

## PERIODIC GEODESICS OF GENERIC NONCONVEX DOMAINS IN $\mathbf{R}^2$ AND THE POISSON RELATION

VESSELIN M. PETKOV AND LUCHEZAR STOJANOV

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , be a bounded connected domain with  $C^\infty$  smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Consider the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j^2\}_{j=1}^\infty$  corresponding to the Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian

$$(1) \quad -\Delta u = \lambda^2 u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

The Poisson relation for  $\sigma(t) = \sum_j \cos \lambda_j t$  has the form

$$(2) \quad \text{singsupp } \sigma(t) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\Omega} \{-T_\gamma\} \cup \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_\Omega} \{T_\gamma\}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  is the union of all generalized periodic geodesics  $\gamma$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , including those lying entirely on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $T_\gamma$  is the period (length) of  $\gamma$  (see [1]). Generalized geodesics are projections on  $\bar{\Omega}$  of the generalized bicharacteristics of  $\partial_t^2 - \Delta$ , introduced by Melrose and Sjöstrand [6]. We have proved in [8, 9] that for generic strictly convex domains in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  the relation (2) becomes an equality and the spectrum of (1) determines the lengths of all periodic geodesics (see [5] for related results). The purpose of this announcement is to prove the same result for generic nonconvex domains in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

**2. Main results.** In the analysis of (2) for nonconvex domains three difficulties appear: (A) the existence of periodic geodesics having gliding segments on  $\partial\Omega$  and linear segments in the interior of  $\Omega$ , (B) some linear segment  $l$  of a periodic geodesic could be tangent to  $\partial\Omega$  at some interior point of  $l$ , (C) the linear Poincaré map  $P_\gamma$  of a reflecting periodic geodesic  $\gamma$  could contain in its spectrum 1 or  $\sqrt[p]{1}$  with  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ . We refer to [3] for the precise definition of reflecting geodesics and the related Poincaré map. A linear segment is a set  $l = [x, y] = \{z; z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ , while a gliding segment is an arc  $\delta \subset \partial\Omega$ . We show below that generically for domains in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  the phenomena (A), (B), (C) cannot occur. We begin by assuming  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ .

Set  $\partial\Omega = X$  and consider the space  $C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  of all  $C^\infty$  smooth embeddings of  $X$  into  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with the Whitney topology [2]. For  $f \in C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  we denote by  $\Omega_f \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  the bounded domain with boundary  $f(X)$ . A set  $\mathcal{R} \subset C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  will be called residual if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a countable intersection of open dense sets.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a domain with boundary  $X$ . There exists a residual set  $\mathcal{R} \subset C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  there are no generalized periodic geodesics  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{\Omega_f}$  having at least one gliding segment on  $f(X)$  and*

Received by the editors August 26, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 58G25, 35R30.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
 0273-0979/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

at least one linear segment in the interior of  $\Omega_f$ . Moreover, for  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  every reflecting geodesic  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{\Omega_f}$  has Poincaré map  $P_\gamma$  whose spectrum does not contain  $\sqrt[p]{1}$  for every  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ .

REMARK 1. The above result has been conjectured in [9]. For generic strictly convex domains in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  the conclusion concerning Poincaré map was established by Lazutkin [4].

THEOREM 2. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain with boundary  $X$ . There exists a residual set  $\mathcal{R} \subset C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  there are no generalized periodic geodesics  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_{\Omega_f}$ , containing at least one linear segment  $l$  tangent to  $f(X)$  at some interior point of  $l$ .

REMARK 2. According to Theorems 1 and 2, for generic domains in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  every periodic geodesic, different from the boundary, is a reflecting one. The above assertion about Poincaré map and Theorem 2 admit a generalization for domains in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which will be published elsewhere.

Combining the rational independence of periods of reflecting geodesics for generic domains, established in [8, 9], Theorems 1 and 2 and the result in [3], we obtain

THEOREM 3. Under the assumptions and notations of Theorem 1, for every  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  the Poisson relation (2) becomes an equality where  $\sigma(t)$  is related to the eigenvalues for problem (1) in  $\Omega_f$  with boundary condition on  $f(X)$  and the unions in (2) are taken over all generalized periodic geodesics in  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega_f}$ .

**3. Idea of the proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in C_{\text{emb}}(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  and let  $\gamma$  be a generalized geodesic in  $\mathcal{L}_{\Omega_f}$  having linear segments in  $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus f(X)$ . Assume  $\gamma$  antisymmetric, that is  $\gamma$  does not contain a linear segment  $l$  orthogonal to  $f(X)$  at some end point of  $l$ . In this case there are different points  $y_i = f(x_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$  on  $f(X)$ , an integer  $k \geq s$  and a surjection  $\omega: \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, s\}$  with  $\omega(1) = 1$ ,  $\omega(2) = 2$ ,  $\omega(k) = s$ , so that the linear segments  $l_j = [y_{\omega(j)}, y_{\omega(j+1)}]$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$  are successive segments of  $\gamma$  with reflection points  $y_{\omega(j)}$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, k - 1$ , the curvatures of  $f(X)$  at  $y_1$  and  $y_k$  vanish and  $l_1$  and  $l_{k-1}$  are tangent to  $f(X)$  at  $y_1$  and  $y_k$  respectively. Setting  $\omega(1) = \omega(k + 1)$ , we have  $\omega(i) \neq \omega(i + 1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $\{\omega(i), \omega(i + 1)\} \neq \{\omega(j), \omega(j + 1)\}$  whenever  $1 \leq i < j \leq k - 1$ . The maps having the properties listed above will be called admissible antisymmetric. Let  $Z^{(s)} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_s) \in Z^s; z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , set  $I_i = \{j; \text{there exists } t = 1, \dots, k - 1 \text{ with } \{i, j\} = \{\omega(t), \omega(t + 1)\}\}$  and denote by  $U_\omega$  the set of those  $z \in (\mathbf{R}^2)^{(s)}$  such that  $z_i \notin \text{convex hull } \{z_j; j \in I_i\}$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Finally, consider the map  $F: U_\omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  given by

