

A WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITY FOR FOURIER SERIES

BY RICHARD A. HUNT¹ AND WO-SANG YOUNG

Communicated by Alberto Calderón, September 22, 1973

Let $Mf(x) = \sup |S_n f(x)|$, where $S_n f$ denotes the n th partial sum of the Fourier series of f . We will show

$$(1) \quad w \in A_p, p > 1, \text{ implies } \int [Mf]^p w \leq C \int |f|^p w.$$

Recall that a nonnegative weight function $w \in A_p, p > 1$, if there is a constant K such that

$$\left(\int_I w \right) \left(\int_I w^{-1/(p-1)} \right)^{p-1} \leq K |I|^p$$

for all intervals I . The A_p condition, $p > 1$, characterizes all weights w for which the mapping of f into the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f is bounded on the weighted L^p space $L^p(w)$. (See Muckenhoupt [6].) This fundamental fact leads to boundedness on $L^p(w)$ for other operators which can be associated with the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. For example, the conjugate function and more general singular integrals are of this type. Also, $\int |S_n f - f|^p w \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) if and only if $w \in A_p, p > 1$. (See Hunt, Muckenhoupt and Wheeden [5] and Coifman [3].) It follows that the inequality in (1) holds only if $w \in A_p, p > 1$.

Our proof of (1) follows closely the proof in Coifman [3]. We will prove a Burkholder-Gundy type distribution function inequality which relates the weighted distribution functions of modified versions of Mf and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f . (See Burkholder and Gundy [1].) To do this we will use the boundedness of M on $L^r, r > 1$, and an extremely useful consequence of the A_p condition which relates the w -weighted measure and the Lebesgue measure of certain types of sets. This useful property is closely related to the development of Muckenhoupt [6] and was first explicitly used in connection with a distribution

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 46E30; Secondary 42A20, 44A25.

¹ The research of the first-named author was supported in part by the National Science Foundation GP-18831.

function inequality by Fefferman in an unpublished paper. The distribution function inequality implies the $L^p(w)$ norm of Mf is majorized by a constant multiple of the $L^p(w)$ norm of the modified Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f . (1) then follows from Muckenhoupt's result on the $L^p(w)$ boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function.

Let

$$H_r f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \left(\frac{1}{2h} \int_{|x-t|<h} |f(t)|^r dt \right)^{1/r}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Note that $H_1 f$ is the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and so $\int [H_1 f]^s w \leq C \int |f|^s w$ if $w \in A_s, s > 1$. (See Muckenhoupt [6].) Since $H_r f = (H_1(|f|^r))^{1/r}$, it follows that

$$(2) \quad w \in A_{p/r}, r < p, \text{ implies } \int [H_r f]^p w \leq C \int |f|^p w.$$

We will need to use (2) for some $r > 1$. This is possible because of the following fundamental result of Muckenhoupt [6]:

$$(3) \quad w \in A_p, p > 1, \text{ implies } w \in A_{p/r} \text{ for some } 1 < r < p.$$

Following Carleson [2], we replace Mf by

$$M^* f = \sup_n \left| \int_{|x-t|<\pi} e^{-int} f(t)/(x-t) dt \right|.$$

In fact, we will use

$$M^{**} f = \sup_n \sup_{\epsilon>0} \left| \int_{\epsilon<|x-t|<\pi} e^{-int} f(t)/(x-t) dt \right|.$$

Standard arguments imply

$$(4) \quad Mf \leq C(H_1 f + M^* f) \leq C(H_1 f + M^{**} f) \leq C(H_1 f + H_1(M^* f)).$$

From (4) and (2) with $r=1$ we see that we may replace Mf by $M^{**} f$ in (1). Also, since $\int [M^* f]^r \leq C \int |f|^r, r > 1$, (see Hunt [4]) we have

$$(5) \quad r > 1 \text{ implies } \int [M^{**} f]^r \leq C \int |f|^r.$$

Given $w \in A_p, p > 1$, choose r as in (3). We will prove

$$(6) \quad m_w(M^{**} f > 3\lambda, H_r f \leq \gamma\lambda) \leq C(\gamma) m_w(M^{**} f > \lambda),$$

where $C(\gamma) \rightarrow 0 (\gamma \rightarrow 0)$. ($m_w(E) = \int_E w$.)

