n+4, \dots$ .

For, if  $\pm\alpha \neq n, n+2, n+4, \dots$ , then  $c_\alpha \neq 0$  and  $c_\alpha^{-1}\phi_\alpha$  is a fundamental solution for  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  which is  $C^\infty$  away from 0, whence  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is hypoelliptic. Otherwise,  $c_\alpha=0$ , so that  $\phi_\alpha$  is a nonsmooth solution of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha\phi_\alpha=0$ .

The family of operators  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  bears some resemblance to an example of Grušin [5] which also involves hypoellipticity of an operator for “almost all” values of a parameter.

The occurrence of the “bad values” of  $\alpha$  can be explained in terms of the representation theory of  $N$ . According to the Stone-von Neumann theorem, for each real  $\lambda \neq 0$  there is a unique irreducible representation  $\pi_\lambda$  of  $N$  on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $\pi_\lambda(X_j)=-\partial/\partial\xi_j$ ,  $\pi_\lambda(Y_j)=4i\lambda\xi_j$ ,  $\pi_\lambda(T)=i\lambda$  where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are coordinates on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $L^2(N)$  is a direct integral of these representations. (See [2].) Setting  $\eta=2|\lambda|^{1/2}\xi$ , we have

$$\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\alpha) = |\lambda| \sum_1^n [(\partial^2/\partial\eta_j^2) - \eta_j^2] + \lambda\alpha.$$

Thus  $\pi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}_\alpha)$  is invertible for (almost) all  $\lambda$  if and only if  $\pm\alpha$  is not an eigenvalue of the  $n$ -dimensional Hermite operator  $\sum_1^n [\eta_j^2 - (\partial^2/\partial\eta_j^2)]$ . But these eigenvalues are well known to be  $n, n+2, n+4, \dots$ .

If  $\alpha$  is not an exceptional value, the equation  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha u=f$  is solved for reasonable  $f$  by  $u=f * (c_\alpha^{-1}\phi_\alpha)$ , where  $*$  denotes convolution on the group  $N$ . We can use this fact to derive sharp versions of the estimates (1) for  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ . If  $U \subset N$  is open,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $L_k^p(U)$  be the  $L^p$  Sobolev space of order  $k$  on  $U$ . For  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we define  $S_k^p(U)$  to be the space of all

$f \in L^p_{k/2}(U)$  such that  $D^\gamma f \in L^p(U)$  for all  $|\gamma| \leq k$  where

$$D = (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

$S^p_k$  has an obvious norm.

**THEOREM 2.** *Given  $U \subset N$ ,  $V \subset\subset U$ ,  $\pm \alpha \neq n, n+2, n+4, \dots$  and  $f$  a function on  $U$ , let  $u$  be any solution of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha u = f$  on  $U$ . If  $f \in S^p_k(U)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  then  $u \in S^{p}_{k+2}(V)$ ; also, if  $f \in L^p(U)$ ,  $q^{-1} = p^{-1} - (n+1)^{-1}$ , and  $1 < p < q < \infty$ , then  $u \in L^q(V)$ .*

The essential point of the proof is the fact that the distribution derivatives  $D^\gamma \phi_\alpha$  ( $|\gamma|=2$ ) and  $T\phi_\alpha$  are singular integral kernels à la Knapp-Stein [6] (plus, perhaps, multiples of  $\delta$ ), and the corresponding convolutions are known to be bounded on  $L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  (cf. [1], [7]). The  $L^p - L^q$  estimates were announced in Stein [8].

**2. General strongly pseudoconvex manifolds.** Let  $X$  be a strongly pseudoconvex  $(2n+1)$ -manifold as in §0. We choose a nonvanishing real vector field  $T$  which is complementary to the complex directions on  $X$ , so that  $CTX = T_{1,0}X \oplus T_{0,1}X \oplus C \cdot T$ . Replacing  $T$  by  $-T$  if necessary, the Levi form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $T_{1,0}X$  given for  $Z_1, Z_2 \in C^\infty(T_{1,0}X)$  by

$$\langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle = -2i \langle Z_1, Z_2 \rangle T \text{ modulo } C^\infty(T_{1,0}X \oplus T_{0,1}X)$$

is positive definite. We extend  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to a Hermitian metric on  $X$  by requiring  $T_{1,0}X \perp T_{0,1}X \perp T$  and  $\langle T, T \rangle = 1$ , and consider the Laplacian  $\square_b$  associated to this metric. We work locally and fix once and for all an orthonormal frame  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$  for  $T_{1,0}X$ . Further we denote the dual frame for  $T^*_{1,0}X$  by  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ .

