

ON REARRANGEMENTS OF WALSH-FOURIER
SERIES AND HARDY-LITTLEWOOD TYPE
MAXIMAL INEQUALITIES¹

BY WO-SANG YOUNG

Communicated by Alberto Calderón, September 22, 1973

ABSTRACT. In this note we study the a.e. convergence properties of certain rearrangements of the Walsh-Fourier series, and maximal functions of the Hardy-Littlewood type that arise from these rearrangements.

The rearrangements are defined as follows. Let r_n be the n th Rademacher function. For $N=1, 2, \dots$, let σ_N be a permutation of the nonnegative integers such that $\sigma_N(j)=j$ for all $j \geq N$. If $2^N \leq n < 2^{N+1}$, $n = \sum_{j=0}^N \varepsilon_j 2^j$, where $\varepsilon_j=0$ or 1 if $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, and $\varepsilon_N=1$, we define

$$\phi_n = \prod_{j=0}^N r_{\sigma_N(j)}^{\varepsilon_j}.$$

We also define $\phi_0=1$ and $\phi_1=r_0$.

If σ_N is the identity permutation, $N=1, 2, \dots$, we recover the Walsh system. If $\sigma_N(j)=N-j-1$, $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, $\{\phi_n\}$ is the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. (See [1], [8] and [12].) In general, the system $\{\phi_n\}$ is a rearrangement of the Walsh system within dyadic blocks of indices $2^N \leq n < 2^{N+1}$, $N=1, 2, \dots$.

We have the following result on the a.e. convergence of Fourier series with respect to $\{\phi_n\}$. For $f \in L^1(0, 1)$, let $S_n f = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \phi_j \int_0^1 f \phi_j dt$ denote the n th partial sum of the Fourier series of f with respect to $\{\phi_n\}$, and $Mf = \sup_n |S_n f|$.

THEOREM 1. *There are absolute constants C and C_p such that*

- (a) $\|Mf\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p, f \in L^p, 2 \leq p < \infty$.
- (b) $m\{Mf > y\} \leq C \exp(-Cy/\|f\|_\infty), y > 0, f \in L^\infty$.

This implies the a.e. convergence of $S_n f$ to f for $f \in L^p, 2 \leq p < \infty$.

If we restrict ourselves to a subclass of rearrangements, we obtain better a.e. convergence results. We say that the permutations $\{\sigma_N\}$ satisfy the

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 42A56; Secondary 42A20, 46E30.

¹ This work is part of a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor Richard A. Hunt at Purdue University.

“block condition” if for each $N=1, 2, \dots, 0 \leq m \leq N-1$, there is an integer $k_{N,m}$, with $0 \leq k_{N,m} \leq N-m-1$, such that

$$(1) \quad \{\sigma_N(0), \dots, \sigma_N(m)\} = \{k_{N,m}, k_{N,m} + 1, \dots, k_{N,m} + m\}.$$

THEOREM 2. *If $\{\sigma_N\}$ satisfies the block condition, then there are absolute constants C and C_p such that*

- (a) $\|mf\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_p, f \in L^p, 1 < p < 2.$
- (b) $\|Mf\|_1 \leq C \int_0^1 |f|(\log^+ |f|)^3 dx + C, f \in L(\log^+ L)^3.$
- (c) *If $\int_0^1 |f|(\log^+ |f|)^2 \log^+ \log^+ |f| dx < \infty$, then $S_n f$ converges to f a.e.*

The absolute constants C and C_p in the above theorems are independent of the permutations $\{\sigma_N\}$.

The proofs of these theorems involve a modification of the Carleson-Hunt technique (see [3], [6] and [7]), and L^p boundedness of certain maximal functions of the Hardy-Littlewood type. We will only give the proofs of the estimates of the maximal functions. Complete proofs of these theorems are contained in [11]. They will appear elsewhere in the Vilenkin group setting in a joint paper with J. Gosselin [5].

To prove Theorem 2, we will show that the maximal operator

$$f \rightarrow f^* = \sup_{0 \leq m < N; N} E(|f| \mid r_{\sigma_N(0)}, \dots, r_{\sigma_N(m)})$$

is of weak type (p, p) ($p > 1$). Note that for the case where σ_N is the identity permutation, $N=1, 2, \dots$, this operator is just the usual dyadic Hardy-Littlewood operator.

LEMMA 1. *If $\{\sigma_N\}$ satisfies the block condition, then for $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$m\{f^* > y\} \leq C_p^p y^{-p} \int_0^1 |f|^p dx,$$

where $y > 0, f \in L^p$, and $C_p \leq p/(p-1)$.

In view of (1), this is a corollary of

LEMMA 2. *For $1 < p < \infty$,*

$$m\left\{\sup_{m,n} E(|f| \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) > y\right\} \leq C_p^p y^{-p} \int_0^1 |f|^p dx,$$

where $y > 0, f \in L^p$, and $C_p \leq p/(p-1)$.

