

ORTHOGONAL TRANSFORMATIONS FOR WHICH  
TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE IMPLIES  
LINEAR EQUIVALENCE

BY W.-C. HSIANG<sup>1</sup> AND WILLIAM PARDON<sup>2</sup>

Let  $R_1, R_2 \in O(n)$ , the group of orthogonal transformations of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We say  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are *topologically* (resp. *linearly*) *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism (resp. linear automorphism)  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$(1) \quad f^{-1}R_1f = R_2: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad f(0) = 0.$$

(Of course, linear equivalence of  $R_1$  with  $R_2$  is the same as equality of the respective sets of complex eigenvalues.) The *order* of an orthogonal transformation is its order as an element of  $O(n)$ . The purpose of this note is to announce and discuss the proof of the following result [HP].

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $R_1, R_2 \in O(n)$  have order  $k = l2^m$ , where  $l$  is odd and  $m \geq 0$ . Suppose that*

(a)  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are topologically equivalent, and

(b) each eigenvalue of  $R_1^l$  and  $R_2^l$  is either 1 or a primitive  $2^m$ th root of unity. Then  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are linearly equivalent.

If  $G$  is a group and  $\rho_1, \rho_2: G \rightarrow O(n)$  are orthogonal representations, we say  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are *topologically* (resp. *linearly*) *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism (resp. linear automorphism)  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, f(0) = 0$ , such that

$$(2) \quad f\rho_1(g)(x) = \rho_2(g)f(x),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n, g \in G$ . Here is an equivalent statement of Theorem A giving a more geometric description of its condition (b).

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2: G \rightarrow O(n)$  be orthogonal representations of the finite group  $G$  such that  $\rho_1|_H$  and  $\rho_2|_H$  define semi-free actions of  $H$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  for each cyclic 2-subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . If  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are topologically equivalent, then they are linearly equivalent.*

Returning to Theorem A, note that if  $k$  is odd, condition (b) may be omitted; in this case the result has been proved independently, using rather different methods, by Madsen and Rothenberg [MR]. If  $k$  is an odd prime power,

---

Received by the editors November 16, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57C25; Secondary 57C10, 57E10.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 34324X1.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-02404.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/81/0000-0081/\$01.75

Theorem A had been proved in [Sc] and, if  $k \leq 6$ , in [KR] where the more general question of linear versus topological equivalence of arbitrary linear endomorphisms of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  was studied. (In fact, the general question was reduced to the special case of orthogonal transformations of finite order in [KR].)

Unless  $k = 4$ , our result is the best possible, in the following sense. The remarkable results of [CS1] include for each  $k = 2^m$ , where  $m \geq 2$ , examples of topologically equivalent orthogonal transformations  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  of order  $k$ , where  $R_1^l$  and  $R_2^l$  each have eigenvalues of any prescribed order  $2^j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m$ , with at least one where  $1 < j < m$ , and where  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are not linearly equivalent.

Roughly stated, the proof of Theorem A has two parts. First, the theory of Anderson and Hsiang [AH1-3], which describes the obstructions to making  $f$  piecewise-linear (p.l.), is applied with the consequence that in (1) above,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  may be assumed to have no eigenvalues equal to 1 and  $f$  may be assumed p.l. on  $\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}$ . Now add a point at infinity to the range of  $f$ , discard the origin (getting  $\mathbf{R}^n$  again), and take the union of this with the domain of  $f$ , identifying corresponding points under  $f|_{\mathbf{R}^n - \{0\}}$ . The result is p.l. homeomorphic to the  $n$ -sphere  $S^n$ , and  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  conspire to define a periodic p.l. map  $R: S^n \rightarrow S^n$  of period  $k$ .

If  $G$  denotes the cyclic group of order  $k$ , then we have constructed a p.l.  $G$ -action  $G \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  with exactly two points  $x_1, x_2$  fixed by  $G$ , near which  $G$  is actually acting smoothly, with its generator  $R$  inducing  $R_i$  on the tangent space to  $x_i$ . Now if  $G$  were acting everywhere smoothly on  $S^n$ , then the Atiyah-Singer  $G$ -signature formula (ASGSF) might be used to show the eigenvalues of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  were the same: this general line of argument seems first to have been used by Atiyah, Bott and Milnor [AB, 7.15, 7.27].

On the other hand, the topological equivalence of linearly inequivalent  $R_i \in O(9)$  constructed in [CS2] was likewise p.l. on  $\mathbf{R}^9 - \{0\}$ . The essential difference is that in this case the ASGSF gives no information about the eigenvalues at isolated fixed points because of the presence of  $(-1)$ -eigenvalues (the Euler class of the  $(-1)$ -eigenbundle must vanish in [AS, 6.12]). Moreover, condition (b) in Theorem A avoids  $(-1)$ -eigenvalues on all powers of the  $R_i$ , unless that power has order  $2l$ ,  $l$  odd.

Thus, in the presence of a p.l. ASGSF, we can make the argument of [AB, 7.27] work to give Theorem A. To get such a result, we first define a bordism theory of p.l.  $G$ -actions on closed p.l. manifolds, requiring (in place of the slice and tube theorems in the differentiable case) that  $G$  preserve p.l. block bundles around orbit types. The resultant bordism groups are "computable" in a way similar to that exposed in [CF] (again the smooth analogue). Given such a p.l.  $G$ -action  $G \times M \rightarrow M$ , one defines the  $G$ -signature representation as in [AS, §6].

We then show by bordism computations that the trace of this representation on a generator  $g$  of  $G$  depends only on the fixed point set of  $g$  and its equivariant normal (block) bundle, and that this dependency is sufficient to detect the eigenvalues at the fixed points for the p.l.  $G$ -action on  $S^n$  constructed above.

## REFERENCES

- [AB] M. Atiyah and R. Bott, *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II: applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 451–491.
- [AH1] D. Anderson and W.-C. Hsiang, *Extending combinatorial PL structures on stratified spaces*, Invent. Math. **32** (1976), 179–204.
- [AH2] ———, *Extending combinatorial piecewise-linear structures on stratified spaces. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **260** (1980), 223–253.
- [AH3] ———, *The functors  $K_{-i}$  and pseudo-isotopies of polyhedra*, Ann. of Math. **105** (1977), 201–223.
- [AS] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604.
- [CS1] S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, *Non-linear similarity*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 315–355.
- [CS2] ———, *Linear algebra and topology*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **1** (1979), 685–687.
- [CF] P. Conner and E. Floyd, *Maps of odd period*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 132–156.
- [HP] W.-C. Hsiang and W. Pardon, *When are topologically equivalent orthogonal representations linearly equivalent?* (preprint).
- [KR] N. Kuiper and J. Robbin, *Topological classification of linear endomorphisms*, Invent. Math. **19** (1973), 83–106.
- [MR] I. Madsen and M. Rothenberg, *Classifying  $G$ -spheres*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [Sc] R. Schultz, *On the topological classification of linear representations*, Topology **16** (1977), 123–163.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540 (Current address of W.-C. Hsiang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA 27706