In this setup  $X$  looks locally like the Heisenberg group modulo small error terms, in the sense provided by the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\phi = \sum_J \phi_J \bar{\omega}^J \in \Lambda^{0,j}$ , then*

$$\square_b \phi = \sum_J \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum (Z_k \bar{Z}_k + \bar{Z}_k Z_k) + (n - 2j)iT \right] (\phi_J) \bar{\omega}^J$$

*modulo terms of order one and zero not involving differentiation in the  $T$  direction.*

**LEMMA 2.** *For each  $\xi \in X$  there exist local coordinates  $x_1^\xi, \dots, x_n^\xi, y_1^\xi, \dots, y_n^\xi, t^\xi$  on a neighborhood  $U_\xi$  of  $\xi$ , which are centered at  $\xi$  and depend smoothly on  $\xi$ , such that with  $z_k^\xi = x_k^\xi + iy_k^\xi$ , on  $U_\xi$  the vector fields  $Z_k$  and  $T$  take the form*

$$Z_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k^\xi} + i \bar{z}_k^\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\xi} + \sum \left( a_{km} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m^\xi} + b_{km} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_m^\xi} \right) + c_k \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\xi},$$

$$T = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\xi} + \sum \left( \alpha_m \frac{\partial}{\partial z_m^\xi} + \beta_m \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_m^\xi} \right) + \gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial t^\xi}$$

where  $a_{km}, b_{km}, \alpha_m, \beta_m,$  and  $\gamma$  vanish to first order at  $\xi$ , and  $c_k$  vanishes to first order in  $t^\xi$  and to second order in  $z_m^\xi$  and  $\bar{z}_m^\xi, m=1, \dots, n.$

These coordinates are constructed using exponentials of linear combinations of  $Z_k, \bar{Z}_k,$  and  $T.$  In case  $X$  is realized as a hypersurface in a complex manifold  $M,$  we can also construct them by restricting certain distinguished holomorphic coordinates on  $M$  to  $X.$

We can now construct a parametrix for  $\square_b$  on  $\Lambda^{0,j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$  By applying a partition of unity it suffices to consider forms supported in a fixed compact set  $V.$  Let  $\Omega = \{(\eta, \xi) \in X \times X : \eta \in U_\xi\},$  and choose  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  which = 1 on a neighborhood of the diagonal in  $V \times V.$  Define the double form  $K_j \in \Lambda^{0,j} \boxtimes \Lambda^{2n+1-j}$  by

$$K_j(\eta, \xi) = -c_{n-2j}^{-1} \psi(\eta, \xi) (t^\xi(\eta) + i |z^\xi(\eta)|^2)^{j-n} \times (t^\xi(\eta) - i |z^\xi(\eta)|^2)^{-j} \sum_J \bar{\omega}^J(\eta) \otimes (*\bar{\omega}^J)(\xi).$$

Define the operator  $K$  on  $\{\phi \in \Lambda^{0,j} : \text{supp } \phi \subset V\}$  by

$$K\phi(\eta) = \int_\xi K_j(\eta, \xi) \wedge \phi(\xi),$$

and set  $S = I - \square_b K.$  With the Sobolev spaces  $S_k^p = S_k^p(V)$  defined as in §1, we then have

**THEOREM 3.**  *$K$  is bounded from  $S_k^p$  to  $S_{k+2}^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  ( $q^{-1} = p^{-1} - (n+1)^{-1}, 1 < p < q < \infty$ ).  $S$  is bounded from  $S_k^p$  to  $S_{k+1}^p$  ( $1 < p < \infty$ ) and from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  ( $q^{-1} = p^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^{-1}, 1 < p < q < \infty$ ).*

**COROLLARY.**  *$I - \square_b K(\sum_0^{m-1} S^k) = S^m$  is bounded from  $S_k^p$  to  $S_{k+m}^p.$*

Thus we have a right inverse to  $\square_b$  modulo smoothing operators of arbitrarily high order. The corresponding left inverse is obtained by using the adjoint operator  $K^*;$  the analogues of Theorem 3 and its corollary hold here also. (The main point is to observe that the coordinates of Lemma 2 are essentially symmetric in  $\xi$  and  $\eta.$ )

It is also possible to obtain estimates for  $K$  and  $S$  in terms of the non-isotropic Lipschitz norms introduced in Stein [8].

Details and proofs will appear in a later publication.

REFERENCES

1. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes,* Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1971.
2. J. Dixmier, *Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents. II,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **85** (1957), 325-388. MR **20** #1928.

3. G. B. Folland, *A fundamental solution for a subelliptic operator*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 373–376.
4. G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, *The Neumann problem for the Cauchy-Riemann complex*, Ann. of Math. Studies no. 75, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972.
5. V. V. Grušin, *On a class of hypoelliptic operators*, Mat. Sb. **83** (125) (1970), 456–473 = Math. USSR Sb. **12** (1970), 458–476. MR **43** #5158.
6. A. W. Knap and E. M. Stein, *Intertwining operators for semisimple groups*, Ann. of Math. **93** (1971), 489–578.
7. A. Korányi and S. Vági, *Singular integrals in homogeneous spaces and some problems of classical analysis*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **25** (1971), 575–648.
8. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and estimates for the Cauchy-Riemann equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 440–445.

COURANT INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10012

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

*Current address* (G. B. Folland): Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195