

ACTIONS OF REDUCTIVE GROUPS ON REGULAR RINGS AND COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

BY MELVIN HOCHSTER AND JOEL L. ROBERTS¹

Communicated by Dock S. Rim, August 30, 1973

0. The main results. This note is an announcement of the results below, whose proofs will appear separately [7].

MAIN THEOREM. *Let G be a linearly reductive affine linear algebraic group over a field K of arbitrary characteristic acting K -rationally on a regular Noetherian K -algebra S . Then the ring of invariants $R=S^G$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

THEOREM. *If S is a regular Noetherian ring of prime characteristic $p>0$, and R is a pure subring of S (i.e. for every R -module M , $M \rightarrow M \otimes_R S$ is injective), e.g. if R is a direct summand of S as R -modules, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

The proofs utilize results of interest in their own right:

PROPOSITION A. *Let L be a field, y_0, \dots, y_m indeterminates over L , $S=L[y_0, \dots, y_m]$, and $Y=\text{Proj}(S)=\mathbf{P}_L^m$. Let K be a subfield of L , and let R be a finitely generated graded K -algebra with $R_0=K$. Let $h:R \rightarrow S$ be a K -homomorphism which multiplies degrees by d . Let P be the irrelevant maximal ideal of R , and let $X=\text{Proj}(R)$. Let $U=Y-V(h(P)S)$. Let $\varphi=h^*$ be the induced K -morphism from the quasi-projective L -variety U to the projective K -scheme X . Then $\varphi_i^*:H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is zero for $i \geq 1$.*

PROPOSITION A'. *Let (R, P) be a local ring of prime characteristic $p>0$ and let h be a homomorphism of R into a regular Noetherian domain S . Suppose that for a certain i the local cohomology module $H_P^i(R)$ has finite length. Then if $i \neq 0$ or $h(P) \neq 0$, the induced homomorphism $H_P^i(R) \rightarrow H_{PS}^i(S)$ is zero.*

1. Applications and corollaries. We note that the Main Theorem is stronger than the prior conjectures [2, §0] or [3, p. 56], where S was

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 14M05, 20G05, 14B15, 13D05; Secondary 13H05, 13H10.

¹ Both authors were supported in part by NSF grant GP-37689.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1974

assumed to be a polynomial ring over K and the action was assumed to preserve the grading. (This issue was first raised in [5] and [6].)

Second, we observe that the main result of [2] (where G was $\mathrm{GL}(1, K)^m$), and, in characteristic 0, the main results of [6], the roughly equivalent papers [3], [10], [11] (dealing with the Cohen-Macaulay property for Schubert varieties), and the thesis [9] all follow at once from the Main Theorem here.

Third, we note that the Main Theorem implies that Serre-Grothendieck duality will hold in a useful form (i.e. the pairing will be nonsingular: cf. [1, pp. 5 and 6]) for many orbit spaces of actions of linearly reductive groups on nonsingular varieties.

Fourth, we observe some ideal-theoretic corollaries. Let $S = [y_0, \dots, y_m]$, a polynomial ring, and let G act so as to preserve degrees. Then $R = S^G$ will be generated over K by finitely many forms of S , and we can write $R \cong K[z_0, \dots, z_t]/I$. Here, we assume that z_0, \dots, z_t map to generating forms of R , and we grade $T = K[z_0, \dots, z_t]$ so that the K -homomorphism preserves degrees. Then I will be a homogeneous ideal of T , and is the solution to the “second main problem of invariant theory” (cf. [14, Chapter II, C]) for this particular representation. In this situation the assertion that $R (\cong T/I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay is equivalent to the assertion that I is *perfect*, i.e. $\mathrm{pd}_T T/I = \mathrm{grade} I$. Let \mathcal{K} be a graded T -free minimal resolution of T/I . Then from the Main Theorem and, for example, Theorem 3 and Corollary 1.2 of [4], we have

COROLLARY 1. *With notation as above, so that $S^G \cong T/I$, the length of \mathcal{K} is $g = \mathrm{grade} I = \mathrm{height} I$, and \mathcal{K} is grade-sensitive. That is, if u_0, \dots, u_t are elements of a Noetherian K -algebra B , and we make B into a T -algebra by means of the homomorphism h which takes z_i to u_i , $0 \leq i \leq t$, then if $J = h(I)B$ and E is any B -module of finite type such that $JE \neq E$, then the grade of J on E is the number of vanishing homology groups, counting from the left, of the complex $\mathcal{K} \otimes_T E$. In particular, if the grade of J on E is equal to g , then $\mathcal{K} \otimes_T E$ is acyclic.*

COROLLARY 2. *With notation as in Corollary 1, let $E = B$. Then every minimal prime of $J = h(I)B$ has height at most g , and if the grade of J is as large as possible, i.e. g , then J is perfect ($\mathcal{K} \otimes_T B$ is acyclic and gives a resolution of length g) and hence all the associated primes of J have grade g . If J has grade g and B is Cohen-Macaulay, then the associated primes of J all have height g and B/J is again Cohen-Macaulay.*