PROOF. We observe that for any L^1 function g and integers $n, m \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} E(g \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) &= E(E(g \mid r_0, \dots, r_{n+m}) \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) \\ &= E(E(g \mid r_0, \dots, r_{n+m}) \mid r_n, r_{n+1}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the independence of the Borel fields $\mathcal{F}(r_0, \dots, r_{n+m})$ and $\mathcal{F}(r_{n+m+1}, r_{n+m+2}, \dots)$. (See, for example, [4, p. 285].) Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & m\left\{\sup_{m,n} E(|f| \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) > y\right\} \\ & \leq m\left\{\sup_n E\left(\sup_k E(|f| \mid r_0, \dots, r_k) \mid r_n, r_{n+1}, \dots\right) > y\right\} \\ & \leq y^{-p} \int_0^1 \sup_k |E(|f| \mid r_0, \dots, r_k)|^p dx \\ & \leq C_p^2 y^{-p} \int_0^1 |f|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_p \leq p/(p-1)$. Here we have used Doob's inequality [10, p. 91]. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

REMARKS. It is interesting to note that the mapping

$$f \rightarrow \sup_{m,n} E(|f| \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m})$$

is not of weak type $(1, 1)$. This accounts for the fact that the argument we use only enables us to establish the a.e. convergence result for the rearranged series for functions in the class $L(\log^+ L)^2 \log^+ \log^+ L$, whereas, for the Walsh-Fourier series, a similar argument yields the same result for functions in the class $L(\log^+ L) \log^+ \log^+ L$. (See [9].)

The following is an example of K. H. Moon. We will construct a sequence of functions $\{g_k\}$, $0 \leq g_k \in L^1$, such that

$$m\left\{\sup_{n,m} E(g_k \mid r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

but

$$\int_0^1 |g_k| dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For each $k=1, 2, \dots, j=0, 1, \dots$, let

$$A_{k,j} = \{r_{kj} = r_{kj+1} = \dots = r_{kj+k-1} = 1\}.$$

Since, for each k , $\{A_{k,j}\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is independent, and

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty m(A_{k,j}) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty 2^{-k} = \infty,$$

the Borel-Cantelli Lemma implies that there exists J_k such that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J_k-1} A_{k,j}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $k=1, 2, \dots$, define

$$g_k(x) = 2^{kJ_k} \text{ if } x \in (0, 2^{-k-kJ_k}),$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Thus we have

$$m\left\{\sup_{m,n} E(g_k | r_n, \dots, r_{n+m}) > \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cong m\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J_k-1} A_{k,j}\right) \cong \frac{1}{2},$$

but

$$\int_0^1 |g_k| dx = 2^{-k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that $f \rightarrow \sup_{n,m} E(|f| | r_n, \dots, r_{n+m})$ is not of weak type $(1, 1)$.

If we relaxed the block condition on the permutations $\{\sigma_N\}$, $f \rightarrow f^*$ would not be of weak type (p, p) for any $p \geq 1$. We consider the operator

$$f \rightarrow \sup_{0 \leq j < m:m} E(|f| | r_0, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_m).$$

Let

$$g_n(x) = 1 \text{ if } x \in (0, 2^{-n-1}),$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then

$$\sup_{0 \leq j < n} E(g_n | r_0, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_n)(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \text{ if } x \in (0, 2^{-n-1}) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^n (2^{-j}, 2^{-j} + 2^{-n-1}),$$

$$= 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Therefore,

$$m\left\{\sup_{0 \leq j < m} E(g_n | r_0, \dots, r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, \dots, r_m) > \frac{1}{4}\right\} \cong (n + 1)2^{-n-1}.$$

However, $\int_0^1 |g_n|^p dx = 2^{-n-1}$. This verifies our statement.

To prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to have the L^p boundedness ($p \geq 2$) of a weaker operator

$$f \rightarrow f^{**} = \sup_{0 \leq m < N:N} E(|f_N| | r_{\sigma_N(0)}, \dots, r_{\sigma_N(m)}),$$

where $f_N = E(f | r_0, \dots, r_N) - E(f | r_0, \dots, r_{N-1})$. Note that $f^{**} \leq f^*$.

LEMMA 3. For $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f^{**}\|_p \leq 2 \|f\|_p, \quad f \in L^p.$$

PROOF. For $p=2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |f^{**}|^2 dx &\leq \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \sup_{0 \leq m < N-1} |E(|f_N| | r_{\sigma_N(0)}, \dots, r_{\sigma_N(m)})|^2 dx \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |f_N|^2 dx = 4 \int_0^1 |f|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

by Doob's inequality [10, p. 91]. For $p=\infty$,

$$\|f^{**}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f^*\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty}.$$

These norm inequalities together with the Riesz convexity theorem [2] imply our lemma.

REFERENCES

1. L. A. Balašov, *On series with respect to a Walsh system with monotone coefficients*, Sibirsk. Mat. Ž. **12** (1971), 25–39=Siberian Math. J. **12** (1971), 18–28. MR **44** #1982.
2. A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *A note on the interpolation of sublinear operators*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 282–288. MR **18**, 586.
3. L. Carleson, *On the convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), 135–157. MR **33** #7774.
4. K. L. Chung, *A course in probability theory*, Harcourt, Brace and World, New York, 1968. MR **37** #4842.
5. J. Gosselin and W. S. Young, *On rearrangements of Vilenkin-Fourier series which preserve almost everywhere convergence* (to appear).
6. R. A. Hunt, *On the convergence of Fourier series*, Orthogonal Expansions and their Continuous Analogues (Proc. Conf., Edwardsville, Ill., 1967), Southern Illinois Univ. Press, Carbondale, Ill., 1968, pp. 235–255. MR **38** #6296.
7. ———, *Almost everywhere convergence of Walsh-Fourier series of L^2 functions*, Actes, Congrès Intern. Math. 1970 (2), 655–661.
8. K. H. Moon, *Maximal functions related to certain linear operators*, Doctoral Dissertation, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Ind., 1972.
9. P. Sjölin, *An inequality of Paley and convergence a.e. of Walsh-Fourier series*, Ark. Mat. **7** (1969), 551–570. MR **39** #3222.
10. E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies, no. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1970. MR **40** #6176.
11. W. S. Young, *Maximal inequalities and almost everywhere convergence*, Doctoral Dissertation, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Ind., 1973.
12. ———, *On the a.e. convergence of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201