Given this weighted distribution function inequality it is easy to complete the proof of (1). From (6) we obtain

$$m_w(M^{**} f > 3\lambda) \leq m_w(H_r f > \lambda\gamma) + C(\gamma) m_w(M^{**} f > \lambda).$$

Hence,

$$p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} m_w(M^{**}f > 3\lambda) d\lambda \leq p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} m_w(H_r f > \gamma\lambda) d\lambda + C(\gamma)p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1} m_w(M^{**}f > \lambda) d\lambda$$

and so $\int [M^{**}f]^p w \leq [\gamma^{-p}/(3^{-p} - C(\gamma))] \int [H_r f]^p w$. (2) then implies (1).

To prove (6) note that the set $(M^{**}f > \lambda)$ is open, so $(M^{**}f > \lambda) = \bigcup I_j$, where the intervals $I_j = (\alpha_j, \alpha_j + \delta_j)$ are disjoint and $M^{**}f(\alpha_j) \leq \lambda$. It is then sufficient to prove

$$(7) \quad m_w(x \in I_j : M^{**}f > 3\lambda, H_r f \leq \gamma\lambda) \leq C(\gamma)m_w(I_j).$$

We may clearly assume there is a point $z_j \in I_j$ with $H_r f(z_j) \leq \gamma\lambda$.

Let $\bar{I}_j = (\alpha_j - 2\delta_j, \alpha_j + 2\delta_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= f(x), & x \in \bar{I}_j, \\ &= 0, & x \notin \bar{I}_j, \end{aligned} \quad \text{and } f_2 = f - f_1.$$

m will denote Lebesgue measure.

Using (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(M^{**}f_1 > \lambda) &\leq \lambda^{-r} \int [M^{**}f_1]^r \leq C\lambda^{-r} \int |f_1|^r \\ &\leq C\lambda^{-r} [H_r f(z_j)]^r m(I_j) \leq C\gamma^r m(I_j). \end{aligned}$$

For any $x \in I_j$, n and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon < |x-t| < \pi} e^{-int} f_2(t)/(x-t) dt - \int_{\varepsilon < |\alpha_j-t| < \pi} e^{-int} f_2(t)/(x-t) dt \right|$$

is majorized by $C_0 H_1 f(z_j) \leq C_0 H_r f(z_j) \leq C_0 \gamma\lambda$. It follows that $x \in I_j$ implies

$$M^{**}f_2(x) \leq M^{**}f(\alpha_j) + C_0 \gamma\lambda \leq (1 + C_0 \gamma)\lambda,$$

and so

$$M^{**}f(x) \leq M^{**}f_1(x) + M^{**}f_2(x) \leq M^{**}f_1(x) + (1 + C_0 \gamma)\lambda.$$

Hence, $M^{**}f(x) > 3\lambda$, $x \in I_j$, implies $M^{**}f_1(x) > \lambda$ if $1 + C_0 \gamma < 2$. Collecting results we obtain

$$(8) \quad m(x \in I_j : M^{**}f(x) > 3\lambda, H_1 f \leq \gamma\lambda) \leq C\gamma^r m(I_j).$$

(7) follows immediately from (8) and the following consequence of the A_p condition:

(9) If $w \in A_p$, any p , then there are positive constants C and δ such that

for any interval I and measurable set E , $m(E \cap I) \leq \varepsilon m(I)$ implies $m_w(E \cap I) \leq C\varepsilon^\delta m_w(I)$.

To prove (9) we use the fact that $w \in A_p$, any p , implies there is $s > 1$ and a constant C such that

$$(10) \quad \left(\int_I w^s \right)^{1/s} \leq C |I|^{(1/s)-1} \int_I w$$

for all intervals I . (See Muckenhoupt [6].) If $(1/s) + (1/s') = 1$, Hölder's inequality and (10) imply

$$\int_{E \cap I} w \leq (m(E \cap I))^{1/s'} \left(\int_I w^s \right)^{1/s} \leq C (m(E \cap I)/m(I))^{1/s'} \int_I w.$$

This gives (9) and completes our proof.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. D. L. Burkholder and R. F. Gundy, *Extrapolation and interpolation of quasi-linear operators on martingales*, Acta Math. **124** (1970), 249–304.
2. L. Carleson, *On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), 135–157. MR **33** #7774.
3. R. R. Coifman, *Distribution function inequalities for singular integrals*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **69** (1972), 2838–2839.
4. R. A. Hunt, *On the convergence of Fourier series*, Orthogonal Expansions and their Continuous Analogues (Proc. Conf., Edwardsville, Ill., 1967), Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale, Ill., 1968, pp. 235–255. MR **38** #6296.
5. R. A. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176** (1973), 227–252.
6. B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226. MR **45** #2461.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

Current address (W.-S. Young): Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201