We also note

COROLLARY 3. *If K has characteristic 0 and G is semisimple and acts on $S = K[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ so as to preserve the grading, then $R = S^G$ is a Cohen-Macaulay UFD and, hence, Gorenstein.*

3. Remarks on the proof of the Main Theorem. When G is linearly reductive there is an R -module retraction (the Reynolds operator) of S onto $R=S^G$. This fact is crucial in the proof of the Main Theorem. The proof goes roughly like this: First, we reduce to the case where G is connected and then the theorem is stated slightly more generally— S is only assumed regular at G -invariant primes. Utilizing devices involving associated graded rings and generalized Rees rings we make a reduction to a sort of “minimal” graded case: S is the symmetric algebra of a projective module E over a domain B (where G acts on B , E and B is a K -algebra) and B has no G -invariant ideals except 0 , B . $R=S^G$ is a finitely generated graded algebra over a field, B^G , and $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay except possibly when $\mathcal{P}=P$, the irrelevant maximal ideal (this last condition is what we meant by “minimal”). Let L be the field of fractions of B . Then $L \otimes_B S=L[y_0, \dots, y_m]$ is a polynomial ring. R is a direct summand of S (via the Reynolds operator) and this turns out to imply that R is pure in $L \otimes_B S$. Because of this purity, the maps described in Proposition A, which are zero by Proposition A, are also injective, and one finds that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)=0$, $i \geq 1$, where $X=\text{Proj}(R)$. [We note that Proposition A itself is proved by a reduction to characteristic p .] By “minimality” the local rings of X are Cohen-Macaulay and one can use Serre-Grothendieck duality to show that $R^{(d)}=\sum \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_{nd}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all large d . In the final stages of the proof, we show that R itself is Cohen-Macaulay by reducing to characteristic p a second time. (An important point is that in characteristic p we can take $d=p^e$ for large e and then embed $R \rightarrow R^{(d)}$ by using the Frobenius.) A key technical lemma which we use repeatedly in the reductions to characteristic p and which generalizes the usual statements about generic flatness is

LEMMA. *Let A be a Noetherian domain, R an A -algebra of finite type, S an R -algebra of finite type, E an S -module of finite type, and M an R -submodule of E of finite type. Then there is an $a \in A - \{0\}$ such that E_a/M_a is A_a -free.*

The proof of the characteristic p Theorem is easier and uses local cohomology analogues of the arguments in the proof of the Main Theorem.

4. Concluding remarks.

REMARK 1. The regularity of S is essential in the statement of the Main Theorem. There are counterexamples when $G=\text{GL}(1, K)$ and S is a graded Cohen-Macaulay Gorenstein UFD. But the regularity of S is used in an apparently rather nongeometric way: it is only used to show that the Frobenius in a certain auxiliary ring, after reducing to characteristic $p > 0$, is flat (cf. [8]).

REMARK 2. The fact that reduction to characteristic p seems to be essential in the proof of the Main Theorem is odd, because the Main Theorem is primarily a characteristic 0 theorem. There are very few linearly reductive groups in characteristic $p > 0$. See [12]. We note that in [13] techniques related to ours are used to settle a number of other questions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 146, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970. MR 43 #224.
2. M. Hochster, *Rings of invariants of tori, Cohen-Macaulay rings generated by monomials, and polytopes*, Ann. of Math. (2) 96 (1972), 318–337.
3. ———, *Grassmannians and their Schubert subvarieties are arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay*, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 40–57.
4. ———, *Grade-sensitive modules and perfect modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
5. M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, *A class of perfect determinantal ideals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 1026–1029. MR 42 #1814.
6. ———, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 1020–1058.
7. M. Hochster and J. L. Roberts, *Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay*, Advances in Math. (to appear).
8. E. Kunz, *Characterization of regular local rings for characteristic p* , Amer. J. Math. 91 (1969), 772–784. MR 40 #5609.
9. R. E. Kutz, *Cohen-Macaulay rings and ideal theory in rings of invariants of algebraic groups*, Thesis, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minn., 1971.
10. D. Laksov, *The arithmetic Cohen-Macaulay character of Schubert schemes*, Acta Math. 129 (1972), 1–9.
11. C. Musili, *Postulation formula for Schubert varieties*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 36 (1972), 143–171.
12. M. Nagata, *Complete reducibility of rational representations of a matrix group*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 1 (1961/62), 87–99. MR 26 #236.
13. C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Thesis, Orsay, 1971 (Série A, No. d'ordre 781), Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 42 (1973), 323–395.
14. H. Weyl, *The classical groups. Their invariants and representations*, 2nd ed., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

Current address (Melvin Hochster): Mathematisk Institut, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

(After July 1, 1974) Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West LaFayette, Indiana 47907