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|z_{\omega(i)} - z_{\omega(i+1)}\|.$$

It is clear that  $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_{s-1})$  is a critical point of  $F \circ f^s(x_1, z', x_s)$  considered as a function of  $z' = (z_2, \dots, z_{s-1}) \in X^{(s-1)}$ , where  $f^s(x) = (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_s))$ . Fix  $k, s, F$  and an admissible antisymmetric map  $\omega$  and denote by  $T_\omega$  the set of those  $f \in C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$  such that if  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in$

$X^{(s)}$ ,  $f^s(x) \in U_\omega$ ,  $\text{grad}_{x'}(F \circ f^s)(x) = 0$  and the curvatures of  $f(X)$  at  $f(x_1)$  and  $f(x_s)$  vanish, then we have  $\langle f(x_2) - f(x_1), n_{x_1} \rangle = 0$ ,  $n_{x_1}$  being the normal to  $f(X)$  at  $x_1$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the scalar product in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Our aim is to show that  $T_\omega$  is residual in  $C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$ . To do this, we use the  $s$ -fold bundle of the 2-jets. Namely, let  $\alpha: J^2(X, \mathbf{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  and  $\beta: J^2(X, \mathbf{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$  be the source and the target maps (see [2]). Set

$$M = (\alpha^s)^{-1}(X^{(s)}) \cap (\beta^s)^{-1}(U_\omega) \cap V,$$

where  $V$  is the set of those  $(j^2 f_1(x_1), \dots, j^2 f_s(x_s)) \in (J^2(X, \mathbf{R}^2))^s$  with  $df_i(x_i) \neq 0$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Clearly,  $M$  is an open submanifold of  $J_s^2(X, \mathbf{R}^2) = (\alpha^s)^{-1}(X^{(s)})$ . To describe the above situation, we introduce the set  $\Sigma$  of those  $\sigma = (j^2 f_1(x_1), \dots, j^2 f_s(x_s)) \in M$  such that  $\text{grad}_{x'}(F \circ f^s)(x) = 0$ , the curvature of  $f_1(X)$  at  $f_1(x_1)$  and that of  $f_s(X)$  at  $f_s(x_s)$  vanish and the vector  $f_2(x_2) - f_1(x_1)$  is collinear with the tangent to  $f_1(X)$  at  $f_1(x_1)$ . The main difficulty is to show that  $\Sigma$  is a smooth submanifold of  $M$  with  $\text{codim } \Sigma = s + 1$ . Therefore, by applying the multijet transversality theorem in [2], we prove that  $T_\omega$  is residual in  $C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$ . Similarly we treat admissible symmetric maps  $\omega$  which are related to geodesics on  $f(X)$  having segments  $l$  orthogonal to  $f(X)$  at some end point  $y \in f(X)$  of  $l$ . Then  $\bigcap_\omega T_\omega$ , where  $\omega$  runs over all admissible maps, is residual in  $C_{\text{emb}}^\infty(X, \mathbf{R}^2)$ .

For the proof of the second part of Theorem 1 we use essentially the representation of Poincaré map  $P_\gamma$  related to a reflecting geodesic  $\gamma$ , found by Petkov and Vogel [7]. We introduce a corresponding singular set  $\Sigma_1$  and again the main point is to prove that  $\Sigma_1$  can be covered by a countable union of smooth manifolds having codimension  $s + 1$ .

A similar approach is used for the proof of Theorem 2.

### REFERENCES

1. K. Andersson and R. Melrose, *The propagation of singularities along gliding rays*, Invent. Math. **41** (1977), 197–232.
2. M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
3. V. Guillemin and R. Melrose, *The Poisson summation formula for manifolds with boundary*, Adv. in Math. **32** (1979), 204–232.
4. V. F. Lazutkin, *Convex billiard and eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator*, Lenin-grad University, 1981. (Russian)
5. S. Marvizi and R. Melrose, *Spectral invariants of convex planar regions*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 475–502.
6. R. Melrose and J. Sjöstrand, *Singularities in boundary value problems. I, II*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 593–617; **35** (1982), 129–168.
7. V. Petkov and P. Vogel, *La représentation de l'application de Poincaré correspondant aux rayons périodiques réfléchissants*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A **296** (1983), 633–635.
8. V. Petkov, *Propriétés génériques des rayons réfléchissants et applications aux problèmes spectraux*, Séminaire Bony-Sjöstrand-Meyer, École Polytechnique, Centre de Mathématiques, Exposé XII, 1984–1985.
9. V. Petkov and L. Stojanov, *Periods of multiple reflecting geodesics and inverse spectral results*, preprint.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, P. O. BOX 373, 1090 SOFIA, BULGARIA